

# Coefficient systems and supersingular representations of $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$

Vytautas Paskunas

February 1, 2008.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1	Notation . . . . .	8
<b>2</b>	<b>Hecke algebra</b>	<b>9</b>
2.1	Supersingular modules . . . . .	14
2.2	Restriction to $\mathcal{H}_K$ . . . . .	17
<b>3</b>	<b>Irreducible representations of <math>\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{F}_q)</math></b>	<b>18</b>
3.1	Carter and Lusztig theory . . . . .	18
3.2	Alternative description of irreducible representations . . . . .	24
<b>4</b>	<b>Principal indecomposable representations</b>	<b>28</b>
4.1	The case $q = p$ . . . . .	30
4.2	The general case . . . . .	34
4.2.1	Modular representations of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{F}_q)$ . . . . .	34
4.2.2	Going from $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{F}_q)$ to $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{F}_q)$ . . . . .	37
4.2.3	Computation of $\mathcal{H}_\Gamma$ -modules . . . . .	45

<b>5 Coefficient systems</b>	<b>56</b>
5.1 Definitions . . . . .	57
5.2 Homology . . . . .	58
5.3 Some computations of $H_0(X, \mathcal{V})$ . . . . .	59
5.4 Constant functor . . . . .	63
5.5 Diagrams . . . . .	64
5.5.1 Underlying vector spaces . . . . .	65
5.5.2 Restriction maps . . . . .	66
5.5.3 $G$ -action . . . . .	67
5.5.4 Morphisms . . . . .	67
5.5.5 Equivalence . . . . .	69
<b>6 Supersingular representations</b>	<b>73</b>
6.1 Coefficient systems $\mathcal{V}_\gamma$ . . . . .	73
6.2 Injective envelopes . . . . .	76
6.2.1 Admissibility . . . . .	78
6.3 Coefficient systems $\mathcal{I}_\gamma$ . . . . .	79
6.4 Construction . . . . .	85
6.4.1 Twists by unramified quasi-characters . . . . .	87

# 1 Introduction

Recently Breuil in [4] has determined the isomorphism classes of the irreducible smooth  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ -representations of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ . This allowed him to define a “correspondance semi-simple modulo  $p$  pour  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ ”. Under this correspondence the isomorphism classes of irreducible smooth 2-dimensional  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ -representations of the Weil group of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  are in bijection with the isomorphism classes of “supersingular” irreducible smooth  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ -representations of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ . The term “supersingular” was coined by Barthel and Livné. Roughly speaking a supersingular representation is the  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$  analogue of a supercuspidal representation over  $\mathbb{C}$ , see Definition 1.1. Let  $F$  be a non-Archimedean local field, with a residue class field  $\mathbf{F}_q$  of the characteristic  $p$ . All the irreducible smooth  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ -representations of  $G = \mathrm{GL}_2(F)$ , which are not supersingular, have been determined by Barthel and Livné in [1] and [2], and also by Vignéras in [16], with no restrictions on  $F$ . However, if  $F \neq \mathbf{Q}_p$  then the method of Breuil fails and relatively little is known about the supersingular representations of  $G$ .

This paper is an attempt to shed some light on this question. We fix a uniformiser  $\varpi_F$  of  $F$  and we construct  $q(q-1)/2$  pairwise non-isomorphic, irreducible, supersingular, admissible (in the usual smooth sense) representations of  $G$ , which admit a central character, such that  $\varpi_F$  acts trivially. If  $F = \mathbf{Q}_p$  then using the results of Breuil we may show that our construction gives all the supersingular representations up to a twist by an unramified quasi-character. We conjecture that this is true for arbitrary  $F$ . If  $\rho$  is an irreducible smooth  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ -representation of the Weil group  $W_F$  of  $F$ , then the wild inertia subgroup of  $W_F$  acts trivially on  $\rho$ , since it is pro- $p$  and normal in  $W_F$ . This implies that there are only  $q(q-1)/2$  isomorphism classes of irreducible smooth 2-dimensional  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ -representations  $\rho$  of the Weil group of  $F$  such that  $(\det \rho)(\mathrm{Fr}) = 1$ . Here,  $\mathrm{Fr}$  is the Frobenius automorphism corresponding to  $\varpi_F$  via the local class field theory. So the conjecture would be true if there was a Langlands type of correspondence.

The starting point in this theory is that every pro- $p$  group acting smoothly on an  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ -vector has a non-zero invariant vector. Let  $I_1$  be the unique maximal pro- $p$  subgroup of the standard Iwahori subgroup  $I$  of  $G$ . Given a smooth representation  $\pi$  of  $G$  the Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H} = \mathrm{End}_G(\mathrm{c-Ind}_{I_1}^G \mathbf{1})$  acts on the  $I_1$ -invariants  $\pi^{I_1}$ . It is expected that this functor induces a bijection between the irreducible smooth representations of  $G$  and the irreducible modules of  $\mathcal{H}$ . This happens if  $F = \mathbf{Q}_p$ . Moreover, if  $F$  is arbitrary and  $\pi$  is an irreducible smooth representation of  $G$ , which is not supersingular, then  $\pi^{I_1}$  is an irreducible  $\mathcal{H}$ -module. All the irreducible modules of  $\mathcal{H}$  that do not arise

this way are called supersingular. They have been determined by Vignéras and we give a list of them in the Definition 2.16. There are  $q(q-1)/2$  isomorphism classes of irreducible supersingular modules of  $\mathcal{H}$  up to a twist by an unramified quasi-character.

Given a supersingular module  $M$  of  $\mathcal{H}$  we construct two  $G$ -equivariant coefficient systems  $\mathcal{V}$  and  $\mathcal{I}$  on the Bruhat-Tits tree  $X$  of  $\mathrm{PGL}_2(F)$  and a morphism of  $G$ -equivariant coefficient systems between them. Once we pass to the 0-th homology, this induces a homomorphism of  $G$ -representations. We show that the image of this homomorphism

$$\pi = \mathrm{Im}(H_0(X, \mathcal{V}) \rightarrow H_0(X, \mathcal{I}))$$

is a smooth irreducible representation of  $G$ , which is supersingular, since  $\pi^{I_1}$  contains a supersingular module  $M$ . Moreover, we show that two non-isomorphic irreducible supersingular modules give rise to non-isomorphic representations. However, the question of determining all smooth irreducible representations  $\pi$  of  $G$ , such that  $\pi^{I_1}$  contains  $M$ , remains open.

We will describe the contents of this paper in more detail. In Section 2 we recall the algebra structure of  $\mathcal{H}$  and the definition of supersingular modules.

Sections 3 and 4 deal with some aspects of the  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ -representation theory of  $\Gamma = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{F}_q)$ . In Section 3 we give two different descriptions of the irreducible  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ -representations of  $\Gamma$ , one of them due to Carter and Lusztig [6] and the other one due to Brauer and Nesbitt [3], and a dictionary between them. Let  $U$  be the subgroup of unipotent upper-triangular matrices in  $\Gamma$ , then  $U$  is a  $p$ -Sylow subgroup of  $\Gamma$ . If  $\rho$  is a representation of  $\Gamma$ , then the Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}_\Gamma = \mathrm{End}_\Gamma(\mathrm{Ind}_U^\Gamma \mathbf{1})$  acts on the  $U$ -invariants  $\rho^U$ . This functor induces a bijection between the irreducible representations of  $\Gamma$  and the irreducible right modules of  $\mathcal{H}_\Gamma$ .

Every representation  $\rho$  of  $\Gamma$  has an injective envelope  $\iota : \rho \hookrightarrow \mathrm{inj} \rho$ . By this we mean, a representation  $\mathrm{inj} \rho$  of  $\Gamma$  and an injection  $\iota$ , such that  $\mathrm{inj} \rho$  is an injective object in the category of  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ -representations of  $\Gamma$  and every non-zero  $\Gamma$ -invariant subspace of  $\mathrm{inj} \rho$  intersects  $\iota(\rho)$  non-trivially. Injective envelopes are unique up to isomorphism. In Section 4 we determine the  $\mathcal{H}_\Gamma$ -module structure of  $(\mathrm{inj} \rho)^U$ , for an irreducible representation  $\rho$  of  $\Gamma$ . This is important to us, so we give two ways of doing it. If  $p = q$  then the dimension of  $(\mathrm{inj} \rho)^U$  is small and this enables us to give an elementary argument. In general we use the results of Jeyakumar [9], where he describes explicitly injective envelopes of irreducible representations of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{F}_q)$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{o}_F$  be the ring of integers of  $F$ , let  $K = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathfrak{o}_F)$ . The reduction modulo the prime ideal of  $\mathfrak{o}_F$  induces a surjection  $K \rightarrow \Gamma$ , let  $K_1$  be the kernel of

this map. The Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}_K = \text{End}_K(\text{Ind}_{I_1}^K \mathbf{1})$  is naturally a subalgebra of  $\mathcal{H}$ . Let  $M$  be a supersingular module of  $\mathcal{H}$ , then the restriction of  $M$  to  $\mathcal{H}_K$  is isomorphic to a direct sum of two irreducible modules of  $\mathcal{H}_K$ . Since  $K/K_1 \cong \Gamma$  we may identify representations of  $K$  on which  $K_1$  acts trivially with the representations of  $\Gamma$ . This induces an identification  $\mathcal{H}_K = \mathcal{H}_\Gamma$ . Since the irreducible modules of  $\mathcal{H}_\Gamma$  are in bijection with the irreducible representations of  $\Gamma$ , there exists a unique representation  $\rho = \rho_M$  of  $\Gamma$ , such that  $\rho$  is isomorphic to a direct sum of two irreducible representations of  $\Gamma$ , and  $\rho^U \cong M|_{\mathcal{H}_\Gamma}$ . Let  $\rho \hookrightarrow \text{inj } \rho$  be an injective envelope of  $\rho$  in the category of  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ -representations of  $\Gamma$ . We consider now both  $\rho$  and  $\text{inj } \rho$  as representations of  $K$ . We have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \rho^{I_1} \longrightarrow (\text{inj } \rho)^{I_1}$$

of  $\mathcal{H}_K$ -modules. The main result of Section 4 are Propositions 4.15 ( $p = q$ ), Propositions 4.48 and 4.49 (general case), which say that there exists an action of  $\mathcal{H}$ , extending the action of  $\mathcal{H}_K$ , on  $(\text{inj } \rho_\gamma)^{I_1}$ , such that the above exact sequence yields an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow (\text{inj } \rho)^{I_1} \tag{E}$$

of  $\mathcal{H}$ -modules. The fact that we can extend the action and obtain (E) implies the existence of a certain  $G$ -equivariant coefficient system  $\mathcal{I}$  on the tree  $X$ .

The inspiration to use coefficient systems comes from the works of Schneider and Stuhler [12] and [13], where the authors work over the complex numbers, and Ronan and Smith [11], where the  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$  coefficient systems are studied for finite Chevalley groups. We introduce coefficient systems in Section 5. Let  $\sigma_1$  be an edge on  $X$  containing a vertex  $\sigma_0$ . Since,  $G$  acts transitively on the vertices of the tree  $X$ , the category of  $G$ -equivariant coefficient systems is equivalent to a category of diagrams  $\mathcal{DIAg}$ . The objects of  $\mathcal{DIAg}$  are triples  $(\rho_0, \rho_1, \phi)$ , where  $\rho_0$  is a smooth representation of  $\mathfrak{K}(\sigma_0)$ ,  $\rho_1$  is a smooth representation of  $\mathfrak{K}(\sigma_1)$  and  $\phi$  is a  $\mathfrak{K}(\sigma_1) \cap \mathfrak{K}(\sigma_0)$ -equivariant homomorphism,  $\phi : \rho_1 \rightarrow \rho_0$ , where  $\mathfrak{K}(\sigma_0)$  and  $\mathfrak{K}(\sigma_1)$  are the  $G$ -stabilisers of  $\sigma_0$  and  $\sigma_1$ . The proof of equivalence between the two categories is the main result of Section 5. As a corollary we obtain a nice way of passing from “local” to “global” information, see Corollary 5.18, and we use this in the construction of  $\mathcal{I}$ .

More precisely, we start with a supersingular  $\mathcal{H}$ -module  $M$  and find the unique smooth representation  $\rho = \rho_M$  of  $K$ , such that  $\rho$  is isomorphic to a direct sum of two irreducible representations of  $K$ , and  $\rho^{I_1} \cong M|_{\mathcal{H}_K}$ , as above. We then consider an injective envelope  $\rho \hookrightarrow \text{Inj } \rho$  of  $\rho$  in the category of smooth  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ -representations of  $K$ . Let  $\sigma_1$  be an edge on  $X$  fixed by  $I$

and let  $\sigma_0$  be a vertex fixed by  $K$ . We extend the action of  $K$  on  $\text{Inj } \rho$  to the action of  $F^\times K = \mathfrak{K}(\sigma_0)$ , so that a fixed uniformiser acts trivially. We denote this representation by  $Y_0$ . Let us assume that we may extend the action of  $F^\times I = \mathfrak{K}(\sigma_1) \cap \mathfrak{K}(\sigma_0)$  on  $Y_0|_{F^\times I}$  to the action of  $\mathfrak{K}(\sigma_1)$ . We denote the corresponding representation of  $\mathfrak{K}(\sigma_1)$  by  $Y_1$ . The triple  $Y = (Y_0, Y_1, \text{id})$  is an object in a category  $\mathcal{DILAG}$ , which is equivalent to the category of  $G$ -equivariant coefficient systems on the tree  $X$ , by the main result of Section 5. So  $Y$  gives us a  $G$ -equivariant coefficient system  $\mathcal{I}$ . Moreover, the restriction maps of  $\mathcal{I}$  are all isomorphisms. This implies that

$$H_0(X, \mathcal{I})|_K \cong \text{Inj } \rho.$$

In particular, we have an injection

$$\rho \hookrightarrow \text{Inj } \rho \cong H_0(X, \mathcal{I}_\gamma)|_K,$$

which gives us an exact sequence of vector spaces

$$0 \longrightarrow \rho^{I_1} \longrightarrow H_0(X, \mathcal{I})^{I_1}.$$

We show in Subsection 6.3 that using (E) we may extend the action of  $F^\times I$  on  $Y_0|_{F^\times I}$  to the action of  $\mathfrak{K}(\sigma_1)$ , so that the image of  $\rho^{I_1}$  in  $H_0(X, \mathcal{I})^{I_1}$  is an  $\mathcal{H}$ -invariant subspace, isomorphic to  $M$  as an  $\mathcal{H}$ -module. We let  $\pi$  be the  $G$ -invariant subspace of  $H_0(X, \mathcal{I})$  generated by the image of  $\rho$ . In Theorem 6.25 we prove that  $\pi$  is irreducible and supersingular. We also show that  $\pi$  is the socle of  $H_0(X, \mathcal{I})$ . The space  $H_0(X, \mathcal{I})^{I_1}$  is always finite dimensional, we determine the  $\mathcal{H}$ -module structure in Proposition 6.23. The proofs rely on some general properties of injective envelopes, which we recall in Subsection 6.2. Using injective envelopes we also give a new proof of the criterion for admissibility of a smooth representation of  $G$ , which works in a very general context, see Subsection 6.2.1.

We would like to explain the thinking behind the construction of the coefficient system  $\mathcal{V}$  in Subsection 6.1. Let  $\pi$  be a smooth representation of  $G$ , generated by its  $I_1$ -invariant vectors. We may associate to  $\pi$  a  $G$ -equivariant coefficient system  $\mathcal{F}_\pi$  as follows. Given a simplex  $\sigma$  on  $X$ , we let  $U_\sigma^1$  be the maximal normal pro- $p$  subgroup of the  $G$ -stabiliser of  $\sigma$ . With this notation  $U_{\sigma_1}^1 = I_1$  and  $U_{\sigma_0}^1 = K_1$ . We may consider the coefficient system of invariants  $\mathcal{F}_\pi = (\pi^{U_\sigma^1})_\sigma$ , where the restriction maps are inclusions. Since  $\pi$  is generated by its  $I_1$ -invariants the natural map

$$H_0(X, \mathcal{F}_\pi) \rightarrow \pi$$

is surjective. If we are working over the complex numbers then a theorem of Schneider and Stuhler in [12], says that the above homomorphism is in fact an isomorphism. If we are working over  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ , then  $H_0(X, \mathcal{F}_\pi)$  can be much bigger than  $\pi$ .

The construction of  $\mathcal{V}$  is motivated by the following question. Let  $M$  be a supersingular module of  $\mathcal{H}$  and suppose that there exists a smooth irreducible  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ -representation  $\pi$  of  $G$  such that  $\pi^{I_1} \cong M$ . What can be said about the corresponding coefficient system  $\mathcal{F}_\pi$ ? It is enough to understand the action of  $K$  on  $\pi^{K_1}$ . This reduces the question to the representation theory of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{F}_q)$ . In Corollary 6.10 we show that there exists an injection  $\mathcal{V} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}_\pi$  and hence every  $\pi$  as above is a quotient of  $H_0(X, \mathcal{V})$ . We would like to point out that although in most cases we do not know whether such  $\pi$  exists, the coefficient system  $\mathcal{V}$  is always well defined. Moreover, if  $\pi$  is any non-zero irreducible quotient of  $H_0(X, \mathcal{V})$ , then we show that  $\pi$  is supersingular, since  $\pi^{I_1}$  contains a supersingular  $\mathcal{H}$ -module  $M$ . This implies that  $H_0(X, \mathcal{V})$  is a quotient of one of the spaces considered by Barthel and Livné in [2]. Corollary 6.8 implies that at least in some cases the quotient map is an isomorphism. Now the Remarque 4.2.6 in [4] shows that in general  $\dim H_0(X, \mathcal{V})^{I_1}$  is infinite. The irreducible representation  $\pi$ , which we construct in this paper, is a quotient of  $H_0(X, \mathcal{V})$ , moreover the space  $\pi^{I_1}$  is finite dimensional. Hence, in contrast to the situation over  $\mathbb{C}$ , in general  $H_0(X, \mathcal{V})$  is very far away from being irreducible.

We believe that our construction of irreducible representations will work for other groups. Our strategy could be applied most directly to the group  $G = \mathrm{GL}_N(F)$ , where  $N$  is a prime number. If  $N$  is prime then the maximal open, compact-mod-centre subgroups of  $G$  are the  $G$ -stabilisers of chambers (simplices of maximal dimension) and vertices in the Bruhat-Tits building of  $G$  and if we had the equivalent of (E) then the construction of the coefficient system  $\mathcal{I}$  and our proofs would carry through. However, in order to do this one needs to understand the  $\mathcal{H}_\Gamma$ -module structure of  $(\mathrm{inj} \rho)^U$ , (or at least the action of  $B$  on  $(\mathrm{inj} \rho)^U$ , at the cost of not knowing  $\mathcal{H}$ -module structure of  $H_0(X, \mathcal{I})^{I_1}$ ), where  $\rho$  is an irreducible  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ -representation of  $\Gamma = \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbf{F}_q)$ ,  $B$  is the subgroup of upper-triangular matrices, and  $U$  is the subgroup of unipotent upper-triangular matrices of  $\Gamma$ . This might be quite a difficult problem, since already for  $N = 2$  the dimension of  $(\mathrm{inj} \rho)^U$  can be as big as  $2^n - 1$ , if  $q = p^n$ .

*Acknowledgements.* I would like to thank Michael Spiess and Thomas Zink for a number of useful discussions and for looking after me in general. I would like to thank Marie-France Vignéras for her encouragement and her comments on this work.

## 1.1 Notation

Let  $F$  be a non-Archimedean local field,  $\mathfrak{o}_F$  its ring of integers,  $\mathfrak{p}_F$  the maximal ideal of  $\mathfrak{o}_F$ . Let  $p$  be the characteristic and let  $q$  be the number of elements of the residue class field of  $F$ . We fix a uniformiser  $\varpi_F$  of  $F$ .

Let  $G = \mathrm{GL}_2(F)$  and  $K = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathfrak{o}_F)$ . Reduction modulo  $\mathfrak{p}_F$  induces a surjective homomorphism

$$\mathrm{red} : K \rightarrow \Gamma = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{F}_q).$$

Let  $K_1$  be the kernel of  $\mathrm{red}$ . Let  $B$  be the subgroup of  $\Gamma$  of upper triangular matrices. Then

$$B = HU$$

where  $H$  is the subgroup of diagonal matrices and  $U$  is the subgroup of unipotent matrices in  $B$ . It is of importance, that the order of  $H$  is prime to  $p$  and  $U$  is a  $p$ -Sylow subgroup of  $\Gamma$ . Let  $I$  and  $I_1$  be the subgroups of  $K$ , given by

$$I = \mathrm{red}^{-1}(B), \quad I_1 = \mathrm{red}^{-1}(U).$$

Then  $I$  is the Iwahori subgroup of  $G$  and  $I_1$  is the unique maximal pro- $p$  subgroup of  $I$ . Let  $T$  be the subgroup of diagonal matrices in  $K$ , and let  $T_1 = T \cap K_1 = T \cap I_1$ . Let  $N$  be the normaliser of  $T$  in  $G$ . We introduce some special elements of  $N$ . Let

$$\Pi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \varpi_F & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad n_s = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad s = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The images of  $\Pi$  and  $n_s$  in  $N/T$ , generate it as a group. The normaliser  $N$  acts on  $T$  by conjugation, and hence it acts on the group of characters of  $T$ . This action factors through  $T$ , so if  $w \in N/T$  and  $\chi$  is a character of  $T$ , we will write  $\chi^w$  for the character, given by

$$\chi^w(t) = \chi(w^{-1}tw), \quad \forall t \in T.$$

Let  $\tilde{B}$  be the group of upper-triangular matrices in  $G$ , then  $\tilde{B} = \tilde{T}\tilde{U}$  where  $\tilde{T}$  is the group of diagonal matrices in  $G$  and  $\tilde{U}$  is the group of unipotent matrices in  $\tilde{B}$ .

**Definition 1.1.** *Let  $\pi$  be a smooth irreducible  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ -representation of  $G$ , such that  $\pi$  admits a central character, then  $\pi$  is called supersingular if  $\pi$  is not a subquotient of  $\mathrm{Ind}_{\tilde{B}}^G \chi$ , for any smooth quasi-character  $\chi : \tilde{B} \rightarrow \tilde{B}/\tilde{U} \cong \tilde{T} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times$ .*

All the representations considered in this paper are over  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ , unless it is stated otherwise.

## 2 Hecke algebra

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a pro- $p$  group and let  $\pi$  be a smooth non-zero representation of  $\mathcal{P}$ , then the space  $\pi^{\mathcal{P}}$  of  $\mathcal{P}$ -invariants is non-zero.*

*Proof.* We choose a non-zero vector  $v$  in  $\pi$ . Let  $\rho = \langle \mathcal{P}v \rangle_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p}$  be a subspace of  $\pi$  generated by  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $v$ . Since the action of  $\mathcal{P}$  on  $\pi$  is smooth, the stabiliser  $\text{Stab}_{\mathcal{P}}(v)$  has finite index in  $\mathcal{P}$ , hence  $\rho$  is finite dimensional. Let  $v_1, \dots, v_d$  be an  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$  basis of  $\rho$ . The group  $\mathcal{P}$  acts on  $\rho$  and the kernel of this action is given by

$$\text{Ker } \rho = \bigcap_{i=1}^d \text{Stab}_{\mathcal{P}}(v_i).$$

In particular,  $\text{Ker } \rho$  is an open subgroup of  $\mathcal{P}$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{P}/\text{Ker } \rho$  is a finite group, whose order is a power of  $p$ . Now,

$$\rho^{\mathcal{P}} = \rho^{\mathcal{P}/\text{Ker } \rho} \neq 0$$

since  $\mathcal{P}/\text{Ker } \rho$  is a finite  $p$ -group, see [14], §8, Proposition 26.  $\square$

Let  $\pi$  be a smooth representation of  $G$ , then

$$\pi^{I_1} \cong \text{Hom}_{I_1}(\mathbb{1}, \pi) \cong \text{Hom}_G(\text{c-Ind}_{I_1}^G \mathbb{1}, \pi)$$

by Frobenius reciprocity. Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be the Hecke algebra

$$\mathcal{H} = \text{End}_G(\text{c-Ind}_{I_1}^G \mathbb{1})$$

then via the above isomorphism  $\pi^{I_1}$  becomes naturally a right  $\mathcal{H}$ -module. We obtain a functor

$$\text{Rep}_G \rightarrow \text{Mod-}\mathcal{H}, \quad \pi \mapsto \pi^{I_1},$$

where  $\text{Rep}_G$  is a category of smooth  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ -representations of  $G$  and  $\text{Mod-}\mathcal{H}$  is the category of right  $\mathcal{H}$ -modules. Since  $I_1$  is an open pro- $p$  subgroup of  $G$ , Lemma 2.1 implies that  $\pi^{I_1} = 0$  if and only if  $\pi = 0$ . This functor is our basic tool. We want to study the structure of  $\mathcal{H}$ . We follow [6], where finite groups with split  $BN$ -pair are treated, a lot of the proofs just carry over formally.

**Definition 2.2.** *Let  $g \in G$  and  $f \in \text{c-Ind}_{I_1}^G \mathbb{1}$  we define  $T_g \in \mathcal{H}$  by*

$$(T_g f)(I_1 g_1) = \sum_{I_1 g_2 \subseteq I_1 g^{-1} I_1 g_1} f(I_1 g_2).$$

**Lemma 2.3.** *We may write  $G$  as a disjoint union*

$$G = \bigcup_{n \in N/T_1} I_1 n I_1$$

*of double cosets.*

*Proof.* This follows from the Iwahori decomposition.  $\square$

It is immediate that the definition of  $T_g$  depends only on the double coset  $I_1 g I_1$ . The Lemma above implies that it is enough to consider  $T_n$ , where  $n \in N$  is a representative of a coset in  $N/T_1$ .

**Definition 2.4.** *Let  $\varphi \in \text{c-Ind}_{I_1}^G \mathbb{1}$  be the unique function such that*

$$\text{Supp } \varphi = I_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(u) = 1, \quad \forall u \in I_1.$$

**Lemma 2.5.** (i) *The function  $\varphi$  generates  $\text{c-Ind}_{I_1}^G \mathbb{1}$  as a  $G$ -representation.*

(ii)  $\text{Supp } T_n \varphi = I_1 n I_1$  and  $(T_n \varphi)(g) = 1$ , for every  $g \in I_1 n I_1$ . In particular,

$$T_n \varphi = \sum_{u \in I_1 / (I_1 \cap n^{-1} I_1 n)} u n^{-1} \varphi.$$

(iii) *The set  $\{T_n \varphi : n \in N/T_1\}$  is an  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ -basis of  $(\text{c-Ind}_{I_1}^G \mathbb{1})^{I_1}$ .*

(iv) *The set  $\{T_n : n \in N/T_1\}$  is an  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ -basis of  $\mathcal{H}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $g \in G$ , then  $\text{Supp}(g^{-1} \varphi) = I_1 g$  and  $(g^{-1} \varphi)(I_1 g) = 1$ . Part (i) follows immediately.

Let  $f \in \text{c-Ind}_{I_1}^G \mathbb{1}$ , then by examining the definition of  $T_n$ , one obtains that  $\text{Supp}(T_n f) \subseteq I_1 n \text{Supp } f$ . Hence,  $\text{Supp}(T_n \varphi) \subseteq I_1 n I_1$ . Since  $T_n$  is a  $G$ -equivariant homomorphism and  $I_1$  acts trivially on  $\varphi$ , it is enough to prove that  $(T_n \varphi)(n) = 1$ . Since  $\text{Supp } \varphi = I_1$ , it is immediate from Definition 2.2 that  $(T_n \varphi)(I_1 n) = \varphi(I_1) = 1$ . The last part follows from decomposing  $I_1 n I_1$  into right cosets and applying the argument used in Part (i).

Let  $n, n' \in N$ , and suppose that  $nT_1 \neq n'T_1$ , then Lemma 2.3 implies that  $I_1 n I_1 \neq I_1 n' I_1$ . By Part (ii) the functions  $T_n \varphi$  and  $T_{n'} \varphi$  have disjoint support. This implies that the set  $\{T_n \varphi : n \in N/T_1\}$  is linearly independent. Any  $f \in (\text{c-Ind}_{I_1}^G \mathbb{1})^{I_1}$ , is constant on the double cosets  $I_1 n I_1$ , for  $n \in N$ , and since  $\text{Supp } f$  is compact,  $f$  is supported only on finitely many such, hence Lemma

2.3 and Part (ii) imply that  $\{T_n\varphi : n \in N/T_1\}$  is also a spanning set. Hence we get Part (iii).

Let  $\psi \in \mathcal{H}$ , Part (i) implies that  $\psi = 0$  if and only if  $\psi(\varphi) = 0$ . This observation coupled with Part (iii) implies Part (iv).  $\square$

**Corollary 2.6.** *Let  $\pi$  be a smooth representation of  $G$  and let  $v \in \pi^{I_1}$ , then the action of  $T_n$  on  $\pi^{I_1}$  is given by*

$$vT_n = \sum_{u \in I_1 / (I_1 \cap n^{-1}I_1 n)} un^{-1}v.$$

*Proof.* The isomorphism  $\text{Hom}_G(\text{c-Ind}_{I_1}^G \mathbb{1}, \pi) \cong \pi^{I_1}$  is given explicitly by  $\psi \mapsto \psi(\varphi)$ . Let  $\psi$  be the unique  $G$ -invariant homomorphism, such that  $\psi(\varphi) = v$ , then

$$vT_{n_s} = (\psi \circ T_{n_s})(\varphi) = \psi(T_{n_s}\varphi) = \psi\left(\sum_{u \in I_1 / (I_1 \cap n^{-1}I_1 n)} un^{-1}\varphi\right).$$

The last equality follows from Lemma 2.5 (ii). Since,  $\psi$  is  $G$ -invariant, we obtain the Lemma.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let  $n', n \in N$  and suppose that  $n$  normalises  $I_1$ , then*

$$T_{n'}T_n = T_{n'n}, \quad T_nT_{n'} = T_{nn'}.$$

*Proof.* Lemma 2.5 (i) implies that it is enough to show that the homomorphisms map  $\varphi$  to the same function. Let  $f \in \text{c-Ind}_{I_1}^G \mathbb{1}$  then since  $n$  normalises  $I_1$  we have  $(T_n(f))(g) = f(ng)$  and  $T_n\varphi = n^{-1}\varphi$ . Now the Lemma follows from Lemma 2.5 (ii).  $\square$

Let  $t \in T$  and let  $h$  be the image of  $t$  in  $H$ , via  $T/T_1 \cong H$ , we will write  $T_h$  for the homomorphism  $T_t$ .

**Definition 2.8.** *Let  $\chi : H \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times$  be a character, we define*

$$e_\chi = \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{h \in H} \chi(h) T_h.$$

Let

$$\varphi_\chi = e_\chi \varphi,$$

then  $\varphi_\chi$  is the unique function in  $\text{c-Ind}_{I_1}^G \mathbb{1}$  such that

$$\text{Supp } \varphi_\chi = I, \quad \varphi_\chi(g) = \chi(gI_1), \quad \forall g \in I,$$

via the isomorphism  $I/I_1 \cong H$ .

**Lemma 2.9.** (i)  $e_\chi^2 = e_\chi$  and  $e_\chi e_{\chi'} = 0$ , if  $\chi \neq \chi'$ .

(ii)  $\text{id} = \sum_\chi e_\chi$ , where the sum is taken over all characters  $\chi : H \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times$ .

(iii)  $e_\chi(\text{c-Ind}_{I_1}^G \mathbb{1}) \cong \text{c-Ind}_I^G \chi$ .

*Proof.* We note that  $H$  is abelian and the order of  $H$  is prime to  $p$ . Parts (i) and (ii) follow from the orthogonality relations of characters. Lemma 2.5 (i) implies that  $e_\chi(\text{c-Ind}_{I_1}^G \mathbb{1})$  is generated by  $\varphi_\chi$  and this implies Part (iii).  $\square$

**Corollary 2.10.** Let  $\pi$  be a smooth representation of  $G$ , then  $I$  acts on  $(\pi^{I_1})e_\chi$  by a character  $\chi$ . Moreover,

$$\pi^{I_1} \cong \bigoplus_\chi (\pi^{I_1})e_\chi.$$

*Proof.* The group  $I$  acts on  $\pi^{I_1}$ . Since  $I_1$  acts trivially and  $I/I_1 \cong H$ , which is abelian and of order prime to  $p$ , the space  $\pi^{I_1}$  decomposes into one dimensional  $I$  invariant subspaces. Corollary 2.6 implies that  $e_\chi$  cuts out the  $\chi$ -isotypical subspace. The last part follows from Lemma 2.9 (ii).  $\square$

**Lemma 2.11.** (i)  $T_{n_s}e_\chi = e_{\chi^s}T_{n_s}$ ,  $T_\Pi e_\chi = e_{\chi^s}T_\Pi$ .

(ii) If  $\chi = \chi^s$  then

$$T_{n_s}^2 e_\chi = -T_{n_s} e_\chi.$$

If  $\chi \neq \chi^s$  then

$$T_{n_s}^2 e_\chi = 0.$$

*Proof.* Part (i) follows from Lemma 2.7. Lemma 2.5 (i) implies that it is enough to calculate  $T_{n_s}^2 e_\chi \varphi = T_{n_s}^2 \varphi_\chi$ . Applying Lemma 2.5 (ii) twice we obtain  $\text{Supp } T_{n_s}^2 \varphi_\chi \subseteq K$ . Hence it is enough to do the calculation in the space  $\text{Ind}_{I_1}^K \mathbb{1}$ . Since  $K_1$  acts trivially on this space, it is enough to do the calculation in the space  $\text{Ind}_U^\Gamma \mathbb{1}$ . Then the Lemma is a special case of [6] Theorem 4.4.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.12.** Let  $m \geq 0$  and let  $w = \Pi n_s$  then the following hold:

(i)  $I_1 w I_1 w^m I_1 = I_1 w^{m+1} I_1$ ,

(ii)  $I_1 w^{-1} I_1 w^{m+1} \cap I_1 w^m I_1 = I_1 w^m$ ,

(iii)  $T_{w^m} = (T_w)^m = (T_\Pi T_{n_s})^m$ .

*Proof.* The first two parts can be checked by a direct calculation. For Part (iii) we observe that

$$\text{Supp } T_w T_{w^m} \varphi \subseteq I_1 w \text{Supp } T_{w^m} \varphi = I_1 w I_1 w^m I_1 = I_1 w^{m+1} I_1,$$

where the last equality is Part (i). Part (ii) and Lemma 2.5 (ii) imply that

$$(T_w T_{w^m} \varphi)(w^{m+1}) = 1.$$

Since  $I_1$  acts trivially on  $\varphi$  and all the homomorphisms are  $G$ -equivariant, we may apply Lemma 2.5 (ii) again to obtain

$$T_w T_{w^m} \varphi = T_{w^{m+1}} \varphi.$$

Lemma 2.5 (i) implies that  $T_w T_{w^m} = T_{w^{m+1}}$ . Induction and Lemma 2.7 gives us Part (iii).  $\square$

**Lemma 2.13.** (i) Let  $n \in N$ , then there exists  $h \in H$  and integers  $a \in \{0, 1\}$ ,  $m \geq 0$  and  $b \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$T_n = T_\Pi^a (T_\Pi T_{n_s})^m T_\Pi^b T_h$$

where  $T_\Pi^{-1} = T_{\Pi^{-1}}$ .

(ii) The elements  $T_{n_s}$ ,  $T_\Pi$ ,  $T_{\Pi^{-1}}$  and  $e_\chi$ , for every character  $\chi : H \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times$ , generate  $\mathcal{H}$  as an algebra.

*Proof.* We note that Lemma 2.7 implies that  $T_\Pi$  is invertible with  $T_\Pi^{-1} = T_{\Pi^{-1}}$  and  $T_\Pi^2$  is central in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Every  $n \in N$  maybe written as  $n = \Pi^a (\Pi n_s)^m \Pi^b t$ , where  $t \in T$ . Lemma 2.7 and Lemma 2.12(iii) imply Part (i). Hence  $T_{n_s}$ ,  $T_\Pi$ ,  $T_{\Pi^{-1}}$  and  $T_h$ , for  $h \in H$  generate  $\mathcal{H}$  as an algebra. Lemma 2.7 implies that  $T_h e_\chi = \chi(h^{-1}) e_\chi$  and hence Lemma 2.9 (ii) implies that  $T_h$  can be expressed as a linear combination of idempotents  $e_\chi$ . This gives us Part (ii).  $\square$

**Lemma 2.14.** (i) The set  $\{e_\chi T_n \varphi : n \in N/T, \chi : H \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times\}$  is an  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ -basis of  $(\text{c-Ind}_{I_1}^G \mathbb{1})^{I_1}$ .

(ii) The set  $\{e_\chi T_n : n \in N/T, \chi : H \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times\}$  is an  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ -basis of  $\mathcal{H}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $e_\chi T_h = \chi(h^{-1}) e_\chi$  Lemma 2.5 (iii) implies that the set  $\{e_\chi T_n \varphi : n \in N/T, \chi : H \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times\}$  is a spanning set. Since the elements  $e_\chi$  are orthogonal idempotents it is enough to show that the set  $\{e_\chi T_n \varphi : n \in N/T\}$  is linearly independent for a fixed character  $\chi$ . Lemma 2.5 (ii) implies that  $\text{Supp } e_\chi T_n \varphi = InI$ . Lemma 2.3 implies that if  $nT \neq n'T$ , then  $e_\chi T_n \varphi$  and  $e_\chi T_{n'} \varphi$  have disjoint support and hence the set is linearly independent. Part (ii) follows from Part (i) and Lemma 2.5 (i).  $\square$

## 2.1 Supersingular modules

All the irreducible modules of  $\mathcal{H}$  have been determined by Vignéras in [16]. They naturally split up into two classes.

**Proposition 2.15.** *Let  $\pi$  be a smooth irreducible representation of  $G$ , which admits a central character. Suppose that  $\pi$  is not supersingular, then  $\pi^{I_1}$  is an irreducible  $\mathcal{H}$ -module.*

*Proof.* See [16] E.5.1. □

The modules as above could be called non-supersingular, we are interested in all the rest.

**Definition 2.16.** *Let  $\chi : H \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times$  be a character, let  $\gamma = \{\chi, \chi^s\}$  and let  $\lambda \in \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times$ . We define a standard supersingular module  $M_\gamma^\lambda$  to be a right  $\mathcal{H}$ -module such that its underlying vector space is 2 dimensional*

$$M_\gamma^\lambda = \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p}$$

and the action of  $\mathcal{H}$  is determined by the following:

(i) If  $\chi = \chi^s$  then

$$v_1 e_\chi = v_1, \quad v_1 T_{n_s} = -v_1, \quad v_1 T_\Pi = v_2$$

and

$$v_2 e_\chi = v_2, \quad v_2 T_{n_s} = 0, \quad v_2 T_\Pi = \lambda v_1.$$

(ii) If  $\chi \neq \chi^s$  then

$$v_1 e_\chi = v_1, \quad v_1 T_{n_s} = 0, \quad v_1 T_\Pi = v_2$$

and

$$v_2 e_{\chi^s} = v_2, \quad v_2 T_{n_s} = 0, \quad v_2 T_\Pi = \lambda v_1.$$

To show that these relations define an action of  $\mathcal{H}$  requires some work, this is done in [16].

**Lemma 2.17.** *The modules  $M_\gamma^\lambda$  are irreducible and*

$$M_{\gamma'}^{\lambda'} \cong M_\gamma^\lambda$$

*if and only if  $\gamma' = \gamma$  and  $\lambda' = \lambda$ .*

*Proof.* The definition immediately gives that  $M_\gamma^\lambda$  does not have a 1 dimensional submodule, hence it is irreducible. If  $\chi' : H \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times$  is a character, such that  $\chi' \notin \gamma$  then

$$M_\gamma^\lambda e_{\chi'} = 0.$$

Hence,  $\gamma = \gamma'$ . The element  $T_\Pi^2$  acts on  $M_\gamma^\lambda$  by a scalar  $\lambda$ . Hence,  $\lambda = \lambda'$ .  $\square$

The following Proposition explains why  $M_\gamma^\lambda$  are called supersingular.

**Proposition 2.18.** *Let  $M$  be an irreducible  $\mathcal{H}$  module, such that  $M \not\cong \pi^{I_1}$  for any non-supersingular irreducible representation  $\pi$ , then*

$$M \cong M_\gamma^\lambda$$

for some  $\gamma$  and  $\lambda$ .

*Proof.* See [16] C.2 and E.5.1.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.19.** *Let  $\pi$  be a smooth irreducible representation of  $G$ , admitting a central character. Suppose that  $\pi^{I_1}$  contains a submodule isomorphic to  $M_\gamma^\lambda$  for some  $\gamma$  and  $\lambda$ , then  $\pi$  is supersingular.*

We will also need to consider the following extension of supersingular modules.

**Definition 2.20.** *Let  $\chi : H \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times$  be a character, such that  $\chi \neq \chi^s$ , let  $\gamma = \{\chi, \chi^s\}$  and let  $\lambda \in \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times$ . Let*

$$\mathcal{H}^\lambda = \mathcal{H}/(T_\Pi^2 - \lambda)\mathcal{H}$$

then we define a right  $\mathcal{H}$ -module  $L_\gamma^\lambda$  to be

$$L_\gamma^\lambda = e_\chi \mathcal{H}^\lambda / e_\chi (T_\Pi T_{n_s} - T_{n_s} T_\Pi) \mathcal{H}^\lambda.$$

The definition seems to be asymmetric in  $\chi$  and  $\chi^s$ , however the multiplication from the left by  $T_\Pi$  induces an isomorphism

$$e_\chi \mathcal{H}^\lambda / e_\chi (T_\Pi T_{n_s} - T_{n_s} T_\Pi) \mathcal{H}^\lambda \cong e_{\chi^s} \mathcal{H}^\lambda / e_{\chi^s} (T_\Pi T_{n_s} - T_{n_s} T_\Pi) \mathcal{H}^\lambda,$$

since  $T_\Pi$  is a unit in  $\mathcal{H}^\lambda$ .

**Lemma 2.21.** *The images of  $e_\chi$ ,  $e_\chi T_\Pi$ ,  $e_\chi T_{n_s}$  and  $e_\chi T_{n_s} T_\Pi$  in  $L_\gamma^\lambda$  form an  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ -basis of  $L_\gamma^\lambda$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from Lemma 2.14 (ii) and Lemma 2.11 (ii).  $\square$

**Lemma 2.22.** *There exists a short exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow M_\gamma^\lambda \longrightarrow L_\gamma^\lambda \longrightarrow M_\gamma^\lambda \longrightarrow 0$$

of  $\mathcal{H}$ -modules.

*Proof.* Let  $v_1$  be the image of  $e_\chi T_{n_s}$  in  $L_\gamma^\lambda$  and let  $v_2$  be the image of  $e_\chi T_{n_s} T_\Pi$  in  $L_\gamma^\lambda$ . The subspace  $\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p}$  is stable under the action of  $T_{n_s}$ ,  $T_\Pi$  and  $e_{\chi'}$ , for every  $\chi' : H \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times$ . Hence, by Lemma 2.13 (ii) the subspace is stable under the action of  $\mathcal{H}$ . From Lemma 2.11 (ii) and Definition 2.16 (ii) it follows that  $\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} \cong M_\gamma^\lambda$ . An easy check shows that  $L_\gamma^\lambda / M_\gamma^\lambda \cong M_\gamma^\lambda$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.23.** *Let  $(\pi, \mathcal{V})$  be a smooth representation of  $G$  and let  $\xi \in \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times$ . Let  $\mu_\xi$  be an unramified quasi-character:*

$$\mu_\xi : F^\times \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times, \quad x \mapsto \xi^{val_F(x)}$$

where  $val_F$  is the valuation of  $F$ . Suppose that  $\pi^{I_1}$  contains  $M_\gamma^\lambda$ , where  $\gamma = \{\chi, \chi^s\}$  and let  $V$  be the underlying vector space of  $M_\gamma^\lambda$  in  $\mathcal{V}$ . If we consider the representation  $(\pi \otimes \mu_\xi \circ \det, \mathcal{V})$  of  $G$ , then the action of  $\mathcal{H}$  on  $V$  is isomorphic to  $M_\gamma^{\lambda \xi^{-2}}$ .

*Proof.* Let

$$V = \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p}$$

as in Definition 2.16. Since  $\mu_\xi$  is unramified, Corollary 2.6 implies that the action of  $T_{n_s}$  and the idempotents  $e_\chi$  on  $V$  does not change. Lemma 2.13 (ii) implies that it is enough to check how  $T_\Pi$  acts. Since  $\det \Pi = -\varpi_F$ , twisting by  $\mu_\xi \circ \det$  gives us

$$v_1 T_\Pi = \Pi^{-1} v_1 = \xi^{-1} v_2 \quad \text{and} \quad v_2 T_\Pi = \Pi^{-1} v_2 = \xi^{-1} \lambda v_1.$$

Once we replace  $v_1$  by  $\xi v_1$  the isomorphism follows from Definition 2.16.  $\square$

Since, by twisting by an unramified character we may vary  $\lambda$  as we wish, we might as well work with  $\lambda = 1$ .

**Definition 2.24.** *Let  $\gamma = \{\chi, \chi^s\}$  then we define  $\mathcal{H}$ -modules*

$$M_\gamma = M_\gamma^1 \quad \text{and} \quad L_\gamma = L_\gamma^1.$$

## 2.2 Restriction to $\mathcal{H}_K$

Let  $\mathcal{H}_K = \text{End}_K(\text{Ind}_{I_1}^K \mathbb{1})$ . The natural isomorphism of  $K$  representations

$$\text{Ind}_{I_1}^K \mathbb{1} \cong \{f \in \text{c-Ind}_{I_1}^G \mathbb{1} : \text{Supp } f \subseteq K\}$$

gives an embedding of algebras

$$\mathcal{H}_K \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}_K(\text{Ind}_{I_1}^K \mathbb{1}, \text{c-Ind}_{I_1}^G \mathbb{1}) \cong \text{Hom}_G(\text{c-Ind}_{I_1}^G \mathbb{1}, \text{c-Ind}_{I_1}^G \mathbb{1}) = \mathcal{H}.$$

As an algebra  $\mathcal{H}_K$  is generated by  $T_{n_s}$  and  $e_\chi$ , for all characters  $\chi$ .

**Definition 2.25.** Let  $\chi : H \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times$  be a character. Let  $J_0(\chi)$  be a set, such that  $J_0(\chi) = \emptyset$  if  $\chi \neq \chi^s$ , and  $J_0(\chi) = \{s\}$ , if  $\chi = \chi^s$ . Let  $J$  be a subset of  $J_0(\chi)$ , we define  $M_{\chi, J}$  to be a right  $\mathcal{H}_K$ -module, whose underlying vector space is one dimensional,  $M_{\chi, J} = \langle v \rangle_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p}$  and the action of  $\mathcal{H}_K$  is determined by the following:

$$\begin{aligned} ve_\chi &= v, \\ vT_{n_s} &= 0 \quad \text{if } s \in J \text{ or } s \notin J_0(\chi), \quad vT_{n_s} = -v, \quad \text{if } s \notin J \text{ and } s \in J_0(\chi). \end{aligned}$$

Given  $\chi$  and  $J$  as above, we will denote

$$\overline{J} = J_0(\chi) \setminus J.$$

**Lemma 2.26.** Let  $\chi : H \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times$  be a character and let  $\gamma = \{\chi, \chi^s\}$ , then

$$M_\gamma|_{\mathcal{H}_K} \cong M_{\chi, J} \oplus M_{\chi^s, \overline{J}}$$

as  $\mathcal{H}_K$ -modules, where  $J$  is a subset of  $J_0(\chi)$ . Moreover, if  $\chi \neq \chi^s$ , then

$$L_\gamma|_{\mathcal{H}_K} \cong (\text{Ind}_I^K \chi \oplus \text{Ind}_I^K \chi^s)^{I_1}$$

as  $\mathcal{H}_K$ -modules.

*Proof.* The first isomorphism follows directly from Definition 2.16. Since  $J_0(\chi)$  has at most two subsets, it doesn't matter which subset we take. For the second isomorphism we observe that the space  $(\text{Ind}_I^K \chi)^{I_1}$  is two dimensional, with the basis  $\{\varphi_\chi, T_{n_s} \varphi_{\chi^s}\}$ . Moreover,  $I$  acts on the basis vectors by characters  $\chi$  and  $\chi^s$  respectively. Now

$$\varphi_\chi T_{n_s} = \sum_{u \in I_1/K_1} u n_s^{-1} \varphi_\chi = e_\chi \left( \sum_{u \in I_1/K_1} u n_s^{-1} \varphi \right) = e_\chi T_{n_s} \varphi = T_{n_s} e_{\chi^s} \varphi = T_{n_s} \varphi_{\chi^s}$$

and

$$(T_{n_s} \varphi_{\chi^s}) T_{n_s} = \sum_{u \in I_1/K_1} u n_s^{-1} T_{n_s} e_{\chi^s} \varphi = T_{n_s} e_{\chi^s} \left( \sum_{u \in I_1/K_1} u n_s^{-1} \varphi \right) = e_\chi T_{n_s}^2 \varphi = 0$$

and Lemma 2.21 allows us to define the obvious isomorphism on the basis.  $\square$

### 3 Irreducible representations of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{F}_q)$

#### 3.1 Carter and Lusztig theory

In [6] Carter and Lusztig have constructed all irreducible  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ -representations of a finite group  $\Gamma$ , which has a 'split BN-pair of characteristic  $p$ '. Since  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{F}_q)$  is a special case of this, we will recall their results. Let  $\Gamma$  be a finite group with a BN-pair  $(\Gamma, B, N, S)$ . Let  $H = B \cap N$ , then  $H$  is normal in  $N$ , and  $S$  is the set of Coxeter generators of  $W = N/H$ . We additionally require that  $B = HU$ , where  $U$  is a normal subgroup of  $B$ , which is a  $p$ -group, and  $H$  is abelian of order prime to  $p$ . Moreover, we assume that  $H = \cap_{n \in N} B^n$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** [6] *Let  $\rho$  be an irreducible representation of  $\Gamma$  then*

- (i) *the space of  $U$  invariants  $\rho^U$  is one dimensional;*
- (ii) *suppose that the action of  $B$  on  $\rho^U$  is given by a character  $\chi : H \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times$ , via  $B \rightarrow B/U \cong H$  and let  $J = \{s \in S : s \cdot \rho^U = \rho^U\}$  then the pair  $(\chi, J)$  determines  $\rho$  up to an isomorphism;*
- (iii) *conversely, given a character  $\chi : H \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times$ , let  $J_0(\chi) = \{s \in S : \chi^s = \chi\}$  and let  $J$  be a subset of  $J_0(\chi)$  then there exists an irreducible representation  $\rho_{\chi, J}$  of  $\Gamma$  with the pair  $(\chi, J)$  as above.*

*Proof.* This is [6] Corollary 7.5, written out in detail, see also [10] Theorem 3.9 and [7] Theorem 4.3.  $\square$

Let  $\mathcal{H}_\Gamma = \mathrm{End}_\Gamma(\mathrm{Ind}_U^\Gamma \mathbf{1})$ . We would like to rephrase Theorem 3.1 in terms of  $\mathcal{H}_\Gamma$ -modules. For each  $s \in S$  we may choose a representative  $n_s \in N$ . Moreover, according to [6] Lemma 2.2, we can choose  $n_s$  in a nice way. The obvious equivalent of Definition 2.2 gives an endomorphism  $T_n \in \mathcal{H}_\Gamma$  for each  $n \in N$ . Definition 2.8 for each character  $\chi : H \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times$  gives an idempotent  $e_\chi \in \mathcal{H}_\Gamma$ .

**Definition 3.2.** *Let  $\chi : H \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times$  be a character, and let  $J$  be a subset of  $J_0(\chi)$  we define  $M_{\chi, J}$  to be a right  $\mathcal{H}_\Gamma$ -module, whose underlying vector space is one dimensional,  $M_{\chi, J} = \langle v \rangle_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p}$  and the action of  $\mathcal{H}_\Gamma$  is determined by the following:*

$$ve_\chi = v$$

and for every  $s \in S$  we have

$$vT_{n_s} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } s \in J, \\ -v & \text{if } s \in J_0(\chi), s \notin J, \\ 0 & \text{if } s \notin J_0(\chi). \end{cases}$$

**Corollary 3.3.** *The functor of  $U$  invariants*

$$\text{Rep}_\Gamma \rightarrow \text{Mod-}\mathcal{H}_\Gamma, \quad \rho \mapsto \rho^U$$

induces a bijection between the irreducible representations of  $\Gamma$  and the irreducible right  $\mathcal{H}_\Gamma$ -modules. Moreover, if an irreducible representation  $\rho_{\chi,J}$  corresponds to the pair  $(\chi, J)$ , in the sense of Theorem 3.1 (iii), then

$$\rho_{\chi,J}^U \cong M_{\chi,J}$$

as an  $\mathcal{H}_\Gamma$ -module.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\rho_{\chi,J}$  is an irreducible representation as above. Then

$$\rho_{\chi,J}^U = \langle v \rangle_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p}$$

and  $H$  acts on  $v$ , by a character  $\chi$ . Moreover, Lemma 2.5 and Corollary 2.6 hold (with obvious modifications). Hence, if  $\chi : H \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times$  is a character then

$$ve_\chi = \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{h \in H} \chi(h) h^{-1} v = v.$$

If  $\chi \neq \chi'$  then  $e_\chi e_{\chi'} = 0$  and hence  $ve_{\chi'} = 0$ . The set  $\{T_{n_s}, e_\chi : s \in S, \chi : H \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times\}$  generates  $\mathcal{H}_\Gamma$  as an algebra, so to determine the action, it is enough to compute

$$vT_{n_s} = \sum_{u \in U/U \cap U^s} un_s^{-1}v$$

for every  $s \in S$ . Now the right hand side is given by [6] Proposition 6.6, which implies that  $\rho_{\chi,J}^U \cong M_{\chi,J}$ .

Since, by Theorem 3.1 (iii) every irreducible representation of  $\Gamma$  corresponds to a pair  $(\chi, J)$ , it is enough to show that every irreducible module  $M$  of  $\mathcal{H}_\Gamma$  is isomorphic to  $M_{\chi,J}$ , for some pair  $(\chi, J)$ . We adopt an argument of Vignéras, [16] E.7.1. We consider a representation  $\rho(M) = M \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_\Gamma} \text{Ind}_U^\Gamma \mathbb{1}$ , where  $\Gamma$  acts on the right component of the tensor product. We have an injection of right  $\mathcal{H}_\Gamma$ -modules

$$M \hookrightarrow \rho(M)^U, \quad m \mapsto m \otimes \varphi$$

where  $\varphi$  takes value 1 on  $U$  and vanishes otherwise. Since,  $\varphi$  generates  $\text{Ind}_U^\Gamma \mathbf{1}$  as a  $\Gamma$ -representation, the image of  $M$  in  $\rho(M)$  will generate  $\rho(M)$  as a  $\Gamma$ -representation. Hence, if  $\rho$  is any non-zero irreducible quotient of  $\rho(M)$ , then since  $M$  is irreducible, it will be a non-zero submodule of  $\rho^U$ , but by Theorem 3.1 (iii),  $\rho$  will correspond to some pair  $(\chi, J)$  and by above  $M \cong M_{\chi, J}$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.4.** *Ideally, we would like to have an analogue of the Corollary above for  $G$  or more generally for any group of  $F$ -points of a reductive group, split over  $F$ .*

Carter and Lusztig, in [6] construct all the irreducible representations  $\rho_{\chi, J}$  in a very elegant way. For each pair  $(\chi, J)$  they define a  $\Gamma$ -equivariant homomorphism

$$\Theta_{w_0}^J : \text{Ind}_B^\Gamma \chi \rightarrow \text{Ind}_B^\Gamma \chi^{w_0}$$

which depends on the geometry of the Coxeter group  $W$ , so that

$$\rho_{\chi, J} \cong \text{Im } \Theta_{w_0}^J$$

where  $w_0$  is the unique element of maximal length in  $W$ .

From now onwards we specialise to our situation, so that  $\Gamma = \text{GL}_2(\mathbf{F}_q)$ ,  $B$  is the subgroup of upper-triangular matrices,  $U$  is the subgroup of unipotent upper-triangular matrices,  $H$  is the diagonal matrices,  $N$  is the normaliser of  $H$  in  $\Gamma$ , that is the monomial matrices and  $W = N/H$  is isomorphic to the symmetric group on two letters,  $W = \{1, s\}$ . Let

$$n_s = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

be a fixed representative of  $s$  in  $N$ . In particular,  $s$  is the element of the maximal length in  $W$  and also the single Coxeter generator, so that  $S = \{s\}$ . Hence, if  $\chi : H \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times$ , then either  $J_0(\chi) = \emptyset$  or  $J_0(\chi) = S$ . Since

$$K/K_1 \cong \Gamma, \quad I/K_1 \cong B, \quad I_1/K_1 \cong U$$

to ease the notation, we will often identify the spaces

$$\{f : \Gamma \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p : f(ug) = f(g), \quad \forall g \in \Gamma, \quad \forall u \in U\}$$

and

$$\{f \in \text{c-Ind}_{I_1}^G \mathbf{1} : \text{Supp } f \subseteq K\}$$

in the natural way. In particular, we will use the same notation for the elements of  $\mathcal{H}_K$  and  $\mathcal{H}_\Gamma$  and we note that the Definitions 2.25 and 3.2 coincide.

**Proposition 3.5.** *For each character  $\chi : H \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times$ , such that  $\chi = \chi^s$ , let*

$$\rho_{\chi,S} = \text{Im}((1 + T_{n_s}) : \text{Ind}_B^\Gamma \chi \rightarrow \text{Ind}_B^\Gamma \chi)$$

*and let*

$$\rho_{\chi,\emptyset} = \text{Im}(T_{n_s} : \text{Ind}_B^\Gamma \chi \rightarrow \text{Ind}_B^\Gamma \chi)$$

*then the representations  $\rho_{\chi,S}$  and  $\rho_{\chi,\emptyset}$  are irreducible. Moreover,*

$$\rho_{\chi,S}^U = \langle (1 + T_{n_s})\varphi_\chi \rangle_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} \cong M_{\chi,S} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_{\chi,\emptyset}^U = \langle T_{n_s}\varphi_\chi \rangle_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} \cong M_{\chi,\emptyset}$$

*as  $\mathcal{H}_\Gamma$ -modules. For each character  $\chi : H \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times$ , such that  $\chi \neq \chi^s$ , let*

$$\rho_{\chi,\emptyset} = \text{Im}(T_{n_s} : \text{Ind}_B^\Gamma \chi \rightarrow \text{Ind}_B^\Gamma \chi^s)$$

*then the representation  $\rho_{\chi,\emptyset}$  is irreducible. Moreover,*

$$\rho_{\chi,\emptyset}^U = \langle T_{n_s}\varphi_\chi \rangle_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} \cong M_{\chi,\emptyset}$$

*as an  $\mathcal{H}_\Gamma$ -module. Further, these representations are pairwise non-isomorphic, and every irreducible representation of  $\Gamma$  is isomorphic to  $\rho_{\chi,J}$ , for some character  $\chi$  and a subset  $J$  of  $J_0(\chi)$ .*

*Proof.* This is a special case of [6] Theorem 7.1 and Corollary 7.5. The isomorphisms of  $\mathcal{H}_\Gamma$ -modules are given by the Corollary 3.3.  $\square$

**Remark 3.6.** *Although we do not use this, we note that Frobenius reciprocity gives us*

$$\text{c-Ind}_K^G \rho_{\chi,\emptyset} \cong T_{n_s}(\text{c-Ind}_I^G \chi) \leq \text{c-Ind}_I^G \chi^s$$

*and if  $\chi = \chi^s$  then*

$$\text{c-Ind}_K^G \rho_{\chi,S} \cong (1 + T_{n_s})(\text{c-Ind}_I^G \chi) \leq \text{c-Ind}_I^G \chi.$$

*Using this, one can relate the central elements of Vignéras in [16] to the ‘standard’ endomorphisms  $T_\sigma$  of Barthel and Livné in [2].*

**Lemma 3.7.** *Let  $\chi : H \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times$  be a character, such that  $\chi = \chi^s$ . Then the homomorphisms  $e_\chi(1 + T_{n_s})e_\chi$  and  $-e_\chi T_{n_s}e_\chi$  are orthogonal idempotents. In particular,*

$$\text{Ind}_B^\Gamma \chi \cong \rho_{\chi,\emptyset} \oplus \rho_{\chi,S}.$$

*Moreover, let  $\chi' : \mathbf{F}_q^\times \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times$  be a character such that  $\chi = \chi' \circ \det$ , then*

$$\rho_{\chi,S} \cong \chi' \circ \det \quad \rho_{\chi,\emptyset} \cong \text{St} \otimes \chi' \circ \det$$

*where  $\text{St}$  is the Steinberg representation.*

*Proof.* Since  $\chi = \chi^s$  we have

$$e_\chi T_{n_s} = T_{n_s} e_\chi \quad \text{and} \quad e_\chi T_{n_s}^2 = -e_\chi T_{n_s}.$$

So the elements above are orthogonal idempotents as claimed. By Proposition 3.5, the summands they split off are  $\rho_{\chi,S}$  and  $\rho_{\chi,\emptyset}$ .

Since  $\chi = \chi^s$ , the character  $\chi$  must factor through the determinant. So  $\chi$  extends to a character of  $\Gamma$  and hence

$$\text{Ind}_B^\Gamma \chi \cong \text{Ind}_B^\Gamma \mathbb{1} \otimes \chi' \circ \det.$$

So we may assume that  $\chi$  is the trivial character. The Bruhat decomposition says that  $\Gamma = BsB \cup B$  and hence by Theorem 3.1 (ii)  $\rho_{\mathbb{1},S} = \mathbb{1}$ , the trivial representations of  $G$ . This implies that  $\rho_{\mathbb{1},\emptyset}$  is the Steinberg representation.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.8.** *Let  $\chi : H \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times$  be a character, such that  $\chi = \chi^s$ . Let  $\rho$  be any representation of  $\Gamma$ , such that for some  $v \in \rho^U$  we have*

$$\langle v \rangle_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} \cong M_{\chi,J}$$

as an  $\mathcal{H}_\Gamma$ -module. Then

$$\langle \Gamma v \rangle_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} \cong \rho_{\chi,J}$$

as a  $\Gamma$ -representation.

*Proof.* Since  $v$  is fixed by  $U$  there exists a homomorphism

$$\psi \in \text{Hom}_\Gamma(\text{Ind}_U^\Gamma \mathbb{1}, \rho)$$

such that  $\psi(\varphi) = v$ . The isomorphism of  $\mathcal{H}_\Gamma$ -modules implies that

$$v = ve_\chi = \psi(e_\chi \varphi) = \psi(\varphi_\chi).$$

Hence,  $H$  acts on  $v$  by a character  $\chi$  and

$$\psi(\text{Ind}_U^\Gamma \mathbb{1}) = \psi(e_\chi(\text{Ind}_U^\Gamma \mathbb{1})) = \psi(\text{Ind}_B^\Gamma \chi).$$

If  $J = \emptyset$  then

$$\psi((1 + T_{n_s})\varphi_\chi) = v(1 + T_{n_s})e_\chi = 0.$$

Hence,  $\rho_{\chi,S}$  is contained in the kernel of  $\psi$ . By Lemma 3.7

$$\text{Im } \psi \cong \rho_{\chi,\emptyset}.$$

Since, the image is irreducible and contains  $v$  we get the result. The proof for  $J = S$  is analogous.  $\square$

The Corollary has a nice application, which complements [16] E.7.1.

**Corollary 3.9.** *Let  $\pi$  be a smooth representation of  $G$  and suppose that there exists a non-zero vector  $v \in \pi^{I_1}$  such that*

$$ve_{\mathbb{1}} = v, \quad vT_{n_s} = 0, \quad vT_{\Pi} = v$$

*then  $G$  acts trivially on  $v$ .*

*Proof.* As an  $\mathcal{H}_K$  module

$$\langle v \rangle_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} \cong M_{\mathbb{1}, S}.$$

By Corollary 3.8  $K$  acts trivially on  $v$ . On the other hand

$$v = vT_{\Pi} = \Pi^{-1}v.$$

Iwahori decomposition implies that  $\Pi$  and  $K$  generate  $G$  as a group. Hence  $G$  acts trivially on  $v$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.10.** *There is a version of this twisted by a character. This example will lead us to better things. See Remark 5.19.*

**Lemma 3.11.** *Let  $\chi : H \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times$  be a character, let  $J$  be a subset of  $J_0(\chi)$ , and let  $\overline{J} = J_0(\chi) \setminus J$ . The sequence of  $\mathcal{H}_{\Gamma}$ -modules*

$$0 \longrightarrow M_{\chi, J} \longrightarrow (\text{Ind}_B^{\Gamma} \chi^s)^U \longrightarrow M_{\chi^s, \overline{J}} \longrightarrow 0$$

*is exact. Moreover, it splits if and only if  $\chi = \chi^s$ .*

*Proof.* The space  $(\text{Ind}_B^{\Gamma} \chi^s)^U$  is two dimensional, with the basis  $\{T_{n_s} \varphi_{\chi}, \varphi_{\chi^s}\}$ . If  $\chi = \chi^s$  then  $e_{\chi}(1 + T_{n_s})e_{\chi}$  and  $-e_{\chi}T_{n_s}e_{\chi}$  are orthogonal idempotents, which split the sequence.

If  $\chi \neq \chi^s$  then for any  $\lambda, \mu \in \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$  we have

$$(\lambda T_{n_s} \varphi_{\chi} + \mu \varphi_{\chi^s})e_{\chi} = \lambda T_{n_s} \varphi_{\chi}, \quad (\lambda T_{n_s} \varphi_{\chi} + \mu \varphi_{\chi^s})e_{\chi^s} = \mu \varphi_{\chi^s}$$

and

$$(\lambda T_{n_s} \varphi_{\chi} + \mu \varphi_{\chi^s})T_{n_s} = \mu T_{n_s} \varphi_{\chi}.$$

Hence  $M_{\chi, \emptyset}$  is the only proper submodule, so the sequence cannot split.  $\square$

### 3.2 Alternative description of irreducible representations

Let  $V_{d,F}$  be an  $F$  vector space of homogeneous polynomials in two variables  $X$  and  $Y$  of the degree  $d$ . The group  $K$  acts on  $V_{d,F}$  via

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} (X^{d-i}Y^i) = (aX + cY)^{d-i}(bX + dY)^i.$$

For  $0 \leq i \leq d$ , let

$$m_i = \binom{d}{i} X^{d-i}Y^i$$

where  $\binom{d}{i}$  denotes the binomial coefficient. Vectors  $m_i$ , for  $0 \leq i \leq d$ , form a basis of  $V_{d,F}$ . Let  $V_{d,\mathfrak{o}_F}$  be the  $\mathfrak{o}_F$ -lattice in  $V_{d,F}$  spanned by the  $m_i$ , for  $0 \leq i \leq d$ . An easy check shows that  $V_{d,\mathfrak{o}_F}$  is  $K$  invariant. Let

$$V_{d,\mathbf{F}_q} = V_{d,\mathfrak{o}_F} \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_F} \mathfrak{o}_F/\mathfrak{p}_F.$$

The vectors  $m_i \otimes 1$ , for  $0 \leq i \leq d$ , form an  $\mathbf{F}_q$ -basis of  $V_{d,\mathbf{F}_q}$ . The subgroup  $K_1$  acts trivially on  $V_{d,\mathbf{F}_q}$ , so we consider  $V_{d,\mathbf{F}_q}$  as a representation of  $\Gamma$ . Let  $\text{Fr}$  be the automorphism of  $\Gamma$ , given by

$$\text{Fr} : \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a^p & b^p \\ c^p & d^p \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let  $\rho$  be a representation of  $\Gamma$ . We will denote by  $\rho^{\text{Fr}}$  the representation of  $\Gamma$  given by

$$\rho^{\text{Fr}}(g) = \rho(\text{Fr}(g)).$$

**Theorem 3.12.** *Let  $\Gamma = \text{GL}_2(\mathbf{F}_q)$  and suppose that  $q = p^n$ . The isomorphism classes of irreducible  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ -representations of  $\Gamma$  are parameterised by pairs  $(a, \mathbf{r})$ , where*

- $a$  is an integer  $1 \leq a \leq q-1$  and
- $\mathbf{r}$  is an ordered  $n$ -tuple  $\mathbf{r} = (r_0, r_1, \dots, r_{n-1})$ , where  $0 \leq r_i \leq p-1$ , for every  $i$ .

Moreover, the irreducible representations of  $\Gamma$  can be realized over  $\mathbf{F}_q$  and the irreducible representation corresponding to  $(a, \mathbf{r})$  is given by

$$V_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{F}_q} \otimes (\det)^a \cong V_{r_0,\mathbf{F}_q} \otimes V_{r_1,\mathbf{F}_q}^{\text{Fr}} \otimes \dots \otimes V_{r_i,\mathbf{F}_q}^{\text{Fr}^i} \otimes \dots \otimes V_{r_{n-1},\mathbf{F}_q}^{\text{Fr}^{n-1}} \otimes (\det)^a.$$

*Proof.* This is shown in [3], see also [2] Proposition 1 and [16] Ap. 6. We remark that since  $\binom{r}{i}$  is a unit in  $\mathbf{F}_q$  if  $r \leq p-1$ , our spaces really coincide with the ones considered in [2].  $\square$

We fix some embedding  $\iota : \mathbf{F}_q \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$  and we will assume that every character  $\chi : H \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times$  factors through  $\iota$ . Once we have done that, we will omit  $\iota$  from our notation. We will denote

$$V_{\mathbf{r}, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} = V_{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{F}_q} \otimes_{\mathbf{F}_q} \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p.$$

We need a dictionary between the two descriptions.

**Proposition 3.13.** *Let  $\chi : H \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times$  and let  $a$  be the unique integer, such that  $1 \leq a \leq q-1$  and*

$$\chi\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}\right) = \lambda^a \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbf{F}_q^\times$$

*and let  $r$  be the unique integer, such that  $1 \leq r \leq q-1$  and*

$$\chi\left(\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda^{-1} \end{pmatrix}\right) = \lambda^r \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbf{F}_q^\times.$$

*Suppose that  $r \neq q-1$ , and let  $\mathbf{r} = (r_0, \dots, r_{n-1})$  be the digits of a  $p$ -adic expansion of  $r$*

$$r = r_0 + r_1 p + \dots + r_{n-1} p^{n-1}$$

*then  $\chi \neq \chi^s$  and  $\rho_{\chi, \emptyset}$  corresponds to the pair  $(a, \mathbf{r})$ . More precisely*

$$\rho_{\chi, \emptyset} \cong V_{r_0, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} \otimes \dots \otimes V_{r_{n-1}, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p}^{\text{Fr}^{n-1}} \otimes (\det)^a.$$

*Suppose that  $r = q-1$ , then  $\chi = \chi^s$ ,*

$$\rho_{\chi, \emptyset} \cong V_{p-1, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} \otimes \dots \otimes V_{p-1, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p}^{\text{Fr}^{n-1}} \otimes (\det)^a \cong \text{St} \otimes (\det)^a$$

*and*

$$\rho_{\chi, S} \cong V_{0, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} \otimes \dots \otimes V_{0, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p}^{\text{Fr}^{n-1}} \otimes (\det)^a \cong (\det)^a$$

*where  $\text{St}$  denotes the Steinberg representation.*

*Proof.* Every character  $\chi : H \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times$  is of the form

$$\chi : \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \lambda^c \mu^d$$

for some integers  $c$  and  $d$ . Moreover,  $\chi = \chi^s$  if and only if

$$c - d \equiv 0 \pmod{q-1}.$$

The integers  $a$  and  $r$  are uniquely determined by the congruences

$$d \equiv a \pmod{q-1} \quad \text{and} \quad c - d \equiv r \pmod{q-1}.$$

By Theorem 3.1 if  $\rho$  is an irreducible representation of  $\Gamma$ , then  $\dim \rho^U = 1$ , and by Corollary 3.3 the irreducible representations of  $\Gamma$  correspond to the irreducible modules of the Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}_\Gamma$ . Since we have two complete lists of irreducible representations, it is enough to match up the corresponding irreducible modules. We recall that

$$\rho_{\chi,J}^U \cong M_{\chi,J}$$

as  $\mathcal{H}_\Gamma$ -modules.

We observe that the action of  $U$  on  $V_{d,\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p}$  fixes the vector  $m_0 \otimes 1$ . Moreover,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix} m_0 \otimes 1 = \lambda^d m_0 \otimes 1.$$

Let  $(a, \mathbf{r})$  be any pair parameterising an irreducible representation of  $\Gamma$  and let

$$r = r_0 + r_1 p + \dots + r_{n-1} p^{n-1}.$$

By picking such  $(m_0 \otimes 1)_{r_i}$  in every component of the tensor product we obtain a non-zero vector

$$(m_0 \otimes 1)_{\mathbf{r}} = (m_0 \otimes 1)_{r_0} \otimes \dots \otimes (m_0 \otimes 1)_{r_{n-1}}$$

fixed by  $U$ . The vector  $(m_0 \otimes 1)_{\mathbf{r}}$  spans the space of  $U$  invariants, since it is one dimensional. Moreover, since the action on the components of the tensor product is twisted by  $\mathbf{r}$  we obtain

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix} (m_0 \otimes 1)_{\mathbf{r}} = (\lambda\mu)^a \lambda^r (m_0 \otimes 1)_{\mathbf{r}}.$$

Suppose that we start with an arbitrary character  $\chi : H \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times$  and obtain the integers  $a$  and  $r$  as in the statement of the proposition.

If  $r \neq q-1$ , then by above  $\chi \neq \chi^s$ . Let  $\mathbf{r}$  be the  $n$ -tuple corresponding to  $r$ . Since,  $\chi \neq \chi^s$ , the module  $M_{\chi,\emptyset}$  is the only irreducible module of  $\mathcal{H}_\Gamma$ , which

is not killed by the idempotent  $e_\chi$ . Let  $(m_0 \otimes 1)_{\mathbf{r}}$  be the vector constructed above. Since,  $H$  acts on  $(m_0 \otimes 1)_{\mathbf{r}}$  via the character  $\chi$ , we obtain

$$M_{\chi, \emptyset} \cong (V_{r_0, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} \otimes \dots \otimes V_{r_{n-1}, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p}^{\text{Fr}^{n-1}} \otimes (\det)^a)^U$$

as  $\mathcal{H}_\Gamma$ -modules and that implies the isomorphism between representations.

If  $r = q - 1$ , then  $\chi = \chi^s$ , and the only  $\mathcal{H}_\Gamma$ -modules, which are not killed by  $e_\chi$ , are  $M_{\chi, S}$  and  $M_{\chi, \emptyset}$ . We observe that  $V_{0, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p}$  is just the trivial representation. Let  $\mathbf{0} = (0, \dots, 0)$ , then the representation corresponding to the pair  $(a, \mathbf{0})$  is just  $\mathbf{1} \otimes (\det)^a$ , which is isomorphic to  $\rho_{\chi, S}$ , by Proposition 3.7. The only case left is  $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{1} = (p - 1, \dots, p - 1)$ , hence

$$M_{\chi, \emptyset} \cong (V_{p-1, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} \otimes \dots \otimes V_{p-1, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p}^{\text{Fr}^{n-1}} \otimes (\det)^a)^U$$

as  $\mathcal{H}_\Gamma$ -modules, since the module  $M_{\chi, S}$  is already taken. This implies that

$$\rho_{\chi, \emptyset} \cong V_{\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{1}, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} \otimes (\det)^a \cong St \otimes (\det)^a$$

where the last isomorphism follows from Proposition 3.7.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.14.** *Suppose that  $q = p^n$  and the representation  $\rho_{\chi, J}$  corresponds to the pair  $(a, \mathbf{r})$ . Let  $r = r_0 + r_1 p + \dots + r_{n-1} p^{n-1}$  and let  $\overline{J} = J_0(\chi) \setminus J$ , where  $J_0(\chi) = \{s \in S : \chi^s = \chi\}$ . Then*

$$\rho_{\chi^s, \overline{J}} \cong V_{p-1-r_0, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} \otimes \dots \otimes V_{p-1-r_{n-1}, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p}^{\text{Fr}^{n-1}} \otimes (\det)^{a+r}.$$

*Proof.* If  $r = 0$  or  $r = q - 1$ , then  $\mathbf{r}$  is of a special form and the isomorphism follows from Proposition 3.13.

If  $r \neq 0$  and  $r \neq q - 1$ , we observe that

$$\chi^s \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix} \right) = \chi \left( \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \right) \chi \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix} \right) = \lambda^{a+r} \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbf{F}_q^\times$$

and

$$\chi^s \left( \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \right) = \chi \left( \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix} \right) = \lambda^{-r} \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbf{F}_q^\times.$$

The claim follows from Proposition 3.13.  $\square$

## 4 Principal indecomposable representations

We will recall some facts from the modular representation theory of finite groups. Let  $\Gamma$  be any finite group. We denote by  $\text{Rep}_\Gamma$  the category of  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$  representations of  $\Gamma$  and by  $\text{Irr}_\Gamma$  the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible representations in  $\text{Rep}_\Gamma$ . We note that  $\text{Rep}_\Gamma$  is equivalent to the module category of the ring  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p[\Gamma]$ .

**Proposition 4.1.** *A representation  $\text{inj}$  is an injective object in  $\text{Rep}_\Gamma$  if and only if it is a projective object in  $\text{Rep}_\Gamma$ .*

*The isomorphism classes of indecomposable injective (and hence projective) objects in  $\text{Rep}_{\Gamma}$  are parameterised by  $\text{Irr}_\Gamma$ .*

*More precisely, if  $\text{inj}$  is indecomposable and injective, then the maximal semi-simple submodule  $\text{soc}(\text{inj})$  and the maximal semi-simple quotient  $\text{inj} / \text{rad}(\text{inj})$  are both irreducible. Moreover,*

$$\text{soc}(\text{inj}) \cong \text{inj} / \text{rad}(\text{inj}).$$

*Conversely, given  $\rho \in \text{Irr}_\Gamma$ , there exists a unique up to isomorphism indecomposable, injective object  $\text{inj } \rho$  in  $\text{Rep}_\Gamma$ , such that*

$$\rho \cong \text{soc}(\text{inj } \rho).$$

*Proof.* See [14], Exercises 14.1 and 14.6. □

We will call indecomposable representations of  $\Gamma$ , which are injective objects in  $\text{Rep}_\Gamma$ , principal indecomposable representations.

**Remark 4.2.** *We note that a monomorphism  $\rho \hookrightarrow \text{inj } \rho$  is an injective envelope of  $\rho$  in  $\text{Rep}_\Gamma$ .*

**Corollary 4.3.** *We have the following decomposition:*

$$\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p[\Gamma] \cong \bigoplus_{\rho \in \text{Irr}_\Gamma} (\dim \rho) \text{inj } \rho.$$

*Proof.* Since  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p[\Gamma]$  is an injective and projective object it must decompose into a direct sum of indecomposable injective objects. Since

$$\dim \text{Hom}_\Gamma(\rho, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p[\Gamma]) = \dim \text{Hom}_{\{1\}}(\rho, \mathbb{1}) = \dim \rho$$

the representation  $\text{inj } \rho$  occurs in the decomposition with the multiplicity  $\dim \rho$ . □

**Proposition 4.4.** *Let  $U$  be a  $p$ -Sylow subgroup of  $\Gamma$ . Then a representation  $\rho$  is an injective object in  $\text{Rep}_\Gamma$  if and only if  $\rho|_U$  is an injective object in  $\text{Rep}_U$ .*

*Proof.* This follows easily from [14], §14.4, Lemma 20.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.5.** *Suppose that  $U$  is a  $p$ -group, then the only irreducible representation is  $\mathbb{1}$  and hence the only principal indecomposable representation is  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p[U]$ .*

*Proof.* The first part is [14], §8, Proposition 26, the last part follows from Corollary 4.3.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.6.** *Let  $\text{inj}$  be an injective object in  $\text{Rep}_\Gamma$  and let  $U$  be a  $p$ -Sylow subgroup of  $\Gamma$ , then*

$$\dim \text{inj} = \dim \text{inj}^U |U|.$$

*Proof.* The restriction  $\text{inj}|_U$  is an injective object in  $\text{Rep}_U$ . By the above Proposition

$$\text{inj}|_U \cong m\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p[U].$$

The multiplicity  $m$  is given by:  $m = \dim \text{Hom}_U(\mathbb{1}, \text{inj}) = \dim \text{inj}^U$ .  $\square$

In the rest of the section  $\Gamma = \text{GL}_2(\mathbf{F}_q)$  and  $U$  is the subgroup of unipotent upper triangular matrices. Given  $\rho \in \text{Irr}_\Gamma$  we are going to compute  $(\text{inj } \rho)^U$  as an  $\mathcal{H}_\Gamma$ -module. Once we know the modules we are going to show that if we consider  $\text{inj } \rho_{\chi, J}$  and  $\text{inj } \rho_{\chi^s, \overline{J}}$  as representations of  $K$ , then the action of  $\mathcal{H}_K$  on

$$(\text{inj } \rho_{\chi, J} \oplus \text{inj } \rho_{\chi^s, \overline{J}})^{I_1}$$

extends to the action of  $\mathcal{H}$ , so that if  $\chi = \chi^s$  then it is isomorphic to a direct sum of supersingular modules and if  $\chi \neq \chi^s$  then it is isomorphic to a direct sum of  $L_\gamma$  and supersingular modules. See Propositions 4.48 and 4.49 for the precise statement. This calculation, becomes of importance in Section 6.3. Although, the general case includes the case  $q = p$ , if  $q = p$  we give a different, easier way of doing this. When  $q = p$ , the main result is Proposition 4.15.

## 4.1 The case $q = p$

We start off with no assumption on  $q$ .

**Lemma 4.7.** *Suppose that  $\chi \neq \chi^s$ , then there exists an exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Ind}_B^\Gamma \chi^s \xrightarrow{\psi} \text{inj } \rho_{\chi, \emptyset}$$

of  $\Gamma$ -representations.

*Proof.* Since  $\text{inj } \rho_{\chi, \emptyset}$  is an injective module, there exists  $\psi$  such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \rho_{\chi, \emptyset} & \longrightarrow & \text{Ind}_B^\Gamma \chi^s \\ & & \downarrow & \nearrow \psi & \\ & & \text{inj } \rho_{\chi, \emptyset} & & \end{array}$$

commutes. If  $\text{Ker } \psi \neq 0$ , then  $(\text{Ker } \psi)^U$  is a non-zero proper submodule of  $(\text{Ind}_B^\Gamma \chi^s)^U$  not containing  $M_{\chi, \emptyset}$ . By Lemma 3.11 this cannot happen.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.8.** *Suppose that  $\chi \neq \chi^s$  then*

$$\dim \text{inj } \rho_{\chi, \emptyset} \geq 2q.$$

*Proof.* Corollary 4.6 implies that

$$\dim \text{inj } \rho_{\chi, \emptyset} = \dim(\text{inj } \rho_{\chi, \emptyset})^U |U|.$$

The order of  $U$  is  $q$  and since by Lemma 4.7  $\text{Ind}_B^\Gamma \chi^s$  is a subspace of  $\text{inj } \rho_{\chi, \emptyset}$ , we obtain

$$\dim(\text{inj } \rho_{\chi, \emptyset})^U \geq 2.$$

$\square$

**Lemma 4.9.** *Suppose that  $q = p$  and  $\chi \neq \chi^s$  then the sequence of  $\Gamma$  representations*

$$0 \longrightarrow \rho_{\chi, \emptyset} \longrightarrow \text{Ind}_B^\Gamma \chi^s \longrightarrow \rho_{\chi^s, \emptyset} \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact.

**Remark 4.10.** *This fails if  $q \neq p$ .*

*Proof.* The argument below is taken from [16] Ap. 6. We know that

$$\rho_{\chi^s, \emptyset} \cong T_{n_s}(\text{Ind}_B^\Gamma \chi^s)$$

and  $\rho_{\chi, \emptyset}$  is isomorphic to the subspace of  $\text{Ind}_B^\Gamma \chi^s$  generated by  $T_{n_s} \varphi_\chi$ . Since,  $T_{n_s}^2 \varphi_\chi = 0$  we always have

$$\rho_{\chi, \emptyset} \leq \text{Ker } T_{n_s}.$$

If  $q = p$ , then by Proposition 3.13 and Corollary 3.14 there exists an integer  $r$  such that

$$\dim \rho_{\chi, \emptyset} + \dim \rho_{\chi^s, \emptyset} = (r+1) + (p-1-r+1) = p+1 = \dim \text{Ind}_B^\Gamma \chi^s.$$

Hence the sequence is exact.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.11.** *Suppose that  $q = p$  and let  $\chi : H \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times$  be a character, such that  $\chi \neq \chi^s$ . Let  $\rho$  be any representation of  $\Gamma$ , such that for some  $v \in \rho^U$*

$$\langle v \rangle_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} \cong M_{\chi, \emptyset}$$

as an  $\mathcal{H}_\Gamma$ -module. Then

$$\langle \Gamma v \rangle_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} \cong \rho_{\chi, \emptyset}$$

as a  $\Gamma$ -representation.

**Remark 4.12.** *This fails if  $p \neq q$ , by Remark 4.10, it is enough to look at  $\text{Ind}_B^\Gamma \chi / \rho_{\chi^s, \emptyset}$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $v$  is fixed by  $U$ , there exists a homomorphism

$$\psi \in \text{Hom}_\Gamma(\text{Ind}_U^\Gamma \mathbf{1}, \rho)$$

such that  $\psi(\varphi) = v$ . The isomorphism of  $\mathcal{H}_\Gamma$ -modules implies that

$$v = ve_\chi = \psi(e_\chi \varphi) = \psi(\varphi_\chi).$$

Hence  $H$  acts on  $v$  by a character  $\chi$  and

$$\psi(\text{Ind}_U^\Gamma \mathbf{1}) = \psi(e_\chi(\text{Ind}_U^\Gamma \mathbf{1})) = \psi(\text{Ind}_B^\Gamma \chi).$$

Now

$$\psi(T_{n_s} \varphi_{\chi^s}) = v T_{n_s} e_{\chi^s} = 0.$$

Hence,  $\rho_{\chi^s, \emptyset}$  is contained in the kernel of  $\psi$ . By Lemma 4.9

$$\text{Im } \psi \cong \rho_{\chi, \emptyset}.$$

Since, the image is irreducible and contains  $v$  we get the result.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.13.** *Suppose that  $q = p$ . If  $\chi = \chi^s$  then*

$$\dim \text{inj } \rho_{\chi, J} = p.$$

*If  $\chi \neq \chi^s$  then*

$$\dim \text{inj } \rho_{\chi, \emptyset} = 2p.$$

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p[\Gamma] &= \sum_{\rho \in \text{Irr}_{\Gamma}} (\dim \rho)(\dim \text{inj } \rho) \\ &= \sum_{\chi = \chi^s} (\dim \rho_{\chi, \emptyset})(\dim \text{inj } \rho_{\chi, \emptyset}) + (\dim \rho_{\chi, S})(\dim \text{inj } \rho_{\chi, S}) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\chi \neq \chi^s} (\dim \rho_{\chi, \emptyset})(\dim \text{inj } \rho_{\chi, \emptyset}) + (\dim \rho_{\chi^s, \emptyset})(\dim \text{inj } \rho_{\chi^s, \emptyset}). \end{aligned}$$

If  $\chi = \chi^s$  then Corollary 4.6 implies that

$$\dim \text{inj } \rho_{\chi, J} \geq p.$$

If  $\chi \neq \chi^s$  then Corollary 4.8 implies that

$$\dim \text{inj } \rho_{\chi, \emptyset} \geq 2p.$$

Lemma 4.9 and Lemma 3.7 imply that

$$\dim \rho_{\chi, J} + \dim \rho_{\chi^s, \overline{J}} = p + 1.$$

We put these inequalities together and we obtain

$$\dim \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p[\Gamma] \geq \sum_{\chi} (p + 1)p = \dim \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p[\Gamma]$$

So all the inequalities must be equalities and we obtain the lemma.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.14.** *Suppose that  $q = p$ . If  $\chi = \chi^s$  then*

$$\langle \Gamma(\text{inj } \rho_{\chi, J})^U \rangle_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} \cong \rho_{\chi, J}.$$

*In particular,*

$$(\text{inj } \rho_{\chi, J})^U \cong M_{\chi, J}$$

*as an  $\mathcal{H}_{\Gamma}$ -module.*

*If  $\chi \neq \chi^s$  then*

$$\langle \Gamma(\text{inj } \rho_{\chi, \emptyset})^U \rangle_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} \cong \text{Ind}_B^{\Gamma} \chi^s.$$

*In particular,*

$$(\text{inj } \rho_{\chi, J})^U \cong (\text{Ind}_B^{\Gamma} \chi^s)^U$$

*as an  $\mathcal{H}_{\Gamma}$ -module.*

*Proof.* If  $\chi = \chi^s$  then we have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \rho_{\chi, J} \longrightarrow \text{inj } \rho_{\chi, J}$$

of  $\Gamma$ -representations. Since, by Lemma 4.13

$$\dim \rho_{\chi, J}^U = \dim(\text{inj } \rho_{\chi, J})^U$$

we obtain the Corollary. Similarly, if  $\chi \neq \chi^s$  then by Lemma 4.7 there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Ind}_B^\Gamma \chi^s \longrightarrow \text{inj } \rho_{\chi, \emptyset}$$

of  $\Gamma$ -representations. Since, by Lemma 4.13

$$\dim(\text{Ind}_B^\Gamma \chi^s)^U = \dim(\text{inj } \rho_{\chi, \emptyset})^U$$

we obtain the Corollary.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.15.** *Suppose that  $q = p$ , let  $\chi : H \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times$  be a character and let  $\gamma = \{\chi, \chi^s\}$ . We consider representations  $\text{inj } \rho_{\chi, J}$  and  $\text{inj } \rho_{\chi, \overline{J}}$  as representations of  $K$ , via*

$$K \rightarrow K/K_1 \cong \Gamma.$$

*If  $\chi = \chi^s$  then the action of  $\mathcal{H}_K$  on  $(\text{inj } \rho_{\chi, \emptyset} \oplus \text{inj } \rho_{\chi, S})^{I_1}$  extends to the action of  $\mathcal{H}$  so that*

$$(\text{inj } \rho_{\chi, \emptyset} \oplus \text{inj } \rho_{\chi, S})^{I_1} \cong M_\gamma.$$

*If  $\chi \neq \chi^s$  then the action of  $\mathcal{H}_K$  on  $(\text{inj } \rho_{\chi, \emptyset} \oplus \text{inj } \rho_{\chi^s, \emptyset})^{I_1}$  extends to the action of  $\mathcal{H}$  so that*

$$(\text{inj } \rho_{\chi, \emptyset} \oplus \text{inj } \rho_{\chi^s, \emptyset})^{I_1} \cong L_\gamma.$$

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\chi = \chi^s$  by Corollary 4.14 we have

$$(\text{inj } \rho_{\chi, \emptyset} \oplus \text{inj } \rho_{\chi, S})^{I_1} \cong \langle T_{n_s} \varphi_\chi \rangle_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} \oplus \langle (1 + T_{n_s}) \varphi_\chi \rangle_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} \cong M_{\chi, \emptyset} \oplus M_{\chi, S} \cong M_\gamma|_{\mathcal{H}_K}$$

as  $\mathcal{H}_K$ -modules, where the last isomorphism follows from Lemma 2.26. It is enough to define the action of  $T_\Pi$ . If we let

$$(T_{n_s} \varphi_\chi) T_\Pi = (1 + T_{n_s}) \varphi_\chi \quad \text{and} \quad ((1 + T_{n_s}) \varphi_\chi) T_\Pi = T_{n_s} \varphi_\chi$$

then this gives us the required action. Suppose that  $\chi \neq \chi^s$ , then Corollary 4.14 and Lemma 2.26 imply that

$$(\text{inj } \rho_{\chi, \emptyset} \oplus \text{inj } \rho_{\chi^s, \emptyset})^{I_1} \cong (\text{Ind}_I^K \chi^s \oplus \text{Ind}_I^K \chi)^{I_1} \cong L_\gamma|_{\mathcal{H}_K}$$

as  $\mathcal{H}_K$ -modules. The space  $(\text{Ind}_I^K \chi^s)^{I_1}$  has basis  $\{T_{n_s} \varphi_\chi, \varphi_{\chi^s}\}$  and the space  $(\text{Ind}_I^K \chi)^{I_1}$  has basis  $\{T_{n_s} \varphi_{\chi^s}, \varphi_\chi\}$ . It is enough to define the action of  $T_\Pi$  on the basis. If we set

$$\varphi_\chi T_\Pi = \varphi_{\chi^s}, \quad \varphi_{\chi^s} T_\Pi = \varphi_\chi$$

and

$$(T_{n_s} \varphi_\chi) T_\Pi = T_{n_s} \varphi_{\chi^s}, \quad (T_{n_s} \varphi_{\chi^s}) T_\Pi = T_{n_s} \varphi_\chi$$

then this gives us the required action.  $\square$

## 4.2 The general case

Our counting argument breaks down if  $p \neq q$ . The strategy is to restrict to  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbf{F}_q)$ , where the principal indecomposable representations have been worked out by Jeyakumar in [9]. Let

$$\Gamma' = \text{SL}_2(\mathbf{F}_q), \quad B' = B \cap \Gamma', \quad H' = H \cap \Gamma'.$$

We note that  $U$  is a subgroup of  $\Gamma'$  and  $n_s \in \Gamma'$ .

### 4.2.1 Modular representations of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbf{F}_q)$

**Theorem 4.16.** *Suppose that  $q = p^n$ . The isomorphism classes of irreducible  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ -representations of  $\Gamma'$  are parameterised by  $n$ -tuples  $\mathbf{r} = (r_0, \dots, r_{n-1})$ , where  $0 \leq r_i \leq p-1$ , for every  $i$ . Moreover, every irreducible representation can be realized over  $\mathbf{F}_q$  and the representation corresponding to an  $n$ -tuple  $\mathbf{r}$  is given by*

$$V_{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{F}_q} \cong V_{r_0, \mathbf{F}_q} \otimes V_{r_1, \mathbf{F}_q}^{\text{Fr}} \otimes \dots \otimes V_{r_i, \mathbf{F}_q}^{\text{Fr}^i} \otimes \dots \otimes V_{r_{n-1}, \mathbf{F}_q}^{\text{Fr}^{n-1}}$$

where  $V_{r_i, \mathbf{F}_q}$  are the spaces of Section 3.2.

*Proof.* This is done by Brauer and Nesbitt, see [3].  $\square$

**Corollary 4.17.** *Let  $\rho$  be an irreducible representation of  $\Gamma$ , then  $\rho|_{\Gamma'}$  is irreducible. Moreover, given an irreducible representation  $\rho'$  of  $\Gamma'$  there exist, precisely  $q-1$  isomorphism classes of irreducible representations of  $\Gamma$ , given by  $\rho \otimes (\det)^a$ , where  $0 \leq a < q-1$ , such that*

$$(\rho \otimes (\det)^a)|_{\Gamma'} \cong \rho'.$$

*Proof.* This is immediate from Theorem 4.16 and Theorem 3.12.  $\square$

**Remark 4.18.** *By counting dimensions, we may show that*

$$(\text{inj}(V_{\mathbf{r}, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} \otimes (\det)^a))|_{\Gamma'} \cong \text{inj } V_{\mathbf{r}, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p}$$

*as  $\Gamma'$ -representations. However, we will obtain this directly later on.*

We recall the construction of the indecomposable principal representations for  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbf{F}_q)$  as it is done in [9]. The idea is to go from the Lie algebra to the universal enveloping algebra and then to the group.

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be the Lie algebra of  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ . It has a  $\mathbb{C}$ -basis

$$e = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad h = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad f = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be the universal enveloping algebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Let  $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}$  be a subring of  $\mathcal{U}$  generated by the elements

$$\frac{e^k}{k!}, \quad \frac{f^k}{k!}, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$$

over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . The ring  $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}$  has a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis, which is also a  $\mathbb{C}$ -basis for  $\mathcal{U}$ . Let  $d$  be a non-negative integer and let  $V_d$  be the irreducible module of  $\mathfrak{g}$  of highest weight  $d$ . The space  $V_d$  has a  $\mathbb{C}$ -basis of weight vectors  $m_i$ , for  $0 \leq i \leq d$ , and the action of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} em_0 &= 0, & em_i &= (d - i + 1)m_{i-1}, & 1 \leq i \leq d, \\ fm_d &= 0, & fm_i &= (i + 1)m_{i+1}, & 0 \leq i \leq d - 1, \\ hm_i &= (d - 2i)m_i, & 0 \leq i \leq d. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $V_{d, \mathbb{Z}}$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice in  $V_d$  spanned by  $m_i$ , for  $0 \leq i \leq d$ . We adopt the convention that  $m_i = 0$  if  $i < 0$  or  $i > d$ . Since,

$$\frac{e^k}{k!}m_i = \binom{d - i + k}{d - i}m_{i-k}$$

and

$$\frac{f^k}{k!}m_i = \binom{i + k}{i}m_{i+k}$$

for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , the lattice  $V_{d, \mathbb{Z}}$  is a  $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ -module. Let

$$\tilde{V}_{d, \mathbf{F}_q} = V_{d, \mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{F}_q.$$

For every  $\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_q$  we define  $x(\lambda), y(\lambda) \in \text{End}(\tilde{V}_{d, \mathbf{F}_q})$ , by

$$x(\lambda)(v \otimes 1) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \lambda^k \left( \frac{e^k}{k!} v \otimes 1 \right)$$

and

$$y(\lambda)(v \otimes 1) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \lambda^k \left( \frac{f^k}{k!} v \otimes 1 \right).$$

Since  $e$  and  $f$  act nilpotently on  $V_d$  this sum is well defined. There exists a unique homomorphism

$$\text{SL}_2(\mathbf{F}_q) \rightarrow \text{End}(\tilde{V}_{d, \mathbf{F}_q})$$

such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \lambda \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto x(\lambda) \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \lambda & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto y(\lambda).$$

This gives us a representation of  $\Gamma'$ . To ease the notation, we denote

$$m_{i, \mathbf{F}_q} = m_i \otimes 1.$$

We will refer to  $\{m_{i, \mathbf{F}_q} : 0 \leq i \leq d\}$  as the standard basis of  $\tilde{V}_{d, \mathbf{F}_q}$ . The action of  $\Gamma'$  is determined by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \lambda \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} m_{i, \mathbf{F}_q} = \sum_{k=0}^i \binom{d-k}{d-i} \lambda^{i-k} m_{k, \mathbf{F}_q},$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \lambda & 1 \end{pmatrix} m_{i, \mathbf{F}_q} = \sum_{k=i}^d \binom{k}{i} \lambda^{k-i} m_{k, \mathbf{F}_q}.$$

This gives

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda^{-1} \end{pmatrix} m_{i, \mathbf{F}_q} = \lambda^{d-2i} m_{i, \mathbf{F}_q}.$$

At first we resolve the ambiguities in our notation.

**Lemma 4.19.** *Let  $V_{d, \mathbf{F}_q}$  be a representation of  $\Gamma$  constructed in Section 3.2. Then*

$$V_{d, \mathbf{F}_q}|_{\Gamma'} \cong \tilde{V}_{d, \mathbf{F}_q}.$$

*Proof.* The isomorphism is given by

$$m_i \otimes 1 \mapsto m_{i, \mathbf{F}_q}.$$

An easy check shows that the isomorphism respects the action of matrices  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \lambda \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \lambda & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , for all  $\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_q$ . Since, these matrices generate  $\Gamma'$  we are done.  $\square$

The Lemma above is the reason, why we wanted to work over  $\mathbf{F}_q$ . We drop the tilde from our notation and go to  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ .

For each  $r$ , such that  $0 \leq r < p-1$ , Jeyakumar finds a  $\Gamma'$ -invariant subspace  $R_r$  of the representation  $V_{p-1-r, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} \otimes V_{p-1, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p}$ , such that  $\dim R_r = 2p$ . Let  $R_{p-1} = V_{p-1, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p}$ , then  $\dim R_{p-1} = p$ . The main result of [9] can be stated as follows.

**Theorem 4.20.** [9] Suppose that  $q = p^n$ . Let  $\mathbf{r} = (r_0, \dots, r_{n-1})$  be an  $n$ -tuple, such that  $0 \leq r_i \leq p-1$ , for every  $i$ . Let

$$R_{\mathbf{r}} = R_{r_0} \otimes R_{r_1}^{\text{Fr}} \otimes \dots \otimes R_{r_{n-1}}^{\text{Fr}^{n-1}}.$$

If  $\mathbf{r} \neq \mathbf{0}$ , then

$$R_{\mathbf{r}} \cong \text{inj } V_{\mathbf{r}, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p}.$$

And

$$R_{\mathbf{0}} \cong \text{inj } V_{\mathbf{0}, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} \oplus \text{inj } V_{\mathbf{p-1}, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p}$$

where  $\mathbf{p-1} = (p-1, \dots, p-1)$  and  $\mathbf{0} = (0, \dots, 0)$ .

**Remark 4.21.** Our indices differ slightly from [9].

#### 4.2.2 Going from $\text{SL}_2(\mathbf{F}_q)$ to $\text{GL}_2(\mathbf{F}_q)$

We will recall how the subspaces  $R_r$  are constructed and show that they are in fact  $\Gamma$ -invariant. That this should be the case is indicated by Remark 4.18. The twisted tensor product will give us principal indecomposable representations of  $\Gamma$ . Since the spaces  $R_r$  have a rather concrete description, this will enable us to work out the corresponding  $\mathcal{H}_{\Gamma}$ -modules.

**Lemma 4.22.** Let  $V$  be a representation of  $\Gamma$  and let  $W$  be a  $\Gamma'$ -invariant subspace of  $V$ . If  $W$  is invariant under the action of  $H$ , then  $W$  is  $\Gamma$ -invariant.

*Proof.* Let  $v \in W$  and  $g \in \Gamma$ . We may write  $g = g'g_1$ , for some  $g' \in \Gamma'$  and  $g_1 \in H$ . Then

$$gv = g'(g_1v) \in W.$$

Hence  $W$  is  $\Gamma$ -invariant.  $\square$

Let  $r$  be an integer such that  $0 \leq r \leq p-1$ . Let  $\{v_i\}$ , for  $0 \leq i \leq p-1-r$  be the standard basis of  $V_{p-1-r, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p}$  and let  $\{w_j\}$ , for  $0 \leq j \leq p-1$  be the standard basis of  $V_{p-1, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p}$ . For  $0 \leq i \leq 2p-r-2$ , we define vectors  $E_i$  in  $V_{p-1-r, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} \otimes V_{p-1, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p}$ , by

$$E_i = \sum_{k+l=i} v_k \otimes w_l.$$

It is convenient to extend the indexing set to  $\mathbb{Z}$  by setting  $E_i = 0$ , if  $i < 0$  or  $i > 2p-2p-r$ .

**Lemma 4.23.** *The sequence of  $\Gamma$  representations*

$$0 \longrightarrow V_{2p-r-2, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} \longrightarrow V_{p-1-r, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} \otimes V_{p-1, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p}$$

$$m_{i, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} \longrightarrow E_i$$

is exact.

*Proof.* If  $r = p-1$  then this is true trivially. If  $r \neq p-1$  then the map is  $\Gamma'$ -equivariant by [9] Lemma 4.2. So by Lemma 4.22 it is enough to show that it is  $H$ -equivariant. Since

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix} m_{i, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} = \lambda^{2p-r-2-i} \mu^i m_{i, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p}$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix} E_i = \lambda^{2p-r-2-i} \mu^i E_i$$

we are done.  $\square$

**Definition 4.24.** [9] Let  $r$  be an integer, such that  $0 \leq r < p-1$ . For  $0 \leq i \leq p-r-1$ , let  $a_i$  be integers defined by the following relation:

$$a_0 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad a_1 = (p-r-2)!$$

and

$$a_{i+1} = a_i + \frac{(-1)^i (r+1) \dots (r+i)}{(p-r-2) \dots (p-r-i-1)} (a_1 - a_0).$$

Let  $Z$  be a vector in  $V_{p-1-r, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} \otimes V_{p-1, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p}$  given by

$$Z = a_0(v_0 \otimes w_{p-r-1}) + a_1(v_1 \otimes w_{p-r-2}) + \dots + a_{p-r-1}(v_{p-r-1} \otimes w_0),$$

and let  $R_r$  be a subspace of  $V_{p-1-r, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} \otimes V_{p-1, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p}$  given by

$$R_r = \langle E_0, \dots, E_{2p-r-2}, Z, \frac{f}{1!}Z, \dots, \frac{f^r}{r!}Z \rangle_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p}.$$

Moreover, for  $r = p - 1$  we define

$$R_{p-1} = V_{p-1, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p}.$$

**Proposition 4.25.** *Let  $r$  be an integer, such that  $0 \leq r \leq p - 1$ , then  $R_r$  is a  $\Gamma$ -invariant subspace of  $V_{p-r-1, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} \otimes V_{p-1, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p}$ . Moreover, if  $r \neq p - 1$ , then*

$$\dim R_r = 2p$$

and if  $r = p - 1$ , then

$$\dim R_{p-1} = p.$$

*Proof.* If  $r = p - 1$  then there is nothing to prove, since  $R_{p-1} = V_{p-1, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p}$ . If  $r \neq p - 1$  then by [9] Theorem 4.7  $R_r$  is  $\Gamma'$ -invariant and  $\dim R_r = 2p$ . So by Lemma 4.22 it is enough to show that  $R_r$  is  $H$ -invariant. For  $v \in V_{p-r-1, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p}$  and  $w \in V_{p-1, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p}$  we have

$$f(v \otimes w) = fv \otimes w + v \otimes fw.$$

Hence, for  $0 \leq k \leq r$  we have

$$\frac{f^k}{k!}Z \in \langle v_{l+i} \otimes w_{p-r-1-l+j} \mid i + j = k, \quad 0 \leq l \leq p - r - 1 \rangle_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p}$$

with the usual 'vanishing when not defined' convention. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix} v_{l+i} \otimes w_{p-r-1-l+j} &= \lambda^{p-r-1-l-i} \mu^{l+i} \lambda^{r+l-j} \mu^{p-r-1-l+j} v_{l+i} \otimes w_{p-r-1-l+j} \\ &= \lambda^{p-k-1} \mu^{p-r-1+k} v_{l+i} \otimes w_{p-r-1-l+j} \end{aligned}$$

the group  $H$  acts on each  $\frac{f^k}{k!}Z$ , for  $0 \leq k \leq r$  by a character. We combine this with Lemma 4.23 and obtain that  $R_r$  is  $H$  invariant.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.26.** *We have*

$$\frac{f^k}{k!} E_{p-r-1} = 0$$

*if and only if*  $k \geq r + 1$ . For  $k \geq 1$  we have

$$\frac{e^k}{k!} E_{p-r-1} = 0.$$

In particular,  $U$  fixes  $E_{p-r-1}$  and the action of  $H$  is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix} E_{p-r-1} = \lambda^r (\lambda\mu)^{p-r-1} E_{p-r-1}.$$

*Proof.* If  $r = p - 1$ , then this is trivial. If  $r \neq p - 1$  then for  $k \geq 0$  we have

$$\frac{f^k}{k!} E_{p-r-1} = \binom{p-r-1+k}{p-r-1} E_{p-r-1+k}.$$

We observe that  $E_{p-r-1+k}$  vanishes trivially, if  $k \geq p$ . If  $r + 1 \leq k \leq p - 1$ , then we write  $k = r + 1 + j$ , where  $0 \leq j \leq p - r - 2$ . The binomial coefficient becomes

$$\binom{j+p}{p-r-1}.$$

Since  $0 \leq r < p - 1$ , we have  $1 \leq p - r - 1 \leq p - 1$ , and since  $0 \leq j < p - r - 1$ ,  $p$  divides the binomial coefficient. Hence

$$\frac{f^k}{k!} E_{p-r-1} = 0$$

for  $k \geq r + 1$ . If  $0 \leq k \leq r$ , then  $p - r - 1 \leq p - r - 1 + k \leq p - 1$  and the binomial coefficient does not vanish. Hence

$$\frac{f^k}{k!} E_{p-r-1} \neq 0$$

for  $0 \leq k \leq r$ . Let  $k \geq 0$ , then

$$\frac{e^k}{k!} E_{p-r-1} = \binom{p-1+k}{p-1} E_{p-r-1-k}.$$

We observe that  $E_{p-r-1-k}$  vanishes trivially, if  $k > p - r - 1$ . Suppose that  $1 \leq k \leq p - r - 1$ , then we may write  $k = j - 1$ , where  $0 \leq j \leq p - r - 2 < p - 1$ . The binomial coefficient becomes

$$\binom{j+p}{p-1}.$$

Since  $j < p - 1$ ,  $p$  divides the binomial coefficient, and hence

$$\frac{e^k}{k!} E_{p-r-1} = 0$$

for all  $k \geq 1$ . Since the action of  $U$  is given in terms of  $\frac{e^k}{k!}$  this implies that  $U$  fixes  $E_{p-r-1}$ . An easy verification gives us the action of  $H$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.27.** *Let  $W_r$  be a subspace of  $R_r$  given by*

$$W_r = \langle E_{p-r-1}, \dots, E_{p-1} \rangle_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p}.$$

*Then  $W_r$  is  $\Gamma$ -invariant. Moreover,*

$$W_r^U = \langle E_{p-r-1} \rangle_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p}$$

*and*

$$W_r = \langle \Gamma E_{p-r-1} \rangle_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} \cong V_{r, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} \otimes (\det)^{p-r-1}.$$

*Proof.* If  $r = p - 1$  then  $W_{p-1} \cong V_{p-1, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p}$  and we are done. Otherwise, since  $W_r$  has a basis of eigenvectors for the action of  $H$ , it is enough to show that  $W_r$  is  $\Gamma'$ -invariant. Since the action of  $\Gamma'$  is given in terms of the action of  $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}$  it is enough to show that  $W_r$  is invariant under the action of  $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ . Lemma 4.26 implies that  $W_r$  has a basis  $\frac{f^k}{k!} E_{p-r-1}$ , for  $0 \leq k \leq r$ . We observe that Lemma 4.26 also implies that

$$\frac{f^l}{l!} \left( \frac{f^k}{k!} E_{p-r-1} \right) = \binom{k+l}{k} \frac{f^{k+l}}{(k+l)!} E_{p-r-1} \in W_r$$

for  $0 \leq k \leq r$  and  $l \geq 0$ . Suppose that  $0 \leq k \leq r$  and  $l \geq k+1$  then

$$\frac{e^l}{l!} \left( \frac{f^k}{k!} E_{p-r-1} \right) = 0.$$

This follows from the multiplication in  $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ , see [8] §26.2, and Lemma 4.26. If  $0 \leq l \leq k \leq r$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{e^l}{l!} \left( \frac{f^k}{k!} E_{p-r-1} \right) &= \binom{p-r-1+k}{p-r-1} \frac{e^l}{l!} E_{p-r-1+k} \\ &= \binom{p-r-1+k}{p-r-1} \binom{p-1-k+l}{p-1-k} E_{p-r-1+k-l} \in W_r. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $W_r$  is invariant under the action of  $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}$  and hence under the action of  $\Gamma$ .

We know from Lemma 4.26 that  $E_{p-r-1}$  is fixed by  $U$ . The action of  $H$  splits  $W_r^U$  into a direct sum of one dimensional subspaces. Suppose that  $\dim W_r^U \geq 2$ . Since  $H$  acts on each vector  $E_{p-r-1+k}$  by a distinct character for  $0 \leq k \leq r$ , we must have  $E_{p-r-1+j} \in W_r^U$ , for some  $1 \leq j \leq r$ . This implies that

$$eE_{p-r-1+j} = (p-j)E_{p-r-2+j} = 0.$$

Hence  $p$  must divide  $j$  and this is impossible. Hence,  $\dim W_r^U = 1$ .

Since  $W_r$  is  $\Gamma$ -invariant, we have

$$\langle \Gamma E_{p-r-1} \rangle_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} \leq W_r.$$

We may choose  $r+1$  distinct elements  $\lambda_i$  in  $\mathbf{F}_q$ . Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \lambda_i & 1 \end{pmatrix} E_{p-r-1} = \sum_{k=0}^r \lambda_i^k \frac{f^k}{k!} E_{p-r-1}.$$

Let  $A$  be an  $(r+1) \times (r+1)$  matrix, given by  $A_{ki} = \lambda_i^k$ , for  $0 \leq i, k \leq r$ , with the convention that  $0^0 = 1$ . Then  $\det A$  is the Vandermonde determinant, which is non-zero, since all the  $\lambda_i$  are distinct. Hence,  $A$  is invertible and

$$\frac{f^k}{k!} E_{p-r-1} \in \langle \Gamma E_{p-r-1} \rangle_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p}$$

for all  $0 \leq k \leq r$ . Hence,  $W_r = \langle \Gamma E_{p-r-1} \rangle_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p}$ .

Since  $\dim W_r^U = 1$  and  $W_r = \langle \Gamma W_r^U \rangle_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p}$ , the representation  $W_r$  is irreducible. To decide, which one it is, we may proceed as in the proof of Proposition 3.13. Since  $r < p-1$ , the action of  $B$  on  $W_r^U$  implies that  $W_r \cong V_{r, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} \otimes (\det)^{p-r-1}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.28.** *The vector  $E_0$  is fixed by the action of  $U$ . Moreover,  $H$  acts on  $E_0$  by*

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix} E_0 = \lambda^r (\lambda\mu)^{p-r-1} (\lambda\mu^{-1})^{p-r-1} E_0.$$

*Proof.* Since,  $E_0 = v_0 \otimes w_0$  this is immediate.  $\square$

**Definition 4.29.** *Suppose that  $q = p^n$  and let  $\mathbf{r} = (r_0, \dots, r_{n-1})$  be the  $n$ -tuple such that  $0 \leq r_i \leq p-1$ , then we define a representation  $R_{\mathbf{r}}$  of  $\Gamma$ , given by*

$$R_{\mathbf{r}} = R_{r_0} \otimes R_{r_1}^{\text{Fr}} \otimes \dots \otimes R_{r_{n-1}}^{\text{Fr}^{n-1}}$$

where  $R_{r_i}$  are  $\Gamma$ -representations of Definition 4.24.

**Definition 4.30.** Suppose that  $q = p^n$  and let  $\mathbf{r} = (r_0, \dots, r_{n-1})$  be an  $n$ -tuple, such that  $0 \leq r_i \leq p-1$ , for every  $i$ . Let  $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_0, \dots, \varepsilon_{n-1})$  be an  $n$ -tuple, such that  $\varepsilon_i \in \{0, 1\}$  for every  $i$ . We define a vector

$$b_\varepsilon = E_{(1-\varepsilon_0)(p-1-r_0)} \otimes \dots \otimes E_{(1-\varepsilon_{n-1})(p-1-r_{n-1})}$$

in  $R_{\mathbf{r}}$ , where  $E_{(1-\varepsilon_i)(p-1-r_i)}$  is a vector in  $R_{r_i}$ , for each  $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ .

**Definition 4.31.** Suppose that  $q = p^n$  and let  $\mathbf{r} = (r_0, \dots, r_{n-1})$  be the  $n$ -tuple, such that  $0 \leq r_i \leq p-1$ , for  $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ . We define  $\Sigma_{\mathbf{r}}$  to be the set of  $n$ -tuples  $(\varepsilon_0, \dots, \varepsilon_{n-1})$ , such that

$$\varepsilon_i = 0, \quad \text{if } r_i = p-1 \text{ and } \varepsilon_i \in \{0, 1\}, \quad \text{otherwise.}$$

We will write  $\mathbf{0} = (0, \dots, 0)$  and  $\mathbf{1} = (1, \dots, 1)$ .

**Remark 4.32.** We hope to prevent some notational confusion. Since we want Lemma 4.33 to hold and since  $\dim R_{p-1, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p}^U = 1$ , if  $r_i = p-1$ , we have to make a choice for  $\varepsilon_i$ , between 0 and 1. We choose 0, since then we can state Lemma 4.35 in a nice way. However, if  $r_i = p-1$ , then

$$(1-0)(p-r_i-1) = (1-1)(p-r_i-1) = 0$$

so it does not matter, whether  $\varepsilon_i = 0$  or  $\varepsilon_i = 1$ , and we will exploit this in our notation. We note that the definition of  $b_\varepsilon$  is independent of the set  $\Sigma_{\mathbf{r}}$  and we might have  $\varepsilon \in \Sigma_{\mathbf{r}}$ ,  $\varepsilon' \notin \Sigma_{\mathbf{r}}$ , but  $b_\varepsilon = b_{\varepsilon'}$ .

**Lemma 4.33.** The set  $\{b_\varepsilon : \varepsilon \in \Sigma_{\mathbf{r}}\}$  is a basis of  $R_{\mathbf{r}}^U$ .

*Proof.* Let  $r$  be an integer, such that  $0 \leq r \leq p-1$ . If  $r = p-1$ , then  $\dim R_r = p$  and  $E_0$  is in  $R_r^U$ . If  $0 \leq r < p-1$ , then  $\dim R_r = 2p$  and  $E_0$  and  $E_{p-1-r}$  are two linearly independent vectors in  $R_r^U$ .

Let  $\mathbf{r}$  be an  $n$ -tuple. Then by above vectors  $b_\varepsilon$ , for  $\varepsilon \in \Sigma_{\mathbf{r}}$ , span a linear subspace of  $R_{\mathbf{r}}^U$  of dimension  $|\Sigma_{\mathbf{r}}|$ . Also by above,  $\dim R_{\mathbf{r}} = |\Sigma_{\mathbf{r}}|q$ . Since,  $U$  is a  $p$ -Sylow subgroup of  $\Gamma'$  of order  $q$  and by Theorem 4.20  $R_{\mathbf{r}}$  is an injective object in  $\text{Rep}_{\Gamma'}$ , Corollary 4.6 implies that

$$\dim R_{\mathbf{r}}^U = |\Sigma_{\mathbf{r}}|.$$

Hence, the set  $\{b_\varepsilon : \varepsilon \in \Sigma_{\mathbf{r}}\}$  is a basis of  $R_{\mathbf{r}}^U$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.34.** Let  $\mathbf{r} = (r_0, \dots, r_{n-1})$  be an  $n$ -tuple, with  $0 \leq r_i \leq p-1$ , let  $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_0, \dots, \varepsilon_{n-1})$  be an  $n$ -tuple such that  $\varepsilon_i \in \{0, 1\}$ , for every  $i$ , and let  $b_\varepsilon$  be a vector in  $R_{\mathbf{r}}^U$ , then the action of  $H$  is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix} b_\varepsilon = \lambda^r (\lambda\mu)^{q-1-r} (\lambda\mu^{-1})^{\varepsilon \cdot (\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{1})} b_\varepsilon$$

where  $r = r_0 + r_1 p + \dots + r_{n-1} p^{n-1}$  and

$$\varepsilon \cdot (\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{1}) = \varepsilon_0(p - r_0 - 1) + \varepsilon_1(p - r_1 - 1)p + \dots + \varepsilon_{n-1}(p - r_{n-1} - 1)p^{n-1}.$$

*Proof.* This follows from Proposition 4.26 and Lemma 4.28. We note that the action on each tensor component is twisted by  $\text{Fr}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.35.** Suppose that  $q = p^n$  and let  $\mathbf{r} = (r_0, \dots, r_{n-1})$  be an  $n$ -tuple, such that  $0 \leq r_i \leq p-1$ , for each  $i$ . Let  $b_0$  be a vector in  $R_{\mathbf{r}}$ . Let

$$r = r_0 + r_1 p + \dots + r_{n-1} p^{n-1}.$$

Then

$$\langle \Gamma b_0 \rangle_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} \cong V_{\mathbf{r}, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} \otimes (\det)^{q-1-r}$$

as a  $\Gamma$ -representation.

*Proof.* Let  $W_{\mathbf{r}}$  be the subspace of  $R_{\mathbf{r}}$  given by

$$W_{\mathbf{r}} = W_{r_0} \otimes \dots \otimes W_{r_{n-1}}$$

with the notation of the Proposition 4.27. We have

$$0 \neq \langle \Gamma b_0 \rangle_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} \leq W_{\mathbf{r}}.$$

Proposition 4.27 applied to every tensor component implies that

$$W_{\mathbf{r}} \cong V_{\mathbf{r}, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} \otimes (\det)^{q-1-r}$$

which is irreducible. Hence, we must get the whole of  $W_{\mathbf{r}}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.36.** Let  $\chi : H \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times$  and let  $a$  and  $r$  be unique integers, such that  $1 \leq a, r \leq q-1$  and

$$\chi\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}\right) = \lambda^a \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbf{F}_q^\times, \quad \chi\left(\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda^{-1} \end{pmatrix}\right) = \lambda^r \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbf{F}_q^\times.$$

Let  $r = r_0 + r_1 p + \dots + r_{n-1} p^{n-1}$ , where  $0 \leq r_i \leq p-1$  for each  $i$ , and let  $\mathbf{r} = (r_0, \dots, r_{n-1})$ . If  $\chi \neq \chi^s$  then

$$\text{inj } \rho_{\chi, \emptyset} \cong R_{\mathbf{r}} \otimes (\det)^{a+r}.$$

If  $\chi = \chi^s$  then

$$\text{inj } \rho_{\chi, \emptyset} \cong R_{\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{1}} \otimes (\det)^a \cong V_{\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{1}, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} \otimes (\det)^a.$$

*Proof.* Lemma 4.35 implies the existence of an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow V_{\mathbf{r}, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} \longrightarrow R_{\mathbf{r}} \otimes (\det)^r$$

of  $\Gamma$ -representations. It is enough to show that  $R_{\mathbf{r}}$  is an indecomposable injective object in  $\text{Rep}_{\Gamma}$ . The rest follows from Propositions 3.13 and 4.1.

Theorem 4.20 says that the restriction of  $R_{\mathbf{r}}$  to  $\Gamma'$  is indecomposable. In particular,  $R_{\mathbf{r}}$  must be indecomposable as a  $\Gamma$ -representation. Moreover, Theorem 4.20 says that the restrictions of  $R_{\mathbf{r}}$  to  $\Gamma'$  is an injective object in  $\text{Rep}_{\Gamma'}$ . Since  $U$  is a  $p$ -Sylow subgroup of both  $\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma'$ , Proposition 4.4 implies that  $R_{\mathbf{r}}$  is an injective object in  $\text{Rep}_{\Gamma}$ . Finally, the last isomorphism follows directly from the definition of  $R_{\mathbf{p}-1}$ .  $\square$

#### 4.2.3 Computation of $\mathcal{H}_{\Gamma}$ -modules

We will compute the action of  $T_{n_s}$  on  $R_{\mathbf{r}}^U$ .

**Proposition 4.37.** *Let  $q = p^n$  and let  $\mathbf{r} = (r_0, \dots, r_{n-1})$  be the  $n$ -tuple, such that  $0 \leq r_i \leq p-1$ , for every  $i$ . Let  $\varepsilon \in \Sigma_{\mathbf{r}}$  and let  $b_{\varepsilon}$  be a vector in  $R_{\mathbf{r}}$ .*

(i) *Suppose that for some index  $j$ ,  $\epsilon_j = 0$  and  $r_j \neq p-1$  then*

$$\sum_{u \in U} u n_s^{-1} b_{\varepsilon} = 0.$$

(ii) *Suppose that  $\mathbf{r} \neq \mathbf{0}$ . Moreover, suppose that for every  $i$ , if  $\epsilon_i = 0$ , then  $r_i = p-1$  then  $b_{\varepsilon} = b_{\mathbf{1}}$  and*

$$\sum_{u \in U} u n_s^{-1} b_{\mathbf{1}} = (-1)^{1+|\mathbf{r}|} b_{\mathbf{0}}$$

*where  $|\mathbf{r}| = r_0 + r_1 p + \dots + r_{n-1} p^{n-1}$ .*

(iii) *Suppose that  $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{0}$  and  $\varepsilon = \mathbf{1}$ , then*

$$\sum_{u \in U} u n_s^{-1} b_{\mathbf{1}} = -(b_{\mathbf{0}} + b_{\mathbf{1}}).$$

*This covers all the possible pairs  $(\mathbf{r}, \varepsilon)$ , such that  $\varepsilon \in \Sigma_{\mathbf{r}}$ .*

**Remark 4.38.** *We note that  $b_{\mathbf{1}}$  is well defined even if  $\mathbf{1} \notin \Sigma_{\mathbf{r}}$ . See Definitions 4.30 and 4.31.*

*Proof.* Let  $r$  be an integer such that  $0 \leq r \leq p-1$  and let  $\epsilon \in \{0, 1\}$  such that  $\epsilon = 0$ , if  $r = p-1$ . Let  $E_{(1-\epsilon)(p-r-1)}$  be a vector in  $R_r$ . We observe that

$$n_s^{-1} E_{(1-\epsilon)(p-r-1)} = (-1)^{p-1+\epsilon(p-r-1)} E_{p-1+\epsilon(p-r-1)}.$$

If  $r \neq p-1$  this follows from Lemma 4.23, and if  $r = p-1$ , this follows from the isomorphism  $R_{p-1} \cong V_{p-1, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p}$ . Moreover,

$$\frac{e^k}{k!} E_{p-1} = 0, \quad \text{if } k > r \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{e^k}{k!} E_{2p-2-r} = 0, \quad \text{if } k > 2p-2-r.$$

Let  $\mathbf{r}$  be an  $n$ -tuple,  $\mathbf{r} = (r_0, \dots, r_{n-1})$  and let  $\varepsilon \in \Sigma_{\mathbf{r}}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{u \in U} u n_s^{-1} b_{\varepsilon} &= \sum_{k_0, \dots, k_{n-1} \geq 0} (-1)^{q-1-\varepsilon \cdot (\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{r})} \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_q} \lambda^{k_0+\dots+k_{n-1}p^{n-1}} \\ &\quad \frac{e^{k_0}}{k_0!} E_{p-1+\epsilon_0(p-r_0-1)} \otimes \dots \otimes \frac{e^{k_{n-1}}}{k_{n-1}!} E_{p-1+\epsilon_{n-1}(p-r_{n-1}-1)} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\varepsilon \cdot (\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{r}) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \epsilon_i (p-1-r_i)p^i$ . We have acted by  $n_s^{-1}$  on each tensor component and then expanded the action of  $u \in U$  on each tensor component and rearranged the summation. We will show that the terms in the sum vanish, unless

$$(k_0, \dots, k_{n-1}) = (p-1, \dots, p-1) \quad \text{or} \quad (k_0, \dots, k_{n-1}) = (2(p-1), \dots, 2(p-1))$$

and  $\mathbf{r}$  and  $\varepsilon$  are of a special form.

*Step 1.* We claim that if  $\epsilon_i = 1$  then it is enough to consider  $k_i = r_i$  and if  $\epsilon_i = 1$ , then it is enough to consider  $k_i = p-1$  and  $k_i = 2p-r_i-2$ , since all the other terms in the sum vanish.

We observe that for each  $i$ , if  $\epsilon_i = 0$  then it is enough to consider  $0 \leq k_i \leq r_i$  and if  $\epsilon_i = 1$  then it is enough to consider  $0 \leq k_i \leq 2p-2-r_i$ . This follows by looking at a single tensor component as above. Moreover, we observe that

$$\frac{e^{k_i}}{k_i!} E_{p-1+\epsilon_i(p-r_i-1)} \in \langle E_{p-1+\epsilon_i(p-r_i-1)-k_i} \rangle_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p}.$$

The vector  $\sum_{u \in U} u n_s^{-1} b_{\varepsilon}$  is fixed by  $U$ . By Lemma 4.33 vectors  $b_{\varepsilon'}$ , for  $\varepsilon' \in \Sigma_{\mathbf{r}}$ , form a basis of  $R_{\mathbf{r}}^U$ . Hence, for each  $i$ , it is enough to consider  $k_i$  of the form

$$k_i = p-1 + (\epsilon_i - 1)(p-r_i-1) \quad \text{and} \quad k_i = p-1 + \epsilon_i(p-r_i-1)$$

since all the other terms must vanish. If  $\epsilon_i = 0$  and  $k_i$  is of the form as above then the inequality  $k_i \leq r_i$  can be fulfilled if and only if  $k_i = r_i$ . If  $\epsilon_i = 1$ , then  $k_i \leq 2p - r_i - 2$  implies that  $k_i = p - 1$  or  $k_i = 2p - r_i - 2$ .

*Step 2.* Let  $k = k_0 + k_1 p + \dots + k_{n-1} p^{n-1}$ . We claim that if  $\varepsilon = \mathbf{1}$  and  $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{0}$ , then it is enough to consider two cases  $k = q - 1$  and

$$(k_0, \dots, k_{n-1}) = (2(p-1), \dots, 2(p-1))$$

and otherwise it is enough to consider the case  $k = q - 1$ , since all the other terms in the sum vanish.

Step 1 implies that it is enough to consider  $n$ -tuples  $(k_0, \dots, k_{n-1})$ , such that  $0 \leq k \leq 2(q-1)$ . Moreover, the upper bound is obtained if and only if  $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{0}$ ,  $\varepsilon = \mathbf{1}$  and  $(k_0, \dots, k_{n-1}) = (2(p-1), \dots, 2(p-1))$ . If  $k = 0$  or  $k > 0$  and  $q - 1$  does not divide  $k$  then

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_q} \lambda^k = 0.$$

We note that  $0^0 = 1$  comes from the action by the identity matrix. If  $k > 0$  and  $q - 1$  divides  $k$ , then

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_q} \lambda^k = -1.$$

This establishes the claim.

*Step 3.* We claim that if  $k = q - 1$ , then it is enough to consider  $k_i = p - 1$ , for every  $i$ , since all the other terms in the sum vanish.

We use Step 1 to define integers  $a_i$  and  $a'_i$ , such that for each  $i$

$$a_i + a'_i = k_i$$

and  $0 \leq a_i, a'_i \leq p - 1$ , as follows. If  $\epsilon_i = 0$ , then  $a_i = r_i$  and  $a'_i = 0$ . If  $\epsilon_i = 1$  and  $k_i = p - 1$ , then  $a_i = p - 1$  and  $a'_i = 0$ . If  $\epsilon_i = 1$  and  $k_i = 2p - r_i - 2$ , then  $a_i = p - 1$  and  $a'_i = p - 1 - r_i$ . Then  $q - 1 = k$  implies that

$$a_0 + a_1 p + \dots + a_{n-1} p^{n-1} = (p-1-a'_0) + (p-1-a'_1)p + \dots + (p-1-a'_{n-1})p^{n-1}.$$

Since  $0 \leq a_i, a'_i \leq p - 1$ , for every  $i$ , this implies

$$a_i = p - 1 - a'_i, \quad \forall i.$$

If  $\epsilon_i = 1$  and  $k_i = p - 1$ , then we are done. Otherwise if  $\epsilon_i = 0$  or  $\epsilon_i = 1$  and  $k_i = 2p - 2 - r_i$  then above implies that  $r_i = p - 1$ . This establishes the claim.

*Step 4.* Suppose that for some index  $j$ ,  $\epsilon_j = 0$  and  $r_j \neq p - 1$ , then Steps 1, 2 and 3 imply that all the terms vanish. So we obtain part (i) of the Proposition. We note that this case includes  $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{0}$  and  $\varepsilon \neq \mathbf{1}$ .

*Step 5.* Suppose that  $\mathbf{r} \neq \mathbf{0}$ . Moreover, suppose that for every  $i$ , if  $\epsilon_i = 0$  then  $r_i = p - 1$ . We will compute what happens on each tensor component if  $k_i = p - 1$ . If  $\epsilon_i = 0$ , then  $r_i = p - 1$  and

$$\frac{e^{p-1}}{(p-1)!} E_{p-1} = \binom{p-1}{0} E_0 = E_{p-r_i-1}.$$

If  $\epsilon_i = 1$  then

$$\frac{e^{p-1}}{(p-1)!} E_{2p-2-r_i} = \binom{p-1}{0} E_{p-r_i-1} = E_{p-r_i-1}.$$

The above calculation gives us

$$\sum_{u \in U} u n_s^{-1} b_\varepsilon = (-1)^{|\mathbf{r}|+1} b_0.$$

Since, by Steps 2 and 3, it is enough to consider a single term in the sum

$$(k_0, \dots, k_{n-1}) = (p-1, \dots, p-1)$$

and by Definition 4.30,  $b_0 = E_{p-r_0-1} \otimes \dots \otimes E_{p-r_{n-1}-1}$ . Moreover, if  $p = 2$ , then  $1 = -1$  and if  $p \neq 2$  then

$$(-1)^{p-1+\epsilon_i(p-1-r_i)} = (-1)^{r_i}$$

trivially, if  $\epsilon_i = 1$  and since  $r_i = p - 1$  if  $\epsilon_i = 0$ . We get an extra  $-1$  from summing over  $\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_q$ . This accounts for the sign. We claim that in this case  $b_\varepsilon = b_1$ . Indeed, if  $r_i \neq p - 1$  then  $\epsilon_i = 1$  and if  $r_i = p - 1$ , then

$$(1 - \epsilon_i)(p - 1 - r_i) = (1 - 1)(p - 1 - r_i) = 0.$$

Hence,  $b_\varepsilon = b_1$ , see 4.30. This establishes part (ii) of the Proposition.

*Step 6.* The only case left is  $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{0}$  and  $\varepsilon = \mathbf{1}$ . The only difference to Step 5 is that we get a contribution from  $(k_0, \dots, k_{n-1}) = (2(p-1), \dots, 2(p-1))$ . More, precisely

$$\frac{e^{2p-2}}{(2p-2)!} E_{2p-2} = \binom{2p-2}{0} E_0 = E_0.$$

And by Definition 4.30,  $b_1 = E_0 \otimes \dots \otimes E_0$ . Hence,

$$\sum_{u \in U} u n_s^{-1} b_1 = -(b_1 + b_0).$$

The minus sign comes from summing over  $\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_q$ . This establishes part (iii) of the Proposition.  $\square$

**Remark 4.39.** We think of  $\otimes(\det)^a$  as a twist, that is, it changes the action, but does not change the underlying vector space. Moreover, since  $U \leq \Gamma'$  and  $n_s \in \Gamma'$ , Proposition 4.37 does not change if we twist the action by  $(\det)^a$ .

**Remark 4.40.** We know that something like

$$\sum_{u \in U} u n_s^{-1} b_1 = (-1)^{1+|\mathbf{r}|} b_0$$

has to happen by Lemma 4.7.

**Lemma 4.41.** Let  $b_1$  and  $b_0$  be vectors in  $R_0$ . Then

$$\langle \Gamma(b_1 + b_0) \rangle_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} \cong V_{\mathbf{p}-1, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p}.$$

*Proof.* The vector  $b_1 + b_0$  is fixed by  $U$ . Moreover, by Lemma 4.34  $H$  acts trivially on it. By Proposition 4.37

$$(b_1 + b_0) T_{n_s} = \sum_{u \in U} u n_s^{-1} (b_1 + b_0) = -(b_1 + b_0).$$

Hence

$$\langle b_1 + b_0 \rangle_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} \cong M_{1, \emptyset}$$

as  $\mathcal{H}_\Gamma$ -module and Lemma 3.8 gives us the result.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.42.** Let  $\chi : H \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times$  be a character, such that  $\chi = \chi^s$  and let  $a$  be the unique integer, such that  $1 \leq a \leq q-1$  and

$$\chi\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}\right) = \lambda^a \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbf{F}_q^\times$$

then

$$\text{inj } \rho_{\chi, S} \oplus \text{inj } \rho_{\chi, \emptyset} \cong R_0 \otimes (\det)^a.$$

*Proof.* This is a rerun of the proof of Corollary 4.36. Lemma 4.35 and Lemma 4.41 imply the existence of an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow V_{0, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} \oplus V_{\mathbf{p}-1, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} \longrightarrow R_0$$

of  $\Gamma$ -representations. So it is enough to show that  $R_0$  is an injective object in  $\text{Rep}_\Gamma$  and that it has at most 2 direct summands. The rest follows from Proposition 4.1 and Proposition 3.13. Theorem 4.20 says that the restriction of  $R_0$  to  $\Gamma'$  has exactly 2 direct summands, hence  $R_0$  may have at most 2 direct summands. Moreover, Theorem 4.20 says that the restriction of  $R_0$  to  $\Gamma'$  is an injective object in  $\text{Rep}_{\Gamma'}$ . Since  $U$  is a  $p$ -Sylow subgroup of  $\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma'$  contains  $U$ , Proposition 4.4 implies that  $R_0$  is an injective object in  $\text{Rep}_\Gamma$ .  $\square$

**Definition 4.43.** Let  $\alpha : H \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times$  be a character, given by

$$\alpha : \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \lambda\mu^{-1}.$$

**Lemma 4.44.** Suppose that  $q = p^n$  and let  $\chi : H \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times$  be a character. Let  $r$  be the unique integer, such that  $0 \leq r < q - 1$  and

$$\chi\left(\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda^{-1} \end{pmatrix}\right) = \lambda^r \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbf{F}_q^\times.$$

Let  $r = r_0 + r_1 p + \dots + r_{n-1} p^{n-1}$ , where  $0 \leq r_i \leq p - 1$  for each  $i$ , and let

$$\mathbf{r} = (r_0, \dots, r_{n-1}).$$

Let  $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_0, \dots, \varepsilon_{n-1})$  be an  $n$ -tuple, such that  $\varepsilon_i \in \{0, 1\}$  for every  $i$ , then

$$(\chi\alpha^{\varepsilon \cdot (\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{r})})^s = \chi\alpha^{(\mathbf{1} - \varepsilon) \cdot (\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{r})}.$$

Moreover, if  $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{0}$ , then we suppose that  $\varepsilon \neq \mathbf{0}$  and  $\varepsilon \neq \mathbf{1}$ , then

$$(\chi\alpha^{\varepsilon \cdot (\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{r})})^s \neq \chi\alpha^{\varepsilon \cdot (\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{r})}$$

where  $\varepsilon \cdot (\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{r}) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \varepsilon_i (p - r_i - 1)p^i$ .

*Proof.* Since twisting by  $s$  does not affect  $\det$  we may assume that

$$\chi\left(\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix}\right) = \lambda^r \quad \forall \lambda, \mu \in \mathbf{F}_q^\times.$$

Then the first part of the lemma amounts to

$$\mu^r (\mu\lambda^{-1})^{\varepsilon \cdot (\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{r})} = \lambda^r (\lambda\mu^{-1})^{q-1-r-\varepsilon \cdot (\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{r})} = \lambda^r (\lambda\mu^{-1})^{(\mathbf{1} - \varepsilon) \cdot (\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{r})}.$$

For the second part, we observe that the equality holds if and only if

$$\mu^{r+2\varepsilon \cdot (\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{r})} = \lambda^{r+2\varepsilon \cdot (\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{r})}$$

for every  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbf{F}_q^\times$ . Hence, equality holds if and only if

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (r_i + 2(p - 1 - r_i)\varepsilon_i)p^i \equiv 0 \pmod{q-1}.$$

Since,  $\varepsilon_i \in \{0, 1\}$  we have

$$0 \leq r_i + 2(p - 1 - r_i)\varepsilon_i \leq 2(p - 1).$$

The congruence implies that  $r + 2\varepsilon \cdot (\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{r})$  must take values 0,  $q - 1$  or  $2(q - 1)$ . The extreme values are obtained if and only if  $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{0}$  and  $\varepsilon = \mathbf{0}$  or  $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{1}$  and  $\varepsilon = \mathbf{1}$ . By our assumptions, both cases are excluded. If

$$r + 2\varepsilon \cdot (\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{r}) = q - 1$$

then we rewrite this as

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (p - 1 - r_i) \varepsilon_i p^i = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (p - 1 - r_i)(1 - \varepsilon_i) p^i.$$

Hence, for every  $i$  we must have

$$(p - 1 - r_i) \varepsilon_i = (p - 1 - r_i)(1 - \varepsilon_i).$$

Since  $2\varepsilon_i \neq 1$ , for every  $i$ , this forces  $r_i = p - 1$ , for every  $i$ , but  $r < q - 1$ , hence this case is also excluded.  $\square$

**Definition 4.45.** Suppose that  $q = p^n$  and let  $\mathbf{r} = (r_0, \dots, r_{n-1})$  be an  $n$ -tuple, such that  $0 \leq r_i \leq p - 1$  for every  $i$ . We define

$$\delta \in \Sigma_{\mathbf{r}}$$

given by  $\delta_i = 1$  if  $r_i \neq p - 1$  and  $\delta_i = 0$  if  $r_i = p - 1$ .

We further define  $\Sigma'_{\mathbf{r}}$  to be a subset of  $\Sigma_{\mathbf{r}}$  given by

$$\Sigma'_{\mathbf{r}} = \Sigma_{\mathbf{r}} \setminus \{\mathbf{0}, \delta\}.$$

**Remark 4.46.** We note that if  $p = q$  or  $\mathbf{r} = (p - 1, \dots, p - 1)$ , then  $\Sigma'_{\mathbf{r}} = \emptyset$  and we always have  $b_{\delta} = b_{\mathbf{1}}$ .

**Lemma 4.47.** Suppose that  $q = p^n$  and let  $\chi : H \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^{\times}$  be a character. Let  $r$  be the unique integer, such that  $0 \leq r < q - 1$  and

$$\chi\left(\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda^{-1} \end{pmatrix}\right) = \lambda^r \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbf{F}_q^{\times}.$$

Let  $r = r_0 + r_1 p + \dots + r_{n-1} p^{n-1}$ , where  $0 \leq r_i \leq p - 1$  for each  $i$ , and let

$$\mathbf{r} = (r_0, \dots, r_{n-1}).$$

If  $r = 0$  then we consider  $\text{inj } \rho_{\chi, S}$  and if  $r \neq 0$  we consider  $\text{inj } \rho_{\chi, \emptyset}$  as representations of  $K$  on which  $K_1$  acts trivially.

Suppose that  $\varepsilon \in \Sigma'_{\mathbf{r}}$ . If  $r = 0$  then we regard  $b_\varepsilon$  and  $b_{\mathbf{1}-\varepsilon}$  as vectors in  $(\text{inj } \rho_{\chi, S})^{I_1}$  via the isomorphism of Corollary 4.42. If  $r \neq 0$  then we regard  $b_\varepsilon$  and  $b_{\mathbf{1}-\varepsilon}$  as vectors in  $(\text{inj } \rho_{\chi, \emptyset})^{I_1}$  via the isomorphism of Corollary 4.36.

Then the action of  $\mathcal{H}_K$  on  $\langle b_\varepsilon, b_{\mathbf{1}-\varepsilon} \rangle_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p}$  extends to the action of  $\mathcal{H}$ , so that

$$\langle b_\varepsilon, b_{\mathbf{1}-\varepsilon} \rangle_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} \cong M_{\gamma_\varepsilon}$$

as an  $\mathcal{H}$ -module, where

$$\gamma_\varepsilon = \gamma_{\mathbf{1}-\varepsilon} = \{\chi \alpha^{\varepsilon \cdot (\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{r})}, (\chi \alpha^{\varepsilon \cdot (\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{r})})^s\}.$$

*Proof.* To ease the notation, let

$$\psi = \chi \alpha^{\varepsilon \cdot (\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{r})}.$$

We observe that if  $b_{\mathbf{1}-\varepsilon} = b_0$ , then  $\varepsilon = \delta$  and if  $b_{\mathbf{1}-\varepsilon} = b_\delta$  then  $\varepsilon = \mathbf{0}$ . Since  $\varepsilon \in \Sigma'_{\mathbf{r}}$  neither of the above can occur.

By Lemma 4.34 and taking into account the twist by a power of  $\det$ ,  $I$  acts on  $b_\varepsilon$  via the character  $\psi$ . By the same argument and Lemma 4.44  $I$  acts on  $b_{\mathbf{1}-\varepsilon}$  via the character  $\psi^s$ . Hence,

$$b_\varepsilon e_\psi = b_\varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad b_{\mathbf{1}-\varepsilon} e_{\psi^s} = b_{\mathbf{1}-\varepsilon}.$$

Moreover, Lemma 4.44 says that  $\psi \neq \psi^s$ . The case  $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{0}$  is not a problem, since  $\varepsilon \in \Sigma'_{\mathbf{0}}$  implies that  $\mathbf{1} - \varepsilon \in \Sigma'_{\mathbf{0}}$ . Since  $H$  acts on  $b_\varepsilon$  and  $b_{\mathbf{1}-\varepsilon}$  by different characters, they are linearly independent. Proposition 4.37 implies that

$$b_\varepsilon T_{n_s} = \sum_{u \in I_1/K_1} u n_s^{-1} b_\varepsilon = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad b_{\mathbf{1}-\varepsilon} T_{n_s} = \sum_{u \in I_1/K_1} u n_s^{-1} b_{\mathbf{1}-\varepsilon} = 0.$$

Hence, by Lemma 2.26

$$\langle b_\varepsilon, b_{\mathbf{1}-\varepsilon} \rangle_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} \cong \langle b_\varepsilon \rangle_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} \oplus \langle b_{\mathbf{1}-\varepsilon} \rangle_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} \cong M_{\psi, \emptyset} \oplus M_{\psi^s, \emptyset} \cong M_{\gamma_\varepsilon}|_{\mathcal{H}_K}$$

as  $\mathcal{H}_K$ -modules. So we define

$$b_\varepsilon T_\Pi = b_{\mathbf{1}-\varepsilon} \quad \text{and} \quad b_{\mathbf{1}-\varepsilon} T_\Pi = b_\varepsilon$$

which gives us the required isomorphism of  $\mathcal{H}$ -modules.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.48.** Suppose that  $q = p^n$  and let  $\chi : H \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times$  be a character, such that  $\chi = \chi^s$ . We consider the representation

$$\text{inj } \rho_{\chi, \emptyset} \oplus \text{inj } \rho_{\chi, S}$$

as a representation of  $K$ , such that  $K_1$  acts trivially. We may extend the action of  $\mathcal{H}_K$  on

$$(\text{inj } \rho_{\chi, \emptyset} \oplus \text{inj } \rho_{\chi, S})^{I_1}$$

to the action of  $\mathcal{H}$ , such that  $(\text{inj } \rho_{\chi, \emptyset} \oplus \text{inj } \rho_{\chi, S})^{I_1}$  as an  $\mathcal{H}$ -module is isomorphic to a direct sum of  $2^{n-1}$  supersingular modules of  $\mathcal{H}$ .

More precisely, for every  $\varepsilon \in \Sigma_0$  we consider  $b_\varepsilon$  as vectors in

$$(\text{inj } \rho_{\chi, \emptyset} \oplus \text{inj } \rho_{\chi, S})^{I_1}$$

via the isomorphism of Corollary 4.42. Then the action of  $\mathcal{H}_K$  can be extended to the action of  $\mathcal{H}$  so that

$$\langle b_0, b_0 + b_1 \rangle_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} \cong M_\gamma$$

where  $\gamma = \{\chi\}$ . If  $\varepsilon \in \Sigma'_0$ , then

$$\langle b_\varepsilon, b_{1-\varepsilon} \rangle_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} \cong M_{\gamma_\varepsilon}$$

where  $\gamma_\varepsilon = \gamma_{1-\varepsilon} = \{\chi \alpha^{\varepsilon \cdot (\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{1})}, \chi(\alpha^{\varepsilon \cdot (\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{1})})^s\}$ .

*Proof.* Since, by Lemma 4.33  $b_\varepsilon$  for  $\varepsilon \in \Sigma_0$  form a basis of  $R_0^U$ , the second part implies the first. Since  $\Sigma'_0 = \Sigma_0 \setminus \{\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}\}$ , the last part of the Proposition is given by Lemma 4.47.

Lemmas 4.35 and 4.41 imply that

$$\langle b_0 \rangle_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} \cong M_{\chi, S}, \quad \langle b_1 + b_0 \rangle_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} \cong M_{\chi, \emptyset}$$

as an  $\mathcal{H}_K$ -module. Hence, by Lemma 2.26

$$\langle b_0, b_1 + b_0 \rangle_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} \cong M_{\chi, S} \oplus M_{\chi, \emptyset} \cong M_\gamma|_{\mathcal{H}_K}$$

as  $\mathcal{H}_K$ -modules. Hence, if we define

$$b_0 T_\Pi = b_0 + b_1 \quad \text{and} \quad (b_0 + b_1) T_\Pi = b_0$$

we get the required isomorphism.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.49.** Suppose that  $q = p^n$ , let  $\chi : H \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times$  be a character, such that  $\chi \neq \chi^s$ , and let  $a$  and  $r$  be unique integers, such that  $1 \leq a, r \leq q-1$  and

$$\chi\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}\right) = \lambda^a \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbf{F}_q^\times, \quad \chi\left(\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda^{-1} \end{pmatrix}\right) = \lambda^r \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbf{F}_q^\times.$$

Let  $r = r_0 + r_1 p + \dots + r_{n-1} p^{n-1}$ , where  $0 \leq r_i \leq p-1$  for each  $i$ , and let

$$\mathbf{r} = (r_0, \dots, r_{n-1}).$$

Then

$$\text{inj } \rho_{\chi, \emptyset} \oplus \text{inj } \rho_{\chi^s, \emptyset} \cong R_{\mathbf{r}} \otimes (\det)^{a+r} \oplus R_{\mathbf{p-1-r}} \otimes (\det)^a$$

where  $\mathbf{p-1-r} = (p-1-r_0, \dots, p-1-r_{n-1})$ .

We regard the representation  $\text{inj } \rho_{\chi, \emptyset} \oplus \text{inj } \rho_{\chi^s, \emptyset}$  as a representation of  $K$ , on which  $K_1$  acts trivially. Let  $c$  and  $d$  be the cardinality of the sets:

$$c = |\{r_i : r_i \neq p-1\}| \quad \text{and} \quad d = |\{r_i : r_i \neq 0\}|$$

then we may extend the action of  $\mathcal{H}_K$  on

$$(\text{inj } \rho_{\chi, \emptyset} \oplus \text{inj } \rho_{\chi^s, \emptyset})^{I_1}$$

to the action of  $\mathcal{H}$ , such that  $(\text{inj } \rho_{\chi, \emptyset} \oplus \text{inj } \rho_{\chi^s, \emptyset})^{I_1}$  as an  $\mathcal{H}$ -module is isomorphic to a direct sum of  $L_\gamma$  and  $2^{c-1} + 2^{d-1} - 2$  supersingular modules of  $\mathcal{H}$ .

More precisely, let  $b_\varepsilon$ , for  $\varepsilon \in \Sigma_{\mathbf{r}}$ , be a basis of  $(\text{inj } \rho_{\chi, \emptyset})^{I_1}$  and let  $\bar{b}_\varepsilon$ , for  $\varepsilon \in \Sigma_{\mathbf{p-1-r}}$ , be a basis of  $(\text{inj } \rho_{\chi^s, \emptyset})^{I_1}$  via the isomorphism above. Then the action of  $\mathcal{H}_K$  can be extended to the action of  $\mathcal{H}$  so that

$$\langle b_{\mathbf{0}}, b_{\mathbf{1}}, \bar{b}_{\mathbf{0}}, \bar{b}_{\mathbf{1}} \rangle_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} \cong L_\gamma$$

and

$$\langle b_{\mathbf{0}}, \bar{b}_{\mathbf{0}} \rangle_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} \cong M_\gamma$$

where  $\gamma = \{\chi, \chi^s\}$ . If  $\varepsilon \in \Sigma'_{\mathbf{r}}$ , then

$$\langle b_\varepsilon, b_{\mathbf{1}-\varepsilon} \rangle_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} \cong M_{\gamma_\varepsilon}$$

where  $\gamma_\varepsilon = \gamma_{\mathbf{1}-\varepsilon} = \{\chi^{\varepsilon \cdot (\mathbf{p-1-r})}, (\chi^{\varepsilon \cdot (\mathbf{p-1-r})})^s\}$ . If  $\varepsilon \in \Sigma'_{\mathbf{p-1-r}}$  then

$$\langle \bar{b}_\varepsilon, \bar{b}_{\mathbf{1}-\varepsilon} \rangle_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} \cong M_{\bar{\gamma}_\varepsilon}$$

where  $\bar{\gamma}_\varepsilon = \bar{\gamma}_{\mathbf{1}-\varepsilon} = \{\chi^s \alpha^{\varepsilon \cdot \mathbf{r}}, (\chi^s \alpha^{\varepsilon \cdot \mathbf{r}})^s\}$ .

*Proof.* The first part of the Proposition follows from Corollary 4.36 and Corollary 3.14. For the second part we observe that since  $\chi \neq \chi^s$ , we have  $r \neq q-1$  and hence vectors  $b_{\mathbf{0}}, b_{\mathbf{1}}, \bar{b}_{\mathbf{0}}$  and  $\bar{b}_{\mathbf{1}}$  are linearly independent. Lemma 4.35 implies that

$$\langle b_{\mathbf{0}} \rangle_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} \cong M_{\chi, \emptyset} \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \bar{b}_{\mathbf{0}} \rangle_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} \cong M_{\chi^s, \emptyset}$$

as  $\mathcal{H}_K$ -modules. Lemma 4.34 with the appropriate twist by a power of  $\det$  says that  $H$  acts on  $b_1$  by a character  $\chi\alpha^{\mathbf{1} \cdot (\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{r})}$  and  $H$  acts on  $\bar{b}_1$  by a character  $\chi^s\alpha^{\mathbf{1} \cdot \mathbf{r}}$ . Lemma 4.44 implies that

$$\chi\alpha^{\mathbf{1} \cdot (\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{r})} = \chi^s \quad \text{and} \quad \chi^s\alpha^{\mathbf{1} \cdot \mathbf{r}} = \chi.$$

Hence,

$$b_1 e_{\chi^s} = b_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{b}_1 e_{\chi} = \bar{b}_1.$$

Proposition 4.37 implies that

$$(-1)^{r+1} b_1 T_{n_s} = (-1)^{r+1} \sum_{u \in I_1 / K_1} u n_s^{-1} b_1 = b_0$$

and

$$(-1)^{q-r} \bar{b}_1 T_{n_s} = (-1)^{q-r} \sum_{u \in I_1 / K_1} u n_s^{-1} \bar{b}_1 = \bar{b}_0.$$

Hence, by Lemma 2.26

$$\langle b_0, b_1, \bar{b}_0, \bar{b}_1 \rangle_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} \cong L_{\gamma} |_{\mathcal{H}_K}$$

as  $\mathcal{H}_K$ -modules. We note that if  $p = 2$  then  $1 = -1$  and if  $p \neq 2$  then  $(-1)^{q-r} = (-1)^{r+1}$ . So if we define

$$b_1 T_{\Pi} = \bar{b}_1, \quad \bar{b}_1 T_{\Pi} = b_1, \quad b_0 T_{\Pi} = \bar{b}_0, \quad \bar{b}_0 T_{\Pi} = b_0$$

we get the required isomorphism of  $\mathcal{H}$ -modules. Moreover,

$$\langle b_0, \bar{b}_0 \rangle_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} \cong M_{\gamma}$$

as  $\mathcal{H}$ -module. The last part of the Proposition follows from Lemma 4.47. Since  $\dim(\text{inj } \rho_{\chi, \emptyset})^{I_1} = 2^c$  and  $\dim(\text{inj } \rho_{\chi^s, \emptyset})^{I_1} = 2^d$  an easy calculation gives us the number of indecomposable summands.  $\square$

**Remark 4.50.** If  $p = q$ , then  $\Sigma'_{\mathbf{r}} = \emptyset$  and Propositions 4.48 and 4.49 specialise to Proposition 4.15.

The following Proposition can be seen as a consolation for the Remark 4.12.

**Proposition 4.51.** Suppose that  $q = p^n$ ,  $\chi \neq \chi^s$  and let  $\rho$  be a representation of  $\Gamma$ , such that  $\rho^U \cong M_{\chi, \emptyset} \oplus M_{\chi^s, \emptyset}$  as an  $\mathcal{H}_{\Gamma}$ -module, and  $\rho = \langle \Gamma \rho^U \rangle_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p}$ , then

$$\rho \cong \rho_{\chi, \emptyset} \oplus \rho_{\chi^s, \emptyset}.$$

*Proof.* If  $\rho$  is a semi-simple representation of  $\Gamma$ , then Corollary 3.3 implies the Lemma. Suppose that  $\rho$  is not semi-simple. Let  $\text{soc}(\rho)$  be the maximal semi-simple subrepresentation of  $\rho$ . Since  $\rho$  is generated by  $\rho^U$  as a  $\Gamma$ -representation, the space  $(\text{soc}(\rho))^U$  is one dimensional, and hence  $\text{soc}(\rho)$  is an irreducible representation of  $\Gamma$ . By Corollary 3.3 and symmetry we may assume that

$$\text{soc}(\rho) \cong \rho_{\chi, \emptyset}.$$

Since,  $\text{soc}(\rho)$  is irreducible,  $\rho$  is an essential extension of  $\rho_{\chi, \emptyset}$ . By this we mean that every non-zero  $\Gamma$  invariant subspace of  $\rho$  intersects  $\rho_{\chi, \emptyset}$  non-trivially. This implies that there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \rho \longrightarrow \text{inj } \rho_{\chi, \emptyset}$$

of  $\Gamma$ -representations. After twisting by a power of determinant we may assume that  $\chi$  is given by  $\chi\left(\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix}\right) = \lambda^r$ , where  $0 < r < q - 1$ . The inequalities are strict, since  $\chi \neq \chi^s$ . Let  $\mathbf{r}$  be the corresponding  $n$ -tuple. Let  $\varepsilon \in \Sigma_{\mathbf{r}}$  and  $b_{\varepsilon} \in (\text{inj } \rho_{\chi, \emptyset})^U$ , then  $H$  acts on  $b_{\varepsilon}$  by the character  $\chi \alpha^{\varepsilon \cdot (\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{r})}$ . In particular, if  $\varepsilon' \in \Sigma_{\mathbf{r}}$ , such that  $\varepsilon' \neq \varepsilon$ , then  $H$  acts on  $b_{\varepsilon}$  and  $b_{\varepsilon'}$  by different characters. As a consequence of this, the submodule  $M_{\chi^s, \emptyset}$  of  $\rho^U$  must be mapped to some subspace  $\langle b_{\varepsilon} \rangle_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p}$  of  $(\text{inj } \rho_{\chi, \emptyset})^U$ , where  $\varepsilon \in \Sigma_{\mathbf{r}}$ . By examining the action of  $H$ , we get that  $\chi^s = \chi \alpha^{\varepsilon \cdot (\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{r})}$ . This implies that

$$\varepsilon \cdot (\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{r}) + r \equiv 0 \pmod{q-1}.$$

Since  $0 < r < q - 1$  and  $\varepsilon \in \Sigma_{\mathbf{r}}$ , we have

$$0 < \varepsilon \cdot (\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{r}) + r \leq q - 1.$$

Hence, we get an equality on the right hand side, which implies that, for each  $i$ ,  $(1 - \varepsilon_i)(p - 1 - r_i) = 0$ . So  $\varepsilon = \delta$ , and  $b_{\varepsilon} = b_{\mathbf{1}}$ , see 4.45 and 4.46. However, by Proposition 4.37 (ii)

$$b_{\mathbf{1}} T_{n_s} = (-1)^{r+1} b_{\mathbf{0}} \neq 0.$$

We obtain a contradiction, since  $T_{n_s}$  kills  $M_{\chi^s, \emptyset}$ .  $\square$

## 5 Coefficient systems

We closely follow [12] and [13]§V, where the  $G$ -equivariant coefficient systems of  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector spaces are treated. In fact, the results of this Section do not depend on the underlying field. Our motivation to use coefficient systems stems from [11], where the equivariant coefficient systems of  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ -vector spaces of finite Chevalley groups are considered.

## 5.1 Definitions

The Bruhat - Tits tree  $X$  of  $G$  is the simplicial complex, whose vertices are the similarity classes  $[L]$  of  $\mathfrak{o}_F$ -lattices in a 2-dimensional  $F$ -vector space  $V$  and whose edges are 1-simplices, given by families  $\{[L_0], [L_1]\}$  of similarity classes such that

$$\varpi_F L_0 \subset L_1 \subset L_0.$$

We denote by  $X_0$  the set of all vertices and by  $X_1$  the set of all edges.

**Definition 5.1.** *Let  $\sigma$  be a simplex in  $X$ , then we define*

$$\mathfrak{K}(\sigma) = \{g \in \text{Aut}_F(V) : g\sigma = \sigma\}.$$

By fixing a basis  $\{v_1, v_2\}$  of  $V$  we identify  $G$  with  $\text{Aut}_F(V)$ . Let

$$\sigma_0 = [\mathfrak{o}_F v_1 + \mathfrak{o}_F v_2] \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_1 = \{[\mathfrak{o}_F v_1 + \mathfrak{o}_F v_2], [\mathfrak{o}_F v_1 + \mathfrak{p}_F v_2]\}.$$

Then  $\sigma_0$  is a vertex and  $\mathfrak{K}(\sigma_0) = F^\times K$ , and  $\sigma_1$  is an edge containing a vertex  $\sigma_0$ . Moreover,  $\mathfrak{K}(\sigma_1)$  is the group generated by  $I$  and  $\Pi$ .

**Definition 5.2.** *A coefficient system  $\mathcal{V}$  (of  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ -vector spaces) on  $X$  consists of*

- $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$  vector spaces  $V_\sigma$  for each simplex  $\sigma$  of  $X$ , and
- linear maps  $r_\sigma^{\sigma'} : V_{\sigma'} \rightarrow V_\sigma$  for each pair  $\sigma \subseteq \sigma'$  of simplices of  $X$  such that for every simplex  $\sigma$ ,  $r_\sigma^\sigma = \text{id}_{V_\sigma}$ .

**Definition 5.3.** *We say the group  $G$  acts on the coefficient system  $\mathcal{V}$ , if for every  $g \in G$  and for every simplex  $\sigma$  there is given a linear map*

$$g_\sigma : V_\sigma \rightarrow V_{g\sigma},$$

such that

- $g_{h\sigma} \circ h_\sigma = (gh)_\sigma$ , for every  $g, h \in G$  and for every simplex  $\sigma$ ,
- $1_\sigma = \text{id}_{V_\sigma}$  for every simplex  $\sigma$ ,
- the following diagram commutes for every  $g \in G$  and every pair of simplices  $\sigma \subseteq \sigma'$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V_\sigma & \xrightarrow{g_\sigma} & V_{g\sigma} \\ r_\sigma^{\sigma'} \uparrow & & \uparrow r_{g\sigma}^{g\sigma'} \\ V_{\sigma'} & \xrightarrow{g_{\sigma'}} & V_{g\sigma'} \end{array}.$$

In particular, the stabiliser  $\mathfrak{K}(\sigma)$  acts linearly on  $V_\sigma$  for any simplex  $\sigma$ .

**Definition 5.4.** *A  $G$ -equivariant coefficient system  $(V_\tau)_\tau$  on  $X$  is a coefficient system on  $X$  together with a  $G$ -action, such that the action of the stabiliser  $\mathfrak{K}(\sigma)$  of a simplex  $\sigma$  on  $V_\sigma$  is smooth.*

**Remark 5.5.** *The definition given in [13] §V, requires the action to factor through a discrete quotient.*

Let  $\mathcal{COEF}_G$  denote the category of all equivariant coefficient systems on  $X$ , equipped with the obvious morphisms.

The following observation will turn out to be very useful. Suppose that  $G$  acts on a coefficient system  $\mathcal{V} = (V_\sigma)_\sigma$ . Let  $\tau'$  be an edge containing a vertex  $\tau$ . There exists  $g \in G$ , such that  $\tau' = g\sigma_0$  and  $\tau = g\sigma_1$ . Then

$$V_\tau = g\sigma_0 V_{\sigma_0}, \quad V_{\tau'} = g\sigma_1 V_{\sigma_1}$$

and

$$r_\tau^{\tau'} = g\sigma_0 \circ r_{\sigma_0}^{\sigma_1} \circ (g^{-1})_{\tau'}.$$

## 5.2 Homology

Let  $X_{(0)}$  be the set of vertices on the tree and let  $X_{(1)}$  be the set of oriented edges on the tree. We will say that two vertices  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma'$  are neighbours if  $\{\sigma, \sigma'\}$  is an edge. And we will write

$$(\sigma, \sigma')$$

to mean a directed edge going from  $\sigma$  to  $\sigma'$ . Let  $\mathcal{V} = (V_\tau)_\tau$  be an equivariant coefficient system. We define a space of oriented 0-chains to be

$$C_c^{or}(X_{(0)}, \mathcal{V}) = \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p\text{-vector space of all maps } \omega : X_{(0)} \rightarrow \bigcup_{\sigma \in X_0} V_\sigma$$

such that

- $\omega$  has finite support and
- $\omega(\sigma) \in V_\sigma$  for every vertex  $\sigma$ .

Similarly, the space of oriented 1-chains is

$$C_c^{or}(X_{(1)}, \mathcal{V}) = \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p\text{-vector space of all maps } \omega : X_{(1)} \rightarrow \bigcup_{\{\sigma, \sigma'\} \in X_1} V_{\{\sigma, \sigma'\}}$$

such that

- $\omega$  has finite support,
- $\omega((\sigma, \sigma')) \in V_{\{\sigma, \sigma'\}}$ ,
- $\omega((\sigma', \sigma)) = -\omega((\sigma, \sigma'))$  for every oriented edge  $(\sigma, \sigma')$ .

The group  $G$  acts on  $C_c^{or}(X_{(0)}, \mathcal{V})$  via

$$(g\omega)(\sigma) = g_{g^{-1}\sigma}(\omega(g^{-1}\sigma))$$

and on  $C_c^{or}(X_{(1)}, \mathcal{V})$  via

$$(g\omega)((\sigma, \sigma')) = g_{\{g^{-1}\sigma, g^{-1}\sigma'\}}(\omega((g^{-1}\sigma, g^{-1}\sigma'))).$$

The action on both spaces is smooth.

The boundary map is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \partial : C_c^{or}(X_{(1)}, \mathcal{V}) &\rightarrow C_c^{or}(X_{(0)}, \mathcal{V}) \\ \omega &\mapsto (\sigma \mapsto \sum_{\sigma'} r_{\sigma}^{\{\sigma, \sigma'\}}(\omega((\sigma, \sigma')))) \end{aligned}$$

where the sum is taken over all the neighbours of  $\sigma$ . The map  $\partial$  is  $G$ -equivariant.

We define  $H_0(X, \mathcal{V})$  to be the cokernel of  $\partial$ . It is naturally a smooth representation of  $G$ .

### 5.3 Some computations of $H_0(X, \mathcal{V})$

Throughout this section we fix an equivariant coefficient system  $\mathcal{V} = (V_{\tau})_{\tau}$ , with the restriction maps given by  $r_{\tau}^{\tau'}$ . Our first lemma follows immediately from the definition of  $\partial$ .

**Lemma 5.6.** *Let  $\omega$  be an oriented 1-chain supported on a single edge  $\tau = \{\sigma, \sigma'\}$ . Let*

$$v = \omega((\sigma, \sigma')).$$

*Then*

$$\partial(\omega) = \omega_{\sigma} - \omega_{\sigma'},$$

*where  $\omega_{\sigma}$  and  $\omega_{\sigma'}$  are 0-chains supported only on  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma'$  respectively. Moreover,*

$$\omega_{\sigma}(\sigma) = r_{\sigma}^{\tau}(v)$$

*and*

$$\omega_{\sigma'}(\sigma') = r_{\sigma'}^{\tau}(v).$$

**Lemma 5.7.** *Let  $\omega$  be a 0-chain supported on a single vertex  $\sigma$ . Suppose that the restriction map  $r_{\sigma_0}^{\sigma_1}$  is an injection, then the image of  $\omega$  in  $H_0(X, \mathcal{V})$  is non-zero.*

*Proof.* Since every restriction map is conjugate to  $r_{\sigma_0}^{\sigma_1}$  by some element of  $G$ , it follows that every restriction map is injective.

Let  $\omega'$  be a non-zero oriented 1-chain. We may think of the support of  $\omega'$  as the union of edges of a finite subgraph  $\mathcal{T}$  of  $X$ . Since all the restriction maps are injective, Lemma 5.6 implies that  $\partial(\omega')$  will not vanish on the vertices of  $\mathcal{T}$ , which have only one neighbour in  $\mathcal{T}$ . In particular,  $\partial(\omega')$  will be supported on at least 2 vertices. Hence,  $\omega' \notin \partial C_c^{or}(X_{(1)}, \mathcal{V})$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5.8.** *Let  $\omega$  be 0-chain. Suppose that the restriction map  $r_{\sigma_0}^{\sigma_1}$  is surjective, then there exists a 0-chain  $\omega_0$ , supported on a single vertex  $\sigma_0$ , such that*

$$\omega + \partial C_c^{or}(X_{(1)}, \mathcal{V}) = \omega_0 + \partial C_c^{or}(X_{(1)}, \mathcal{V}).$$

*Proof.* Since every restriction map is conjugate to  $r_{\sigma_0}^{\sigma_1}$  by some element of  $G$ , it follows that every restriction map is surjective.

It is enough to prove the statement when  $\omega$  is supported on a single vertex  $\tau$ , since an arbitrary 0-chain is a finite sum of such. If  $\tau = \sigma_0$  then we are done. Otherwise, there exists a directed path going from  $\sigma_0$  to  $\tau$ , consisting of finitely many directed edges  $(\sigma_0, \tau_1), \dots, (\tau_m, \tau)$ .

We argue by induction on  $m$ . Let  $v = \omega(\tau)$ . Since  $r_{\tau}^{\{\tau_m, \tau\}}$  is surjective there exists  $v' \in V_{\{\tau_m, \tau\}}$ , such that

$$r_{\tau}^{\{\tau_m, \tau\}}(v') = v.$$

Let  $\omega'$  be an oriented 1-chain supported on the single edge  $\{\tau_m, \tau\}$  with  $\omega'((\tau_m, \tau)) = v'$ . By Lemma 5.6  $\omega + \partial(\omega')$  is supported on a single vertex  $\tau_m$ . Since, the number of edges in the directed path has decreased by one, the claim follows from induction.  $\square$

The following special case will be used in the calculations of modules of the Hecke algebra.

**Lemma 5.9.** *Let  $\omega_0$  be a 0-chain supported on a single vertex  $\sigma_0$ . Let*

$$v_0 = \omega_0(\sigma_0)$$

*and suppose that there exists  $v_1 \in V_{\sigma_1}$ , such that*

$$r_{\sigma_0}^{\sigma_1}(v_1) = v_0.$$

Let  $\omega'$  be a 0-chain supported on a single vertex  $\sigma_0$  with

$$\omega'(\sigma_0) = r_{\sigma_0}^{\sigma_1}((\Pi^{-1})_{\sigma_1}(v_1)),$$

then

$$\Pi^{-1}\omega_0 + \partial C_c^{or}(X_{(1)}, \mathcal{V}) = \omega' + \partial C_c^{or}(X_{(1)}, \mathcal{V}).$$

*Proof.* We observe that  $\Pi\sigma_0 = \Pi^{-1}\sigma_0$  and  $\sigma_1 = \{\sigma_0, \Pi\sigma_0\}$ . The 0-chain  $\Pi^{-1}\omega_0$  is supported on a single vertex  $\Pi\sigma_0$  with

$$(\Pi^{-1}\omega_0)(\Pi\sigma_0) = (\Pi^{-1})_{\sigma_0}(v_0).$$

Let  $\omega_1$  be an oriented 1-chain supported on a single edge  $\sigma_1$  with

$$\omega_1((\sigma_0, \Pi\sigma_0)) = (\Pi^{-1})_{\sigma_1}(v_1).$$

From Lemma 5.6 we know that  $\partial(\omega_1)$  is supported only on  $\sigma_0$  and  $\Pi\sigma_0$ . Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial(\omega_1)(\Pi\sigma_0) &= r_{\Pi\sigma_0}^{\sigma_1}(\omega_1((\Pi\sigma_0, \sigma_0))) = r_{\Pi\sigma_0}^{\sigma_1}(-(\Pi^{-1})_{\sigma_1}(v_1)) \\ &= -(r_{\Pi\sigma_0}^{\sigma_1} \circ (\Pi^{-1})_{\sigma_1})(v_1) = -((\Pi^{-1})_{\sigma_0} \circ r_{\sigma_0}^{\sigma_1})(v_1) = -(\Pi^{-1})_{\sigma_0}(v_0), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\partial(\omega_1)(\sigma_0) = r_{\sigma_0}^{\sigma_1}(\omega_1((\sigma_0, \Pi\sigma_0))) = r_{\sigma_0}^{\sigma_1}((\Pi^{-1})_{\sigma_1}(v_1)).$$

Hence

$$\partial(\omega_1) = \omega' - \Pi^{-1}\omega_0$$

and that establishes the claim.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.10.** *Suppose that the restriction map  $r_{\sigma_0}^{\sigma_1}$  is an isomorphism of vector spaces. Then*

$$H_0(X, \mathcal{V})|_{\mathfrak{K}(\sigma_0)} \cong V_{\sigma_0}$$

and

$$H_0(X, \mathcal{V})|_{\mathfrak{K}(\sigma_1)} \cong V_{\sigma_1}.$$

Moreover, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V_{\sigma_0} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H_0(X, \mathcal{V}) \\ r_{\sigma_0}^{\sigma_1} \uparrow & & \uparrow \text{id} \\ V_{\sigma_1} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H_0(X, \mathcal{V}) \end{array}$$

of  $F^\times I$ -representations commutes.

*Proof.* Let  $C_c^{or}(\sigma_0, \mathcal{V})$  be a subspace of  $C_c^{or}(X_{(0)}, \mathcal{V})$  consisting of the 0-chains whose support lies in the simplex  $\sigma_0$ , with the understanding that the 0-chain which vanishes on every simplex is supported on the empty simplex. Let  $\jmath$  be the composition

$$\jmath : C_c^{or}(\sigma_0, \mathcal{V}) \hookrightarrow C_c^{or}(X_{(0)}, \mathcal{V}) \rightarrow H_0(X, \mathcal{V}).$$

Then  $\jmath$  is  $\mathfrak{K}(\sigma_0)$  equivariant. Moreover, Lemma 5.7 says that  $\jmath$  is an injection and Lemma 5.8 says that it is a surjection. Hence

$$\jmath : C_c^{or}(\sigma_0, \mathcal{V}) \cong H_0(X, \mathcal{V})|_{\mathfrak{K}(\sigma_0)}.$$

Let  $\text{ev}_0$  be the map

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ev}_0 : C_c^{or}(\sigma_0, \mathcal{V}) &\rightarrow V_{\sigma_0} \\ \omega &\mapsto \omega(\sigma_0) \end{aligned}$$

then  $\text{ev}_0$  is an isomorphism of  $\mathfrak{K}(\sigma_0)$ -representations. Hence

$$\jmath \circ (\text{ev}_0)^{-1} : V_{\sigma_0} \cong H_0(X, \mathcal{V})|_{\mathfrak{K}(\sigma_0)}.$$

Since  $\mathcal{V}$  is  $G$ -equivariant, the map  $r_{\sigma_0}^{\sigma_1}$  is  $F^\times I = \mathfrak{K}(\sigma_1) \cap \mathfrak{K}(\sigma_0)$ -equivariant and since it is isomorphism of vector spaces, we obtain that

$$\jmath \circ (\text{ev}_0)^{-1} \circ r_{\sigma_0}^{\sigma_1} : V_{\sigma_1}|_{F^\times I} \cong H_0(X, \mathcal{V})|_{F^\times I}.$$

We claim that this isomorphism is in fact  $\mathfrak{K}(\sigma_1)$ -equivariant. Let  $v_1 \in V_{\sigma_1}$ , let  $v_0 = r_{\sigma_0}^{\sigma_1}(v_1)$  and let  $\omega_0 \in C_c^{or}(\sigma_0, \mathcal{V})$ , such that  $\omega_0(\sigma_0) = v_0$ . Then

$$(\jmath \circ (\text{ev}_0)^{-1} \circ r_{\sigma_0}^{\sigma_1})(v_1) = \omega_0 + \partial C_c^{or}(X_{(1)}, \mathcal{V}).$$

By Lemma 5.9

$$\Pi^{-1}\omega_0 + \partial C_c^{or}(X_{(1)}, \mathcal{V}) = \omega' + \partial C_c^{or}(X_{(1)}, \mathcal{V}),$$

where  $\omega' \in C_c^{or}(\sigma_0, \mathcal{V})$  with  $\omega'(\sigma_0) = r_{\sigma_0}^{\sigma_1}((\Pi^{-1})_{\sigma_1}(v_1))$ . This implies that

$$\Pi^{-1}(\jmath \circ (\text{ev}_0)^{-1} \circ r_{\sigma_0}^{\sigma_1})(v_1) = (\jmath \circ (\text{ev}_0)^{-1} \circ r_{\sigma_0}^{\sigma_1})((\Pi^{-1})_{\sigma_1}(v_1)).$$

Since  $\Pi^{-1}$  and  $F^\times I$  generate  $\mathfrak{K}(\sigma_1)$  this proves the claim.

The commutativity of the diagram follows from the way the isomorphisms are constructed.  $\square$

## 5.4 Constant functor

The content of this Section is essentially [11] Lemma 1.1 and Theorem 1.2. Let  $\text{Rep}_G$  be the category of smooth  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ -representations of  $G$ . Let  $\pi$  be a smooth representation of  $G$  with the underlying vector space  $\mathcal{W}$ . Let  $\sigma$  be a simplex on the tree  $X$ , we set

$$(\mathcal{K}_\pi)_\sigma = \mathcal{W}.$$

If  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma'$  are two simplices, such that  $\sigma \subseteq \sigma'$  then we define the restriction map

$$r_\sigma^{\sigma'} = \text{id}_{\mathcal{W}}.$$

For every  $g \in G$  and every simplex  $\sigma$  in  $X$  we define a linear map  $g_\sigma$  by

$$g_\sigma : (\mathcal{K}_\pi)_\sigma \rightarrow (\mathcal{K}_\pi)_{g\sigma}, \quad v \mapsto \pi(g)v.$$

This gives a  $G$ -equivariant coefficient system on  $X$ , which we denote by  $\mathcal{K}_\pi$ .

**Definition 5.11.** *We define the constant functor*

$$\mathcal{K} : \text{Rep}_G \rightarrow \mathcal{COEF}_G, \quad \pi \mapsto \mathcal{K}_\pi.$$

**Lemma 5.12.** *Let  $\pi$  be a smooth representation of  $G$ , then*

$$H_0(X, \mathcal{K}_\pi) \cong \pi$$

as a  $G$ -representation.

*Proof.* We have an evaluation map

$$\text{ev} : C_c^{or}(X_{(0)}, \mathcal{K}_\pi) \rightarrow \pi, \quad \omega \mapsto \sum_{\sigma \in X_{(0)}} \omega(\sigma).$$

Since the restriction maps are just  $\text{id}_{\mathcal{W}}$ , Lemma 5.6 implies that the image of the boundary map  $\partial C_c^{or}(X_{(1)}, \mathcal{K}_\pi)$  is contained in the kernel of  $\text{ev}$ . Hence, we get a  $G$ -equivariant map

$$H_0(X, \mathcal{K}_\pi) \rightarrow \pi.$$

It is enough to show that this is an isomorphism of vector spaces, and this is implied by Proposition 5.10.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.13.** *Let  $\mathcal{V} = (V_\sigma)_\sigma$  be a  $G$ -equivariant coefficient system with the restriction maps  $r_\sigma^{\sigma'}$  and let  $(\pi, \mathcal{W})$  be a smooth representation of  $G$ , then*

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{COEF}_G}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{K}_\pi) \cong \text{Hom}_G(H_0(X, \mathcal{V}), \pi).$$

*Proof.* Any morphism of  $G$ -equivariant coefficient systems will induce a  $G$ -equivariant homomorphism in the 0-th homology. Hence by Lemma 5.12 we have a map

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{COEF}_G}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{K}_\pi) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_G(H_0(X, \mathcal{V}), \pi).$$

We will construct an inverse of this. Let  $\phi \in \mathrm{Hom}_G(H_0(X, \mathcal{V}), \pi)$ , let  $\sigma$  be a vertex on the tree  $X$ , let  $v$  be a vector in  $V_\sigma$ , and let  $\omega_{\sigma, v}$  be a 0-chain, such that

$$\mathrm{Supp} \omega_{\sigma, v} \subseteq \sigma, \quad \omega_{\sigma, v}(\sigma) = v,$$

then we define

$$\phi_\sigma : V_\sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{W}, \quad v \mapsto \phi(\omega_{\sigma, v} + \partial C_c^{or}(X_{(1)}, \mathcal{V})).$$

Let  $\tau$  be an edge in  $X$  with vertices  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma'$ , we define

$$\phi_\tau : V_\tau \rightarrow \mathcal{W}, \quad v \mapsto \phi_\sigma(r_\sigma^\tau(v)).$$

Lemma 5.6 implies that the definition of  $\phi_\tau$  does not depend on the choice of vertex. Hence, the collection of linear maps  $(\phi_\sigma)_\sigma$  is a morphism of coefficient systems, which induces  $\phi$  on the 0-th homology. An easy check shows that  $(\phi_\sigma)_\sigma$  respect the  $G$ -action on  $\mathcal{V}$  and  $\mathcal{K}_\pi$ .  $\square$

## 5.5 Diagrams

**Definition 5.14.** Let  $\mathcal{DIA}$  be the category, whose objects are diagrams

$$\begin{array}{c} D_0 \\ \uparrow r \\ D_1 \end{array}$$

where  $(\rho_0, D_0)$  is a smooth  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ -representation of  $\mathfrak{K}(\sigma_0)$ ,  $(\rho_1, D_1)$  is a smooth  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ -representation of  $\mathfrak{K}(\sigma_1)$ , and  $r \in \mathrm{Hom}_{F^\times I}(D_1, D_0)$ .

The morphisms between two objects  $(D_0, D_1, r)$  and  $(D'_0, D'_1, r')$  are pairs  $(\psi_0, \psi_1)$ , such that  $\psi_0 \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{K}(\sigma_0)}(D_0, D'_0)$ ,  $\psi_1 \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{K}(\sigma_1)}(D_1, D'_1)$  and the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D_0 & \xrightarrow{\psi_0} & D'_0 \\ \uparrow r & & \uparrow r' \\ D_1 & \xrightarrow{\psi_1} & D'_1 \end{array}$$

of  $F^\times I$  representations commutes.

The main result of this section is Theorem 5.17, which says that the categories  $\mathcal{DIAg}$  and  $\mathcal{COEF}_G$  are equivalent. It is easier to work with objects of  $\mathcal{DIAg}$  than the coefficient systems.

**Definition 5.15.** Let  $\mathcal{V} = (V_\sigma)_\sigma$  be an object in  $\mathcal{COEF}_G$ . Let  $\mathcal{D} : \mathcal{COEF}_G \rightarrow \mathcal{DIAg}$  be a functor, given by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{V} \mapsto & V_{\sigma_0} & . \\ & \uparrow r_{\sigma_0}^{\sigma_1} & \\ & V_{\sigma_1} & \end{array}$$

We will construct a functor  $\mathcal{C} : \mathcal{DIAg} \rightarrow \mathcal{COEF}_G$  and show that the functors  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  induce an equivalence of categories.

### 5.5.1 Underlying vector spaces

Let  $D = (D_0, D_1, r)$  be an object in  $\mathcal{DIAg}$ . Let  $i \in \{0, 1\}$ , we define  $\text{c-Ind}_{\mathfrak{K}(\sigma_i)}^G \rho_i$ , to be a representation of  $G$  whose underlying vector space consists of functions

$$f : G \rightarrow D_i$$

such that

$$f(kg) = \rho_i(k)f(g) \quad \forall g \in G, \quad \forall k \in \mathfrak{K}(\sigma_i)$$

and  $\text{Supp } f$  is compact modulo the centre. The group  $G$  acts by the right translations, that is

$$(gf)(g_1) = f(g_1g).$$

Let  $\tau$  be a vertex on the tree  $X$ , then there exists  $g \in G$ , such that  $\tau = g\sigma_0$ . Let

$$\mathcal{F}_\tau = \{f \in \text{c-Ind}_{\mathfrak{K}(\sigma_0)}^G \rho_0 : \text{Supp } f \subseteq \mathfrak{K}(\sigma_0)g^{-1}\}.$$

The space  $\mathcal{F}_\tau$  is independent of the choice of  $g$ . Let  $\tau'$  be an edge on the tree  $X$ , then there exists  $g \in G$  such that  $\tau = g\sigma_1$ . We define

$$\mathcal{F}_{\tau'} = \{f \in \text{c-Ind}_{\mathfrak{K}(\sigma_1)}^G \rho_1 : \text{Supp } f \subseteq \mathfrak{K}(\sigma_1)g^{-1}\}.$$

We observe that  $\mathcal{F}_{\tau'}$  is also independent of the choice of  $g$ .

### 5.5.2 Restriction maps

Let  $i \in \{0, 1\}$ , then  $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma_i}$  is naturally isomorphic to  $D_i$  as a  $\mathfrak{K}(\sigma_i)$  representation. The isomorphism is given by

$$\text{ev}_i : \mathcal{F}_{\sigma_i} \rightarrow D_i, \quad f \mapsto f(1).$$

The inverse is given by

$$\text{ev}_i^{-1} : D_i \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\sigma_i}, \quad v \mapsto f_v$$

where  $f_v(k) = \rho_i(k)v$ , if  $k \in \mathfrak{K}(\sigma_i)$ , and 0 otherwise. Let

$$r_{\sigma_0}^{\sigma_1} = \text{ev}_0^{-1} \circ r \circ \text{ev}_1.$$

Then  $r_{\sigma_0}^{\sigma_1}$  is an  $F^\times I$ -equivariant map from  $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma_1}$  to  $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma_0}$ . If  $v \in D_1$  then it sends

$$r_{\sigma_0}^{\sigma_1} : f_v \mapsto f_{r(v)}.$$

We observe, for the purposes of Theorem 5.17, that

$$\tilde{D} = (\mathcal{F}_{\sigma_0}, \mathcal{F}_{\sigma_1}, r_{\sigma_0}^{\sigma_1})$$

is an object of  $\mathcal{DIAg}$ . Moreover,  $\text{ev} = (\text{ev}_0, \text{ev}_1)$  is an isomorphism of diagrams between  $D$  and  $\tilde{D}$ . We will show later on that  $\text{ev}$  induces a natural transformation between certain functors.

Let  $\tau'$  be an edge containing a vertex  $\tau$ , then there exists  $g \in G$ , such that  $\tau = g\sigma_0$  and  $\tau' = g\sigma_1$ . Moreover,  $g$  can only be replaced by  $gk$ , where  $k \in \mathfrak{K}(\sigma_0) \cap \mathfrak{K}(\sigma_1) = F^\times I$ . We define

$$r_\tau^{\tau'} : \mathcal{F}_{\tau'} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_\tau, \quad f \mapsto gr_{\sigma_0}^{\sigma_1}(g^{-1}f)$$

where  $g$  acts on the space  $\text{c-Ind}_{\mathfrak{K}(\sigma_0)}^G D_0$  and  $g^{-1}$  on the space  $\text{c-Ind}_{\mathfrak{K}(\sigma_1)}^G D_1$ . Since,  $r$  is  $F^\times I$ -equivariant we have

$$\rho_0(k) \circ r_{\sigma_0}^{\sigma_1} \circ \rho_1(k^{-1}) = r_{\sigma_0}^{\sigma_1}$$

for all  $k \in F^\times I$ . Hence, the map  $r_\tau^{\tau'}$  is independent of the choice of  $g$ . Explicitly, let  $v = f(g^{-1})$ , then

$$r_\tau^{\tau'} : f \mapsto gf_{r(v)}.$$

Let  $\tau$  be any simplex then we define the map  $r_\tau^\tau = \text{id}_{\mathcal{F}_\tau}$ .

### 5.5.3 $G$ -action

So far from a diagram we have constructed a coefficient system. We need to show that  $G$  acts on it. Let  $i \in \{0, 1\}$  and let  $f \in \text{c-Ind}_{\mathfrak{K}(\sigma_i)}^G D_i$ . For any  $g \in G$  we have

$$\text{Supp}(gf) = (\text{Supp } f)g^{-1}.$$

Hence for any simplex  $\tau$  we obtain a linear map

$$g_\tau : \mathcal{F}_\tau \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{g\tau}, \quad f \mapsto gf.$$

Moreover,  $1_\tau = \text{id}_{\mathcal{F}_\tau}$  and  $g_{h\tau} \circ h_\tau = (gh)_\tau$ , for any  $g, h \in G$ . Let  $\tau'$  be an edge containing a vertex  $\tau$ . We need to show that the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}_\tau & \xrightarrow{g_\tau} & \mathcal{F}_{g\tau} \\ r_\tau^{\tau'} \uparrow & & \uparrow r_{g\tau}^{g\tau'} \\ \mathcal{F}_{\tau'} & \xrightarrow{g_{\tau'}} & \mathcal{F}_{g\tau'} \end{array}$$

commutes. There exists  $g_1 \in G$  such that  $\tau = g_1\sigma_0$  and  $\tau' = g_1\sigma_1$ . Moreover, such  $g_1$  is determined up to a multiple  $g_1k$ , where  $k \in F^\times I$ . Let  $f \in \mathcal{F}_{\tau'}$  and let  $v = f(g_1^{-1})$ , then

$$r_\tau^{\tau'}(f) = g_1 f_{r(v)}.$$

Hence

$$(g_\tau \circ r_\tau^{\tau'})(f) = gg_1 f_{r(v)}.$$

Since  $g\tau' = gg_1\sigma_1$ ,  $g\tau = gg_1\sigma_0$  and  $(gf)((gg_1)^{-1}) = f(g_1^{-1}) = v$  we obtain

$$(r_{g\tau}^{g\tau'} \circ g_{\tau'})(f) = r_{g\tau}^{g\tau'}(gg_1 f_v) = gg_1 f_{r(v)}.$$

Hence the diagram commutes.

### 5.5.4 Morphisms

Let  $D' = (D'_0, D'_1, r')$  be another diagram, let  $\psi = (\psi_0, \psi_1)$  be a morphism of diagrams

$$\psi : D \rightarrow D'$$

and let  $\mathcal{F}' = (\mathcal{F}'_\tau)_\tau$  be a coefficient system associated to  $D'$  via the construction above. Let  $\tau$  be any simplex on the tree. If  $\tau$  is a vertex let  $i = 0$  and if  $\tau$  is an edge, let  $i = 1$ . There exists some  $g \in G$  such that  $\tau = g\sigma_i$ . Let  $f \in V_\tau$  and let  $v = f(g^{-1})$  we define a map

$$\psi_\tau : \mathcal{F}_\tau \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'_\tau, \quad f \mapsto gf_{\psi_i(v)}$$

where  $f_{\psi_i(v)}$  is the unique function in  $\mathcal{F}'_{\sigma_i}$ , such that  $f_{\psi_i(v)}(1) = \psi_i(v)$ . Since the map  $\psi_i$  is  $\mathfrak{K}(\sigma_i)$ -equivariant,  $\psi_\tau$  is independent of the choice of  $g$ .

We will show that the maps  $(\psi_\tau)_\tau$  are compatible with the restriction maps. Let  $\tau'$  be an edge containing a vertex  $\tau$ . We claim that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}_\tau & \xrightarrow{\psi_\tau} & \mathcal{F}'_\tau \\ r_\tau^{\tau'} \uparrow & & \uparrow (r')_\tau^{\tau'} \\ \mathcal{F}_{\tau'} & \xrightarrow{\psi_{\tau'}} & \mathcal{F}'_{\tau'} \end{array}$$

commutes. There exists  $g \in G$  such that  $\tau = g\sigma_0$  and  $\tau' = g\sigma_1$ . Let  $f \in \mathcal{F}_{\tau'}$  and let  $v = f(g^{-1})$ . Then

$$(\psi_\tau \circ r_\tau^{\tau'})(f) = \psi_\tau(gf_{r(v)}) = gf_{\psi_0(r(v))}$$

and

$$((r')_\tau^{\tau'} \circ \psi_{\tau'})(f) = (r')_\tau^{\tau'}(gf_{\psi_1(v)}) = gf_{r'(\psi_1(v))}.$$

Since  $(\psi_0, \psi_1)$  is a morphism of diagrams

$$\psi_0(r(v)) = r'(\psi_1(v)).$$

Hence the diagram commutes as claimed and  $(\psi_\tau)_\tau$  are compatible with the restriction maps.

Finally, we will show that the maps  $(\psi_\tau)_\tau$  are compatible with the  $G$ -action. Let  $\tau$  be any simplex on the tree. To ease the notation, for every  $h \in G$  we denote by  $h_\tau$  the action of  $h$  on both  $(\mathcal{F}_\tau)_\tau$  and  $(\mathcal{F}'_\tau)_\tau$ . Let  $\tau$  be a simplex on the tree  $X$  and let  $h \in G$ . We claim that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}_{h\tau} & \xrightarrow{\psi_{h\tau}} & \mathcal{F}'_{h\tau} \\ h_\tau \uparrow & & \uparrow h_\tau \\ \mathcal{F}_\tau & \xrightarrow{\psi_\tau} & \mathcal{F}'_\tau \end{array}$$

commutes. If  $\tau$  is an edge let  $i = 1$ , if  $\tau$  is a vertex let  $i = 0$ . There exists  $g \in G$ , such that  $\tau = g\sigma_i$ . Let  $f \in \mathcal{F}_\tau$  and let  $v = f(g^{-1})$ , then

$$\psi_{h\tau}(h_\tau(f)) = \psi_{h\tau}(hg f_v) = hg f_{\psi_i(v)}$$

and

$$h_\tau(\psi_\tau(f)) = h_\tau(gf_{\psi_i(v)}) = hg f_{\psi_i(v)}.$$

Hence, the diagram commutes as claimed and the collection  $(\psi_\tau)_\tau$  defines a morphism of equivariant coefficient systems.

### 5.5.5 Equivalence

**Definition 5.16.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a functor

$$\mathcal{C} : \mathcal{D}\mathcal{I}\mathcal{A}\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{F}_G$$

which sends a diagram  $D$  to the coefficient system  $(\mathcal{F}_\tau)_\tau$  as above.

One needs to check that given three diagrams and two morphisms between them

$$D \xrightarrow{\psi} D' \xrightarrow{\psi'} D''$$

we have

$$\mathcal{C}(\psi' \circ \psi) = \mathcal{C}(\psi') \circ \mathcal{C}(\psi).$$

However, that is immediate from the construction of  $\mathcal{C}(\psi)$  in Section 5.5.4.

**Theorem 5.17.** The functors  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  induce an equivalence of categories between  $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{I}\mathcal{A}\mathcal{G}$  and  $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{F}_G$ .

*Proof.* Let  $D = (D_0, D_1, r)$  be an object in  $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{I}\mathcal{A}\mathcal{G}$ . Then

$$(\mathcal{D} \circ \mathcal{C})(D) = \tilde{D} = (\mathcal{F}_{\sigma_0}, \mathcal{F}_{\sigma_1}, r_{\sigma_0}^{\sigma_1})$$

with the notation of Section 5.5.2. The isomorphism

$$\text{ev} : \tilde{D} \cong D$$

of Section 5.5.2 is given by the evaluation at 1. We claim that it induces an isomorphism of functors between  $\mathcal{D} \circ \mathcal{C}$  and  $\text{id}_{\mathcal{D}\mathcal{I}\mathcal{A}\mathcal{G}}$ . We only need to check what happens to morphisms. Let  $D' = (D'_0, D'_1, r')$  be another object in the category of diagrams and let  $\psi = (\psi_0, \psi_1)$  be a morphism

$$\psi : D \rightarrow D'.$$

Let  $(\mathcal{D} \circ \mathcal{C})(D') = \tilde{D}' = (\mathcal{F}'_{\sigma_0}, \mathcal{F}'_{\sigma_1}, (r')_{\sigma_0}^{\sigma_1})$  and let

$$(\mathcal{D} \circ \mathcal{C})(\psi) = \tilde{\psi} = (\tilde{\psi}_0, \tilde{\psi}_1)$$

be a morphism induced by a functor  $\mathcal{D} \circ \mathcal{C}$ . We need to show that the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{D}' & \xrightarrow{\text{ev}} & D' \\ \tilde{\psi} \uparrow & & \uparrow \psi \\ \tilde{D} & \xrightarrow{\text{ev}} & D \end{array}$$

commutes. Let  $i \in \{0, 1\}$ , let  $f \in \mathcal{F}_{\sigma_i}$  and let  $v = f(1)$  then

$$(\psi_i \circ \text{ev}_i)(f) = \psi_i(v).$$

From Section 5.5.4  $\tilde{\psi}_i(f)$  is the unique function in  $\mathcal{F}'_{\sigma_i}$ , taking value  $\psi_i(v)$  at 1. Hence

$$(\text{ev}_i \circ \tilde{\psi}_i)(f) = \psi_i(v).$$

This implies that the diagram commutes.

Conversely, we need to show that the functor  $\mathcal{C} \circ \mathcal{D}$  is isomorphic to  $\text{id}_{\mathcal{COEF}_G}$ . Let  $\mathcal{V} = (V_\tau)_\tau$  be a  $G$ -equivariant coefficient system with the restriction maps  $t_\tau^{\tau'}$ . Then  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{V})$  is a diagram given by:

$$\begin{array}{c} V_{\sigma_0} \\ \uparrow t_{\sigma_0}^{\sigma_1} \\ V_{\sigma_1} \end{array} .$$

Let  $k \in \mathfrak{K}(\sigma_0)$  then it acts on  $V_{\sigma_0}$  by a linear map  $k_{\sigma_0}$ . Similarly, if  $k \in \mathfrak{K}(\sigma_1)$  then it acts on  $V_{\sigma_1}$  by a linear map  $k_{\sigma_1}$ . Let

$$(\mathcal{C} \circ \mathcal{D})(\mathcal{V}) = \mathcal{F} = (\mathcal{F}_\tau)_\tau$$

with the restriction maps  $r_\tau^{\tau'}$ . We will construct a canonical isomorphism  $\text{ev} = (\text{ev}_\tau)_\tau$

$$\text{ev} : \mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{V}$$

of  $G$  equivariant coefficient systems. Let  $\tau$  be a simplex on the tree. If  $\tau$  is a vertex let  $i = 0$  and if  $\tau$  is an edge let  $i = 1$ . There exists  $g \in G$  such that  $\tau = g\sigma_i$ . For  $f \in \mathcal{F}_\tau$  we let  $v = f(g^{-1})$ . Then  $v$  is a vector in  $V_{\sigma_i}$ . We define a map  $\text{ev}_\tau$ , by

$$\text{ev}_\tau : \mathcal{F}_\tau \rightarrow V_\tau, \quad f \mapsto g_{\sigma_i}v$$

where  $g_{\sigma_i}$  is the linear map coming from the  $G$  action on  $\mathcal{V}$ . If we replace  $g$  by  $gk$ , for some  $k \in \mathfrak{K}(\sigma_i)$ , then

$$(gk)_{\sigma_i}(f((gk)^{-1})) = (g_{\sigma_i} \circ k_{\sigma_i} \circ k_{\sigma_i}^{-1})(f(g^{-1})) = g_{\sigma_i}(f(g^{-1})).$$

Hence, the map  $\text{ev}_\tau$  is independent of the choice of  $g$ . Moreover,  $\text{ev}_\tau$  is an isomorphism of vector spaces with the inverse given as follows. Let  $w \in V_\tau$ , let  $v = (g^{-1})_\tau w$ , then  $v$  is a vector in  $V_{\sigma_i}$ . Let  $f_v$  be the unique function in  $\mathcal{F}_\tau$  such that  $f_v(1) = v$ . Then  $(\text{ev}_\tau)^{-1}$  is given by

$$(\text{ev}_\tau)^{-1} : V_\tau \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_\tau, \quad w \mapsto gf_v$$

where the action by  $g$  is on the space  $\text{c-Ind}_{\mathfrak{K}(\sigma_i)}^G V_{\sigma_i}$ .

The collection of maps  $(\text{ev}_\tau)_\tau$  is  $G$ -equivariant. Let  $h \in G$ , then  $hf$  belongs to the space  $\mathcal{F}_{h\tau}$  and

$$\text{ev}_{h\tau}(hf) = (hg)_{\sigma_i}((hf)((hg)^{-1})) = (h_\tau \circ g_{\sigma_i})(f(g^{-1})) = h_\tau(\text{ev}_\tau(f)).$$

We need to show that the maps  $\text{ev}_\tau$  are compatible with the restriction maps. Let  $\tau'$  be an edge containing a vertex  $\tau$ . We need to show that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}_\tau & \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_\tau} & V_\tau \\ r_\tau^{\tau'} \uparrow & & \uparrow t_\tau^{\tau'} \\ \mathcal{F}_{\tau'} & \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_{\tau'}} & V_{\tau'} \end{array}$$

commutes. There exists  $g \in G$  such that  $\tau = g\sigma_0$  and  $\tau' = g\sigma_1$ . Let  $f$  be a function in  $\mathcal{F}_{\tau'}$ . Let  $v_1 = f(g^{-1})$ , then  $v_1$  is a vector in  $V_{\sigma_1}$ . Let  $v_0 = t_{\sigma_0}^{\sigma_1}(v_1)$ . Then  $r_\tau^{\tau'}(f)$  is the unique function of  $\mathcal{F}_\tau$  taking value  $v_0$  at  $g^{-1}$ . Hence

$$(\text{ev}_\tau \circ r_\tau^{\tau'})(f) = g_{\sigma_0}v_0.$$

On the other hand

$$(t_\tau^{\tau'} \circ \text{ev}_{\tau'})(f) = t_\tau^{\tau'}(g_{\sigma_1}v_1).$$

The action of  $G$  on  $\mathcal{V}$  respects the restriction maps, in the sense that the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V_{\sigma_0} & \xrightarrow{g_{\sigma_0}} & V_\tau \\ t_{\sigma_0}^{\sigma_1} \uparrow & & \uparrow t_\tau^{\tau'} \\ V_{\sigma_1} & \xrightarrow{g_{\sigma_1}} & V_{\tau'} \end{array} .$$

commutes. Hence,

$$t_\tau^{\tau'}(g_{\sigma_1}v_1) = g_{\sigma_0}v_0.$$

Hence our original diagram commutes and  $\text{ev} = (\text{ev}_\tau)_\tau$  defines an isomorphism of  $G$ -equivariant coefficient systems.

In order to show that the morphism  $\text{ev}$  induces an isomorphism of functors between  $\mathcal{C}\circ\mathcal{D}$  and  $\text{id}_{\mathcal{COEF}_G}$  we need to check what happens to the morphisms. However the proof is almost identical to the one given for  $\mathcal{DILAG}$  so we omit it.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.18.** *Let  $(\rho_0, V_0)$  be a smooth representation of  $\mathfrak{K}(\sigma_0)$  and  $(\rho_1, V_1)$  a smooth representation of  $\mathfrak{K}(\sigma_1)$ . Suppose that there exists an  $F^\times I$ -equivariant isomorphism*

$$r : V_1 \cong V_0,$$

then there exists a unique (up to isomorphism) smooth representation  $\pi$  of  $G$ , such that

$$\pi|_{\mathfrak{K}(\sigma_0)} \cong \rho_0, \quad \pi|_{\mathfrak{K}(\sigma_1)} \cong \rho_1$$

and the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V_0 & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \pi \\ r \uparrow & & \uparrow \text{id} \\ V_1 & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \pi \end{array}$$

of  $F^\times I$ -representations commutes.

*Proof.* Let  $D$  be the object in  $\mathcal{DIAg}$ , given by  $D = (V_0, V_1, r)$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}(D)$  be a coefficient system corresponding to  $D$ , with the restriction maps  $r_\tau^{\tau'}$ . Since  $(\mathcal{D} \circ \mathcal{C})(D) \cong D$  and  $r$  is an isomorphism, the map  $r_{\sigma_0}^{\sigma_1}$  is an isomorphism and Proposition 5.9 implies that  $H_0(X, \mathcal{C}(D))$  satisfies the conditions of the Corollary.

The statement of the Corollary can be rephrased as follows: there exists a unique up to isomorphism smooth representation  $\pi$  of  $G$ , such that

$$D \cong \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{K}_\pi).$$

If  $\pi'$  was another such, then

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{K}_{\pi'}) \cong D \cong \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{K}_\pi).$$

Hence, by Theorem 5.17

$$\mathcal{K}_{\pi'} \cong \mathcal{K}_\pi.$$

Lemma 5.12 implies that

$$\pi' \cong H_0(X, \mathcal{K}_{\pi'}) \cong H_0(X, \mathcal{K}_\pi) \cong \pi$$

and we obtain uniqueness.  $\square$

**Remark 5.19.** Let  $\tilde{W}$  be a subgroup of  $G$  generated by  $s$  and  $\Pi$ . The Iwahori decomposition says that  $G = I\tilde{W}I$ . Let  $\pi$  be a representation constructed as above,  $v \in \pi$  and  $g \in G$ . Then  $gv$  may be determined by decomposing  $g = u_1wu_2$ , where  $u_1, u_2 \in I$ ,  $w \in \tilde{W}$ , and then chasing around the diagram.

The simplest example illustrating 5.18 is the trivial diagram  $\tilde{\mathbb{1}} = (\mathbb{1}, \mathbb{1}, \text{id})$ . The proof of Corollary 3.9 can be reinterpreted as a construction of a morphism  $\tilde{\mathbb{1}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{K}_\pi)$ . This gives us an injection of  $G$  representations

$$\mathbb{1} \cong H_0(X, \mathcal{C}(\tilde{\mathbb{1}})) \hookrightarrow H_0(X, \mathcal{K}_\pi) \cong \pi.$$

## 6 Supersingular representations

### 6.1 Coefficient systems $\mathcal{V}_\gamma$

Let  $\chi : H \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times$  be a character, and let  $\rho_{\chi,J}$  be an irreducible representation of  $\Gamma$ , with the notations of Section 3. We consider  $\chi$  as a character of  $I$  and  $\rho_{\chi,J}$  as a representation of  $K$ , via

$$K \rightarrow K/K_1 \cong \Gamma \quad \text{and} \quad I \rightarrow I/I_1 \cong H.$$

Let  $\tilde{\rho}_{\chi,J}$  be the extension of  $\rho_{\chi,J}$  to  $F^\times K$  such that our fixed uniformiser  $\varpi_F$  acts trivially, and let  $\tilde{\chi}$  be the extension of  $\chi$  to  $F^\times I$ , such that  $\varpi_F$  acts trivially. The space of  $I_1$ -invariants of  $\tilde{\rho}_{\chi,J}$  is one dimensional and  $F^\times I$  acts on it via the character  $\tilde{\chi}$ . We fix a vector  $v_{\chi,J}$  such that

$$\rho_{\chi,J}^{I_1} = \langle v_{\chi,J} \rangle_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p}.$$

**Lemma 6.1.** *There exists a unique action of  $\mathfrak{K}(\sigma_1)$  on  $(\tilde{\rho}_{\chi,J} \oplus \tilde{\rho}_{\chi^s, \overline{J}})^{I_1}$ , extending the action of  $F^\times I$ , such that*

$$\Pi^{-1}v_{\chi,J} = v_{\chi^s, \overline{J}} \quad \text{and} \quad \Pi^{-1}v_{\chi^s, \overline{J}} = v_{\chi,J}.$$

Moreover, with this action

$$(\tilde{\rho}_{\chi,J} \oplus \tilde{\rho}_{\chi^s, \overline{J}})^{I_1} \cong \text{Ind}_{F^\times I}^{\mathfrak{K}(\sigma_1)} \tilde{\chi}$$

as  $\mathfrak{K}(\sigma_1)$ -representations.

*Proof.* We note that if  $t \in T$  is a diagonal matrix then  $\Pi t \Pi^{-1} = sts$ , hence  $(\tilde{\chi})^\Pi \cong \tilde{\chi}^s$  as representations of  $F^\times I$  and Mackey's decomposition gives us

$$(\text{Ind}_{F^\times I}^{\mathfrak{K}(\sigma_1)} \tilde{\chi})|_{F^\times I} \cong \tilde{\chi} \oplus \tilde{\chi}^s.$$

Since

$$(\tilde{\rho}_{\chi,J} \oplus \tilde{\rho}_{\chi^s, \overline{J}})^{I_1} \cong \tilde{\chi} \oplus \tilde{\chi}^s$$

as  $F^\times I$ -representation, we can extend the action. Explicitly, we consider  $f \in \text{Ind}_{F^\times I}^{\mathfrak{K}(\sigma_1)} \tilde{\chi}$ , such that  $\text{Supp } f = F^\times I$  and  $f(g) = \tilde{\chi}(g)$ , for all  $g \in F^\times I$ . Then the map

$$f \mapsto v_{\chi,J}, \quad \Pi^{-1}f \mapsto v_{\chi^s, \overline{J}}$$

induces the required isomorphism. Since,  $\Pi$  and  $F^\times I$  generate  $\mathfrak{K}(\sigma_1)$  the action is unique.  $\square$

**Definition 6.2.** Let  $\chi : H \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times$  be a character, and let  $\gamma = \{\chi, \chi^s\}$  we define  $D_\gamma$  to be an object in  $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{I}\mathcal{A}\mathcal{G}$ , given by

$$\begin{array}{c} \tilde{\rho}_{\chi, J} \oplus \tilde{\rho}_{\chi^s, \overline{J}} \\ \uparrow \\ (\tilde{\rho}_{\chi, J} \oplus \tilde{\rho}_{\chi^s, \overline{J}})^{I_1} \end{array}$$

where the action of  $\mathfrak{K}(\sigma_1)$  on  $(\tilde{\rho}_{\chi, J} \oplus \tilde{\rho}_{\chi^s, \overline{J}})^{I_1}$  is given by Lemma 6.1. Moreover, we define  $\mathcal{V}_\gamma$  to be a coefficient system, given by

$$\mathcal{V}_\gamma = \mathcal{C}(D_\gamma).$$

**Lemma 6.3.** The diagram  $D_\gamma$  is independent up to isomorphism of the choices made for  $v_{\chi, J}$  and  $v_{\chi^s, \overline{J}}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that instead we choose vectors  $v'_{\chi, J}$  and  $v'_{\chi^s, \overline{J}}$  and let  $D'_\gamma$  be the corresponding diagram. Since, the spaces  $\rho_{\chi, J}^{I_1}$  and  $\rho_{\chi^s, \overline{J}}^{I_1}$  are one dimensional there exist  $\lambda, \mu \in \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times$ , such that

$$\lambda v_{\chi, J} = v'_{\chi, J}, \quad \mu v_{\chi^s, \overline{J}} = v'_{\chi^s, \overline{J}}.$$

The isomorphism

$$\lambda \text{id} \oplus \mu \text{id} : \tilde{\rho}_{\chi, J} \oplus \tilde{\rho}_{\chi^s, \overline{J}} \rightarrow \tilde{\rho}_{\chi, J} \oplus \tilde{\rho}_{\chi^s, \overline{J}}$$

induces an isomorphism of diagrams  $D_\gamma \cong D'_\gamma$ .  $\square$

Since  $D_\gamma$  and  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{V}_\gamma)$  are canonically isomorphic, to ease the notation, we identify them. Let  $\omega_{\chi, J}, \omega_{\chi^s, \overline{J}} \in C_c^{or}(X_{(0)}, \mathcal{V}_\gamma)$  supported on a single vertex  $\sigma_0$ , such that

$$\omega_{\chi, J}(\sigma_0) = v_{\chi, J} \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_{\chi^s, \overline{J}}(\sigma_0) = v_{\chi^s, \overline{J}}.$$

Let

$$\bar{\omega}_{\chi, J} = \omega_{\chi, J} + \partial C_c^{or}(X_{(1)}, \mathcal{V}_\gamma) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\omega}_{\chi^s, \overline{J}} = \omega_{\chi^s, \overline{J}} + \partial C_c^{or}(X_{(1)}, \mathcal{V}_\gamma)$$

be their images in  $H_0(X, \mathcal{V}_\gamma)$ .

**Lemma 6.4.** We have

$$\langle \bar{\omega}_{\chi, J}, \bar{\omega}_{\chi^s, \overline{J}} \rangle_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} \cong M_\gamma$$

as right  $\mathcal{H}$ -modules.

*Proof.* Since the restriction maps in  $\mathcal{V}_\gamma$  are injective, Lemma 5.7 says that  $\bar{\omega}_{\chi,J}$  and  $\bar{\omega}_{\chi^s,\bar{J}}$  are non-zero. We have

$$\langle v_{\chi,J} \rangle_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} = (\tilde{\rho}_{\chi,J})^{I_1} \cong M_{\chi,J} \quad \text{and} \quad \langle v_{\chi^s,\bar{J}} \rangle_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} = (\tilde{\rho}_{\chi^s,\bar{J}})^{I_1} \cong M_{\chi^s,\bar{J}}$$

as  $\mathcal{H}_K$ -modules. Hence  $\bar{\omega}_{\chi,J}$  and  $\bar{\omega}_{\chi^s,\bar{J}}$  are fixed by  $I_1$  and

$$\langle \bar{\omega}_{\chi,J} \rangle_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} \oplus \langle \bar{\omega}_{\chi^s,\bar{J}} \rangle_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} \cong M_{\chi,J} \oplus M_{\chi^s,\bar{J}}$$

as  $\mathcal{H}_K$ -modules. Corollary 2.6 and Lemma 5.9 imply that

$$\bar{\omega}_{\chi,J} T_\Pi = \Pi^{-1} \bar{\omega}_{\chi,J} = \bar{\omega}_{\chi^s,\bar{J}} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\omega}_{\chi^s,\bar{J}} T_\Pi = \Pi^{-1} \bar{\omega}_{\chi^s,\bar{J}} = \bar{\omega}_{\chi,J}.$$

Hence

$$\langle \bar{\omega}_{\chi,J}, \bar{\omega}_{\chi^s,\bar{J}} \rangle_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} \cong M_\gamma$$

as  $\mathcal{H}$ -modules.  $\square$

**Lemma 6.5.** *The vector  $\bar{\omega}_{\chi,J}$  (resp.  $\bar{\omega}_{\chi^s,\bar{J}}$ ) generates  $H_0(X, \mathcal{V}_\gamma)$  as a  $G$ -representation.*

*Proof.* Lemma 5.9 implies that  $\Pi^{-1} \bar{\omega}_{\chi,J} = \bar{\omega}_{\chi^s,\bar{J}}$ . Hence, it is enough to show that  $\omega_{\chi,J}$  and  $\omega_{\chi^s,\bar{J}}$  generate  $C_c^{or}(X_{(0)}, \mathcal{V}_\gamma)$  as a  $G$ -representation. Since,  $\rho_{\chi,J}$  and  $\rho_{\chi^s,\bar{J}}$  are irreducible  $K$ -representations,  $\omega_{\chi,J}$  and  $\omega_{\chi^s,\bar{J}}$  will generate the space

$$C_c^{or}(\sigma_0, \mathcal{V}_\gamma) = \{\omega \in C_c^{or}(X_{(0)}, \mathcal{V}_\gamma) : \text{Supp } \omega \subseteq \sigma_0\}$$

as a  $K$ -representation. Since the action of  $G$  on the vertices of  $X$  is transitive, the space  $C_c^{or}(\sigma_0, \mathcal{V}_\gamma)$  will generate  $C_c^{or}(X_{(0)}, \mathcal{V}_\gamma)$  as a  $G$ -representation.  $\square$

**Corollary 6.6.** *Let  $\pi$  be a non-zero irreducible quotient of  $H_0(X, \mathcal{V}_\gamma)$ , then  $\pi$  is a supersingular representation.*

*Proof.* Lemma 6.5 implies that the images of  $\bar{\omega}_{\chi,J}$  and  $\bar{\omega}_{\chi^s,\bar{J}}$  in  $\pi$  are non-zero. Hence, by Lemma 6.4,  $\pi^{I_1}$  will contain a supersingular module  $M_\gamma$ , then Corollary 2.19 implies that  $\pi$  is supersingular.  $\square$

**Proposition 6.7.** *Let  $\pi$  be a smooth representation of  $G$  and suppose that there exists  $v_1, v_2 \in \pi^{I_1}$  such that*

$$\langle Kv_1 \rangle_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} \cong \rho_{\chi,J}, \quad \langle Kv_2 \rangle_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} \cong \rho_{\chi^s,\bar{J}}, \quad \Pi^{-1}v_1 = v_2, \quad \Pi^{-1}v_2 = v_1,$$

*then there exists a  $G$ -equivariant map  $\phi : H_0(X, \mathcal{V}_\gamma) \rightarrow \pi$  such that*

$$\phi(\bar{\omega}_{\chi,J}) = v_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(\bar{\omega}_{\chi^s,\bar{J}}) = v_2$$

*where  $\gamma = \{\chi, \chi^s\}$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 5.12 and Theorem 5.17, it is enough to construct a morphism of diagrams  $D_\gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{K}_\pi)$ . However, such morphism is immediate.  $\square$

**Corollary 6.8.** *Let  $\pi$  be a smooth representation of  $G$  and suppose that one of the following holds:  $\chi = \chi^s$ , or  $p = q$ , then*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_G(H_0(X, \mathcal{V}_\gamma), \pi) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}}(M_\gamma, \pi^{I_1}).$$

**Remark 6.9.** *This fails if  $q \neq p$  and  $\chi \neq \chi^s$ . Proposition 6.23 gives an example.*

*Proof.* Lemmas 6.4 and 6.5 imply that we always have an injection

$$\mathrm{Hom}_G(H_0(X, \mathcal{V}_\gamma), \pi) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}}(M_\gamma, \pi^{I_1}).$$

By Lemma 2.26  $M_\gamma|_{\mathcal{H}_K} \cong M_{\chi, J} \oplus M_{\chi^s, \overline{J}}$ . Under the assumptions made, Corollaries 2.6, 3.8 and respectively 4.11 give us vectors  $v_1, v_2 \in \pi^{I_1}$  as in Proposition 6.7, hence the injection is an isomorphism.  $\square$

**Corollary 6.10.** *Let  $\pi$  be a smooth representation, and suppose that  $\pi^{I_1} \cong M_\gamma$ , then*

$$\dim \mathrm{Hom}_G(H_0(X, \mathcal{V}_\gamma), \pi) = 1.$$

*Proof.* It is enough to consider the case  $p \neq q$  and  $\chi \neq \chi^s$ . Since Corollary 6.8 implies the statement in the other cases. Let  $\rho = \langle K\pi^{I_1} \rangle_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p}$ , then  $\rho^{I_1} = \pi^{I_1}$ . Hence

$$\rho^{I_1} \cong M_\gamma|_{\mathcal{H}_K} \cong M_{\chi, \emptyset} \oplus M_{\chi^s, \emptyset}$$

as an  $\mathcal{H}_K$ -module. Proposition 4.51 implies that  $\rho \cong \rho_{\chi, \emptyset} \oplus \rho_{\chi^s, \emptyset}$ . The action of  $\Pi$  on  $\pi^{I_1}$  is given by Corollary 2.6. Now we may apply Proposition 6.7 to get a non-zero homomorphism. So the dimension is at least one. The module  $M_\gamma$  is irreducible, and Lemmas 6.4 and 6.5 imply that the dimension is at most one.  $\square$

## 6.2 Injective envelopes

For the convenience of the reader we recall some general facts about injective envelopes. Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a pro-finite group and let  $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathcal{K}}$  be the category of smooth  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ -representations of  $\mathcal{K}$ . We assume that  $\mathcal{K}$  has an open normal pro- $p$  subgroup  $\mathcal{P}$ .

**Definition 6.11.** Let  $\pi \in \text{Rep}_{\mathcal{K}}$  and let  $\rho$  be a  $\mathcal{K}$ -invariant subspace of  $\pi$ . We say that  $\pi$  is an essential extension of  $\rho$  if for every non-zero  $\mathcal{K}$ -invariant subspace  $\pi'$  of  $\pi$ , we have  $\pi' \cap \rho \neq 0$ .

Let  $\rho \in \text{Rep}_{\mathcal{K}}$  and let  $\text{Inj}$  be an injective object in  $\text{Rep}_{\mathcal{K}}$ . A monomorphism  $\iota : \rho \hookrightarrow \text{Inj}$  is called an injective envelope of  $\rho$ , if  $\text{Inj}$  is an essential extension of  $\iota(\rho)$ .

**Proposition 6.12.** Every representation  $\rho \in \text{Rep}_{\mathcal{K}}$  has an injective envelope  $\iota : \rho \hookrightarrow \text{Inj } \rho$ . Moreover, injective envelopes are unique up to isomorphism.

*Proof.* [15], §3.1. □

**Lemma 6.13.** Let  $\text{Inj}$  be an injective object in  $\text{Rep}_{\mathcal{K}}$  and let  $\iota : \rho \rightarrow \text{Inj } \rho$  be an injective envelope of  $\rho$  in  $\text{Rep}_{\mathcal{K}}$ . Let  $\phi$  be a monomorphism  $\phi : \rho \hookrightarrow \text{Inj}$ , then there exists a monomorphism  $\psi : \text{Inj } \rho \hookrightarrow \text{Inj}$  such that  $\phi = \psi \circ \iota$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\text{Inj}$  is an injective object there exists  $\psi$  such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \rho & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \text{Inj } \rho \\ & & \downarrow \phi & \nearrow \psi & \\ & & \text{Inj} & & \end{array}$$

of  $\mathcal{K}$ -representations commutes. Since  $\phi$  is an injection  $\text{Ker } \psi \cap \iota(\rho) = 0$ . This implies that  $\text{Ker } \psi = 0$ , as  $\text{Inj } \rho$  is an essential extension of  $\iota(\rho)$ . □

**Lemma 6.14.** Let  $\rho \in \text{Rep}_{\mathcal{K}}$  be an irreducible representation and let  $\iota : \rho \hookrightarrow \text{Inj } \rho$  be an injective envelope of  $\rho$  in  $\text{Rep}_{\mathcal{K}}$ , then  $\rho \hookrightarrow (\text{Inj } \rho)^{\mathcal{P}}$  is an injective envelope of  $\rho$  in  $\text{Rep}_{\mathcal{K}/\mathcal{P}}$ .

*Proof.* We note that since  $\mathcal{P}$  is an open normal pro- $p$  subgroup of  $\mathcal{K}$  and  $\rho$  is irreducible, Lemma 2.1 implies that  $\mathcal{P}$  acts trivially on  $\rho$ . Hence,  $\iota(\rho)$  is a subspace of  $(\text{Inj } \rho)^{\mathcal{P}}$ . Moreover,  $(\text{Inj } \rho)^{\mathcal{P}}$  is an essential extension of  $\iota(\rho)$ , since  $\text{Inj } \rho$  is an essential extension of  $\iota(\rho)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{L} : \text{Rep}_{\mathcal{K}/\mathcal{P}} \rightarrow \text{Rep}_{\mathcal{K}}$  be a functor sending a representation  $\xi$  to its inflation  $\mathcal{L}(\xi)$  to a representation of  $\mathcal{K}$ , via  $\mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}/\mathcal{P}$ . Then

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}/\mathcal{P}}(\xi, (\text{Inj } \rho)^{\mathcal{P}}) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{L}(\xi), \text{Inj } \rho)$$

where the isomorphism is canonical. Since, the functor  $\mathcal{L}$  is exact and  $\text{Inj } \rho$  is an injective object in  $\text{Rep}_{\mathcal{K}}$ , the functor  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}/\mathcal{P}}(*, (\text{Inj } \rho)^{\mathcal{P}})$  is exact. Hence,  $(\text{Inj } \rho)^{\mathcal{P}}$  is an injective object in  $\text{Rep}_{\mathcal{K}/\mathcal{P}}$ , which establishes the Lemma. □

**Definition 6.15.** Let  $\pi \in \text{Rep}_{\mathcal{K}}$ , we denote by  $\text{soc } \pi$  the subspace of  $\pi$ , generated by all irreducible subrepresentations of  $\pi$ .

**Lemma 6.16.** Let  $\rho \in \text{Rep}_{\mathcal{K}}$  be irreducible, and let  $\iota : \rho \hookrightarrow \text{Inj } \rho$  be an injective envelope of  $\rho$ , then  $\text{soc}(\text{Inj } \rho) \cong \rho$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\tau$  be any non-zero  $\mathcal{K}$  invariant subspace of  $\text{Inj } \rho$ , which is irreducible as a representation of  $\mathcal{K}$ . Since  $\text{Inj } \rho$  is an essential extension of  $\iota(\rho)$  and  $\rho$  is irreducible, we have  $\tau = \iota(\rho)$ . Hence,  $\text{soc}(\text{Inj } \rho) = \iota(\rho)$ .  $\square$

### 6.2.1 Admissibility

Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a locally pro-finite group and let  $\text{Rep}_{\mathcal{G}}$  be the category of smooth  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ -representations of  $\mathcal{G}$ .

**Definition 6.17.** A representation  $\pi \in \text{Rep}_{\mathcal{G}}$  is called admissible, if for every open subgroup  $\mathcal{K}$  of  $\mathcal{G}$ , the space  $\pi^{\mathcal{K}}$  of  $\mathcal{K}$ -invariants is finite dimensional.

**Lemma 6.18.** Suppose that  $\mathcal{G}$  has an open pro- $p$  subgroup  $\mathcal{P}$ . A representation  $\pi \in \text{Rep}_{\mathcal{G}}$  is admissible if and only if  $\pi^{\mathcal{P}}$  is finite dimensional.

*Proof.* If  $\pi$  is admissible, then  $\pi^{\mathcal{P}}$  is finite dimensional. Suppose that  $\pi^{\mathcal{P}}$  is finite dimensional and let  $\mathbf{1} \hookrightarrow \text{Inj } \mathbf{1}$  be an injective envelope of the trivial representation in  $\text{Rep}_{\mathcal{P}}$ , then there exists  $\psi$ , such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \pi^{\mathcal{P}} & \longrightarrow & \pi|_{\mathcal{P}} \\ & & \downarrow & \nearrow \psi & \\ & & (\dim \pi^{\mathcal{P}}) \text{Inj } \mathbf{1} & & \end{array}$$

of  $\mathcal{P}$ -representations commutes. This implies that  $(\text{Ker } \psi)^{\mathcal{P}} = 0$ , and hence by Lemma 2.1,  $\psi$  is injective.

Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be any open subgroup of  $\mathcal{G}$ . Since  $\mathcal{P}$  is an open compact subgroup of  $\mathcal{G}$ , we may choose an open subgroup  $\mathcal{P}'$  of  $\mathcal{G}$  such that  $\mathcal{P}'$  is a subgroup of  $\mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{K}$  and  $\mathcal{P}'$  is normal in  $\mathcal{P}$ . It is enough to show that  $\pi^{\mathcal{P}'}$  is finite dimensional. Since  $\psi$  is an injection, it is enough to show that  $(\text{Inj } \mathbf{1})^{\mathcal{P}'}$  is finite dimensional. Since  $\mathcal{P}$  is pro- $p$  and  $\mathcal{P}'$  is a normal open subgroup of  $\mathcal{P}$ , Lemma 6.14 and Proposition 4.5 imply that

$$(\text{Inj } \mathbf{1})^{\mathcal{P}'} \cong \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p[\mathcal{P}/\mathcal{P}']$$

which is finite dimensional.  $\square$

### 6.3 Coefficient systems $\mathcal{I}_\gamma$

Let  $\chi : H \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times$  be a character, and let

$$\rho_{\chi, J} \hookrightarrow \text{Inj } \rho_{\chi, J}, \quad \rho_{\chi^s, \overline{J}} \hookrightarrow \text{Inj } \rho_{\chi^s, \overline{J}}$$

be injective envelopes of  $\rho_{\chi, J}$  and  $\rho_{\chi^s, \overline{J}}$  in  $\text{Rep}_K$ , respectively. We may extend the action of  $K$  to the action of  $F^\times K$ , so that our fixed uniformiser  $\varpi_F$  acts trivially. We get an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \tilde{\rho}_{\chi, J} \oplus \tilde{\rho}_{\chi^s, \overline{J}} \longrightarrow \widetilde{\text{Inj}} \rho_{\chi, J} \oplus \widetilde{\text{Inj}} \rho_{\chi^s, \overline{J}}$$

of  $F^\times K$ -representations. This gives a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \tilde{\rho}_{\chi, J} \oplus \tilde{\rho}_{\chi^s, \overline{J}} & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{\text{Inj}} \rho_{\chi, J} \oplus \widetilde{\text{Inj}} \rho_{\chi^s, \overline{J}} \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & (\tilde{\rho}_{\chi, J} \oplus \tilde{\rho}_{\chi^s, \overline{J}})^{I_1} & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{\text{Inj}} \rho_{\chi, J} \oplus \widetilde{\text{Inj}} \rho_{\chi^s, \overline{J}} \end{array}$$

of  $F^\times I$ -representations. We will show that we may extend the action of  $F^\times I$  on  $(\widetilde{\text{Inj}} \rho_{\chi, J} \oplus \widetilde{\text{Inj}} \rho_{\chi^s, \overline{J}})|_{F^\times I}$  to the action of  $\mathfrak{K}(\sigma_1)$ , so that we get an object  $Y_\gamma$  in  $\mathcal{DLAG}$ , together with an embedding  $D_\gamma \hookrightarrow Y_\gamma$ . Since the categories  $\mathcal{DLAG}$  and  $\mathcal{COEF}_G$  are equivalent, this will give us an embedding of coefficient systems  $\mathcal{V}_\gamma \hookrightarrow \mathcal{I}_\gamma$ . We will show that the image

$$\pi_\gamma = \text{Im}(H_0(X, \mathcal{V}_\gamma) \rightarrow H_0(X, \mathcal{I}_\gamma))$$

is an irreducible supersingular representation of  $G$ . All the hard work was done in Propositions 4.48 and 4.49, the construction of  $Y_\gamma$  and the proof of irreducibility follow from the 'general non-sense' of Section 6.2. This gives hope that similar construction might work for other groups.

**Lemma 6.19.** *Let  $\rho$  be an irreducible representation of  $K$  and let*

$$\rho \hookrightarrow \text{Inj } \rho$$

*be an injective envelope of  $\rho$  in  $\text{Rep}_K$ , then*

$$(\text{Inj } \rho)|_I \cong \bigoplus_{\chi} \dim \text{Hom}_H(\chi, (\text{inj } \rho)^U) \text{Inj } \chi$$

*where the sum is taken over all irreducible representations of  $H$ , which we identify with the irreducible representations of  $I$  and*

$$\rho \hookrightarrow \text{inj } \rho, \quad \chi \hookrightarrow \text{Inj } \chi$$

*are the injective envelopes of  $\rho$  in  $\text{Rep}_\Gamma$  and of  $\chi$  in  $\text{Rep}_I$ , respectively.*

*Proof.* If  $\chi$  is an irreducible representation of  $I$ , then Lemma 2.1 implies that  $I_1$  acts trivially on  $\chi$ . Since  $I/I_1 \cong H$ , the irreducible representations of  $I$  and  $H$  coincide. Moreover, since  $H$  is abelian, all the irreducible representations of  $H$  are one dimensional. Since, the order of  $H$  is prime to  $p$ , all the representations of  $H$  are semi-simple. Therefore

$$(\text{Inj } \rho)^{I_1} \cong \bigoplus_{\chi} m_{\chi} \chi$$

as a representation of  $I$ , where the multiplicity  $m_{\chi}$  of  $\chi$  is given by

$$m_{\chi} = \dim \text{Hom}_I(\chi, \text{Inj } \rho).$$

Lemma 6.14 implies that  $(\text{Inj } \rho)^{K_1} \cong \text{inj } \rho$  as representations of  $K/K_1 \cong \Gamma$ . Corollary 4.3 implies that  $\text{inj } \rho$  is finite dimensional. In particular,  $m_{\chi}$  is finite for every  $\chi$ . Moreover,

$$\text{Hom}_I(\chi, \text{Inj } \rho) \cong \text{Hom}_I(\chi, (\text{Inj } \rho)^{K_1}) \cong \text{Hom}_B(\chi, \text{inj } \rho) \cong \text{Hom}_H(\chi, (\text{inj } \rho)^U).$$

Hence,  $m_{\chi} = \dim \text{Hom}_H(\chi, (\text{inj } \rho)^U)$ . We consider an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow (\text{Inj } \rho)^{I_1} \longrightarrow (\text{Inj } \rho)|_I$$

of  $I$ -representations. The restriction  $(\text{Inj } \rho)|_I$  is an injective object in  $\text{Rep}_I$ . Lemma 6.13 implies that

$$(\text{Inj } \rho)|_I \cong \mathcal{N} \oplus \bigoplus_{\chi} m_{\chi} \text{Inj } \chi$$

for some representation  $\mathcal{N}$ . Since  $\text{Rep}_H$  is semi-simple and  $\text{Inj } \chi$  is an essential extension of  $\chi$ , Lemma 6.14 implies that  $(\text{Inj } \chi)^{I_1} \cong \chi$ . By comparing the dimensions of  $I_1$ -invariants of both sides we get that  $\dim \mathcal{N}^{I_1} = 0$  and Lemma 2.1 implies that  $\mathcal{N} = 0$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 6.20.** *Let  $\chi : H \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^{\times}$  be a character. We consider  $\chi$  and  $\chi^s$  as one dimensional representations of  $I$ , via  $I/I_1 \cong H$ . Let*

$$\chi \hookrightarrow \text{Inj } \chi, \quad \chi^s \hookrightarrow \text{Inj } \chi^s$$

*be injective envelopes of  $\chi$  and  $\chi^s$  in  $\text{Rep}_I$ , respectively. Let  $V_1$  be the underlying vector space of  $\text{Inj } \chi$  and let  $V_2$  be the underlying vector space of  $\text{Inj } \chi^s$ . Further, let  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  be vectors in  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  respectively, such that*

$$\langle v_1 \rangle_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} = (\text{Inj } \chi)^{I_1}, \quad \langle v_2 \rangle_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} = (\text{Inj } \chi^s)^{I_1}.$$

*Then there exists an action of  $\mathfrak{K}(\sigma_1)$  on  $V_1 \oplus V_2$ , extending the action of  $I$ , so that our fixed uniformiser  $\varpi_F$  acts trivially and*

$$\Pi^{-1}v_1 = v_2, \quad \Pi^{-1}v_2 = v_1.$$

*Proof.* Let  $t \in T$  be any diagonal matrix, then  $sts = \Pi t \Pi^{-1}$ . Hence

$$\chi^s \cong \chi^\Pi$$

as  $I$ -representations, where  $\chi^\Pi$  denotes the action of  $I$ , on the underlying vector space of  $\chi$ , twisted by  $\Pi$ . So we get an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \chi^s \longrightarrow (\text{Inj } \chi)^\Pi$$

of  $I$ -representations. Twisting by  $\Pi$  is an exact functor in  $\text{Rep}_I$  and

$$\text{Hom}_I(\xi, (\text{Inj } \chi)^\Pi) \cong \text{Hom}_I(\xi^\Pi, \text{Inj } \chi).$$

Since  $\text{Inj } \chi$  is an injective object in  $\text{Rep}_I$ , this implies that  $(\text{Inj } \chi)^\Pi$  is an injective object in  $\text{Rep}_I$ . Since  $\text{Inj } \chi$  is an essential extension of  $\chi$ ,  $(\text{Inj } \chi)^\Pi$  is an essential extension of  $\chi^s$ . Since injective envelopes are unique up to isomorphism, there exists an isomorphism  $\phi$  of  $I$ -representations

$$\phi : (\text{Inj } \chi)^\Pi \cong \text{Inj } \chi^s.$$

The proof of Lemma 6.19 shows that the space  $(\text{Inj } \chi)^{I_1}$  is one dimensional. Hence, after replacing  $\phi$  by a scalar multiple we may assume that  $\phi(v_1) = v_2$ . We may extend the action of  $I$  on  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  to the action of  $F^\times I$  by making  $\varpi_F$  act trivially. We denote the corresponding representations by  $\widetilde{\text{Inj}}\chi$  and  $\widetilde{\text{Inj}}\chi^s$ . For trivial reasons

$$\phi : (\widetilde{\text{Inj}}\chi)^\Pi \cong \widetilde{\text{Inj}}\chi^s.$$

We consider the induced representation  $\text{Ind}_{F^\times I}^{\mathfrak{K}(\sigma_1)} \widetilde{\text{Inj}}\chi$ . Let  $\text{ev}_1$  and  $\text{ev}_\Pi$  be the evaluation maps at 1 and  $\Pi$  respectively, then we get an  $F^\times I$ -equivariant isomorphism:

$$\text{Ind}_{F^\times I}^{\mathfrak{K}(\sigma_1)} \widetilde{\text{Inj}}\chi \cong V_1 \oplus V_2, \quad f \mapsto \text{ev}_1(f) + \phi(\text{ev}_\Pi(f)).$$

The action of  $\mathfrak{K}(\sigma_1)$  on the left hand side gives us the action of  $\mathfrak{K}(\sigma_1)$  on  $V_1 \oplus V_2$ . Let  $v \in V_1$  and  $w \in V_2$ , then the action of  $\Pi^{-1}$  is given by

$$\Pi^{-1}(v + w) = \phi^{-1}(w) + \phi(v)$$

and hence  $\Pi^{-1}v_1 = v_2$  and  $\Pi^{-1}v_2 = v_1$ .  $\square$

We will construct a diagram  $Y_\gamma$ . This will involve making some choices. Suppose that  $q = p^n$ , let  $\chi : H \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times$  be a character and let  $\gamma = \{\chi, \chi^s\}$ . We consider an irreducible representation  $\rho_{\chi, J}$  of  $K$ . Lemma 3.13 gives us

a pair  $(\mathbf{r}, a)$ , where  $\mathbf{r}$  is the usual  $n$ -tuple and  $a$  is an integer modulo  $q - 1$ . Let  $\rho_{\chi, J} \hookrightarrow \text{Inj } \rho_{\chi, J}$  be an injective envelope of  $\rho_{\chi, J}$  in  $\text{Rep}_K$ . Let  $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{r}}$  be the underlying vector space of  $\text{Inj } \rho_{\chi, J}$ . We may assume that  $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{r}}$  depends only on the  $n$ -tuple  $\mathbf{r}$ . Since, if  $\chi' = \chi \otimes (\det)^c$ , then  $\rho_{\chi', J} \cong \rho_{\chi, J} \otimes (\det)^c$  and a simple argument shows that  $\rho_{\chi', J} \hookrightarrow (\text{Inj } \rho_{\chi, J}) \otimes (\det)^c$  is an injective envelope of  $\rho_{\chi', J}$  in  $\text{Rep}_K$ . Let

$$Y_{\gamma, 0} = (\widetilde{\text{Inj}} \rho_{\chi, J} \oplus \widetilde{\text{Inj}} \rho_{\chi^s, \bar{J}}, \mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{r}} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{r}})$$

where tilde denotes the extension of the action of  $K$  to the action of  $F^\times K$ , so that  $\varpi_F$  acts trivially. We are going to construct an action of  $\mathfrak{K}(\sigma_1)$  on  $Y_{\gamma, 0}|_{F^\times I}$ , which extends the action of  $F^\times I$ , and this will give us  $Y_\gamma$ . However, this can be done in a lot of ways, and not all of them suit our purposes. Lemma 6.14 and Remark 4.2 imply that

$$(Y_{\gamma, 0})^{K_1} \cong \text{inj } \rho_{\chi, J} \oplus \text{inj } \rho_{\chi^s, \bar{J}}$$

as  $K$ -representations, where on the right hand side we adopt the notation of Propositions 4.48 and 4.49. In particular,

$$(Y_{\gamma, 0})^{I_1} \cong (\text{inj } \rho_{\chi, J} \oplus \text{inj } \rho_{\chi^s, \bar{J}})^{I_1}$$

as  $\mathcal{H}_K$ -modules. In Lemma 4.33 we have worked out a basis consisting of eigenvectors for the action of  $I$  of (a model of)  $(\text{inj } \rho_{\chi, J} \oplus \text{inj } \rho_{\chi^s, \bar{J}})^{I_1}$ . The above isomorphism gives us a basis  $\mathcal{B}_\gamma$  of  $(Y_{\gamma, 0})^{I_1}$ . Lemma 6.19 gives an  $F^\times I$ -equivariant decomposition:

$$\zeta : \mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{r}} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{r}} \cong \bigoplus_{b \in \mathcal{B}_\gamma} \mathcal{W}(b)$$

such that  $\zeta(b) \in \mathcal{W}(b)$ , for every  $b \in \mathcal{B}_\gamma$ , and the representation, given by the action of  $I$  on  $\mathcal{W}(b)$ , is an injective object in  $\text{Rep}_I$ , which is also an essential extension of  $\langle \zeta(b) \rangle_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p}$ . To simplify things we view  $\zeta$  as identification and omit it from our notation.

If  $\chi = \chi^s$  then we pair up the basis vectors as in Proposition 4.48:

$$\mathcal{B}_\gamma = \{b_0, b_0 + b_1\} \bigcup_{\{\varepsilon, \mathbf{1}-\varepsilon\} \subseteq \Sigma'_0} \{b_\varepsilon, b_{\mathbf{1}-\varepsilon}\}.$$

If  $\chi \neq \chi^s$  then we pair up the basis vectors as in Proposition 4.49:

$$\mathcal{B}_\gamma = \{b_0, \bar{b}_0\} \cup \{b_1, \bar{b}_1\} \bigcup_{\{\varepsilon, \mathbf{1}-\varepsilon\} \subseteq \Sigma'_\mathbf{r}} \{b_\varepsilon, b_{\mathbf{1}-\varepsilon}\} \bigcup_{\{\varepsilon, \mathbf{1}-\varepsilon\} \subseteq \Sigma'_{\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{r}}} \{\bar{b}_\varepsilon, \bar{b}_{\mathbf{1}-\varepsilon}\}.$$

Let  $\{b, b'\}$  be any such pair and suppose that  $I$  acts on  $b$  via a character  $\psi$ , then  $I$  will act on  $b'$ , via a character  $\psi^s$ . We denote

$$\mathcal{W}(b, b') = \mathcal{W}(b) \oplus \mathcal{W}(b').$$

Lemma 6.20 implies that there exists an action of  $\mathfrak{K}(\sigma_1)$  on  $\mathcal{W}(b, b')$ , extending the action of  $F^\times I$ , such that

$$\Pi^{-1}b = b', \quad \Pi^{-1}b' = b.$$

This amounts to fixing an isomorphism of vector spaces  $\phi : \mathcal{W}(b) \cong \mathcal{W}(b')$ , such that  $\phi(b) = b'$  and which induces an isomorphism of  $I$  representations  $\phi : (\text{Inj } \psi)^\Pi \cong \text{Inj } \psi^s$ .

If  $\chi = \chi^s$  then  $Y_{\gamma,0}$  decomposes into  $F^\times I$ -invariant subspaces:

$$\mathcal{W}(b_0, b_0 + b_1) \bigoplus_{\{\varepsilon, \mathbf{1}-\varepsilon\} \subseteq \Sigma'_0} \mathcal{W}(b_\varepsilon, b_{\mathbf{1}-\varepsilon}).$$

If  $\chi \neq \chi^s$  then  $Y_{\gamma,0}$  decomposes into  $F^\times I$ -invariant subspaces:

$$\mathcal{W}(b_0, \bar{b}_0) \oplus \mathcal{W}(b_1, \bar{b}_1) \bigoplus_{\{\varepsilon, \mathbf{1}-\varepsilon\} \subseteq \Sigma'_r} \mathcal{W}(b_\varepsilon, b_{\mathbf{1}-\varepsilon}) \bigoplus_{\{\varepsilon, \mathbf{1}-\varepsilon\} \subseteq \Sigma'_{\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{r}}} \mathcal{W}(\bar{b}_\varepsilon, \bar{b}_{\mathbf{1}-\varepsilon}).$$

Let  $Y_{\gamma,1}$  be a representation of  $\mathfrak{K}(\sigma_1)$ , whose underlying vector space is  $\mathcal{W}_r \oplus \mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{r}}$ , and the action of  $\mathfrak{K}(\sigma_1)$  extends the action of  $F^\times I$  on each direct summand, as it was done for  $\mathcal{W}(b, b')$ .

**Definition 6.21.** *Let  $Y_\gamma$  be an object in  $\mathcal{DLAG}$ , given by*

$$Y_\gamma = (Y_{\gamma,0}, Y_{\gamma,1}, \text{id})$$

*and let  $\mathcal{I}_\gamma$  be the corresponding coefficient system*

$$\mathcal{I}_\gamma = \mathcal{C}(Y_\gamma).$$

**Remark 6.22.** *The definition of  $Y_\gamma$  depends on all the choices we have made.*

**Proposition 6.23.** *Let  $\chi : H \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times$  be a character and let  $\gamma = \{\chi, \chi^s\}$ . Suppose that  $\chi = \chi^s$ , then*

$$H_0(X, \mathcal{I}_\gamma)^{I_1} \cong M_\gamma \bigoplus_{\{\varepsilon, \mathbf{1}-\varepsilon\} \subseteq \Sigma'_0} M_{\gamma_\varepsilon}$$

as  $\mathcal{H}$ -modules, where  $\gamma_\varepsilon = \gamma_{\mathbf{1}-\varepsilon} = \{\chi\alpha^{\varepsilon \cdot (\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{1})}, \chi(\alpha^{\varepsilon \cdot (\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{1})})^s\}$ . Suppose that  $\chi \neq \chi^s$ , then

$$H_0(X, \mathcal{I}_\gamma)^{I_1} \cong L_\gamma \bigoplus_{\{\varepsilon, \mathbf{1}-\varepsilon\} \subseteq \Sigma'_\mathbf{r}} M_{\gamma_\varepsilon} \bigoplus_{\{\varepsilon, \mathbf{1}-\varepsilon\} \subseteq \Sigma'_{\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{r}}} M_{\bar{\gamma}_\varepsilon}$$

as  $\mathcal{H}$ -modules, where  $\gamma_\varepsilon = \gamma_{\mathbf{1}-\varepsilon} = \{\chi\alpha^{\varepsilon \cdot (\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{r})}, (\chi\alpha^{\varepsilon \cdot (\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{r})})^s\}$  and  $\bar{\gamma}_\varepsilon = \bar{\gamma}_{\mathbf{1}-\varepsilon} = \{\chi^s\alpha^{\varepsilon \cdot \mathbf{r}}, (\chi^s\alpha^{\varepsilon \cdot \mathbf{r}})^s\}$ .

*Proof.* In Propositions 4.48 and 4.49 we have showed that we may extend the action of  $\mathcal{H}_K$  on  $(\text{inj } \rho_{\chi, J} \oplus \text{inj } \rho_{\chi^s, \bar{J}})^{I_1}$  to the action of  $\mathcal{H}$ , so that the resulting modules are isomorphic to the ones considered above. We will show that  $H_0(X, \mathcal{I}_\gamma)^{I_1}$  realizes this extension. By Proposition 5.10 (or alternatively Corollary 5.18) we have

$$H_0(X, \mathcal{I}_\gamma)|_{\mathfrak{K}(\sigma_0)} \cong Y_{\gamma,0}, \quad H_0(X, \mathcal{I}_\gamma)|_{\mathfrak{K}(\sigma_1)} \cong Y_{\gamma,1}$$

as  $\mathfrak{K}(\sigma_0)$  and  $\mathfrak{K}(\sigma_1)$ -representations, respectively. Moreover, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y_{\gamma,0} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H_0(X, \mathcal{I}_\gamma) \\ \text{id} \uparrow & & \uparrow \text{id} \\ Y_{\gamma,1} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H_0(X, \mathcal{I}_\gamma) \end{array}$$

of  $F^\times I$ -representations commutes. So

$$(Y_{\gamma,0})^{I_1} \cong H_0(X, \mathcal{I}_\gamma)^{I_1}$$

as  $\mathcal{H}_K$ -modules. Lemma 6.14 implies that

$$H_0(X, \mathcal{I}_\gamma)^{I_1} \cong (\text{inj } \rho_{\chi, J} \oplus \text{inj } \rho_{\chi^s, \bar{J}})^{I_1}$$

as  $\mathcal{H}_K$ -modules, and we know the right hand side from Propositions 4.48 and 4.49. It remains to determine the action of  $T_\Pi$ . Corollary 2.6 implies that for every  $v \in H_0(X, \mathcal{I}_\gamma)^{I_1}$  we have

$$vT_\Pi = \Pi^{-1}v.$$

Hence the action of  $T_\Pi$  is determined by the isomorphism

$$Y_{\gamma,1} \cong H_0(X, \mathcal{I}_\gamma)|_{\mathfrak{K}(\sigma_1)}.$$

Since  $\mathcal{B}_\gamma$  is a basis of  $(Y_{\gamma,0})^{I_1}$ , it is enough to know how  $\Pi^{-1}$  acts on the basis vectors. Let  $\mathcal{W}(b, b')$  be one of the  $\mathfrak{K}(\sigma_1)$ -invariant subspaces of  $Y_{\gamma,1}$ , as before. We have extended the action of  $F^\times I$  on  $Y_{\gamma,0}|_{F^\times I}$  to  $\mathfrak{K}(\sigma_1)$  so that

$$\Pi^{-1}b = b', \quad \Pi^{-1}b' = b.$$

Hence, if we consider  $\mathcal{B}_\gamma$  also as a basis of  $H_0(X, \mathcal{I}_\gamma)^{I_1}$  we have

$$bT_\Pi = b', \quad b'T_\Pi = b.$$

Now the statement of the Proposition is just a realization of Propositions 4.48 and 4.49.  $\square$

## 6.4 Construction

Now we will construct an embedding  $D_\gamma \hookrightarrow Y_\gamma$ . Suppose that  $\chi = \chi^s$ , then we consider vectors  $b_0$  and  $b_0 + b_1$  in  $(Y_{\gamma,0})^{I_1}$ . Lemmas 4.35 and 4.41 imply that

$$\langle Kb_0\rangle_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} \cong \tilde{\rho}_{\chi,S}, \quad \langle K(b_0 + b_1)\rangle_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} \cong \tilde{\rho}_{\chi,\emptyset}$$

as  $F^\times K$ -representations. We have constructed the action of  $\mathfrak{K}(\sigma_1)$  on  $Y_{\gamma,1}$  so that

$$\Pi^{-1}b_0 = b_0 + b_1, \quad \Pi^{-1}(b_0 + b_1) = b_0.$$

Suppose that  $\chi \neq \chi^s$ , then we consider vectors  $b_0$  and  $\bar{b}_0$  in  $(Y_{\gamma,0})^{I_1}$ . Lemmas 4.35 implies that

$$\langle Kb_0\rangle_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} \cong \tilde{\rho}_{\chi,\emptyset}, \quad \langle K\bar{b}_0\rangle_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} \cong \tilde{\rho}_{\chi^s,\emptyset}$$

as  $F^\times K$ -representations. We have constructed the action of  $\mathfrak{K}(\sigma_1)$  on  $Y_{\gamma,1}$  so that

$$\Pi^{-1}b_0 = \bar{b}_0, \quad \Pi^{-1}\bar{b}_0 = b_0.$$

Hence, in both cases we get an embedding  $D_\gamma \hookrightarrow Y_\gamma$  in the category  $\mathcal{DIAg}$ . This gives us an embedding of  $G$  equivariant coefficient systems  $\mathcal{V}_\gamma \hookrightarrow \mathcal{I}_\gamma$ .

**Definition 6.24.** *Let  $\pi_\gamma$  be a representation of  $G$ , given by*

$$\pi_\gamma = \text{Im}(H_0(X, \mathcal{V}_\gamma) \rightarrow H_0(X, \mathcal{I}_\gamma)).$$

**Theorem 6.25.** *For each  $\gamma = \{\chi, \chi^s\}$ , the representation  $\pi_\gamma$  is irreducible and supersingular. Moreover,  $\pi_\gamma^{I_1}$  contains an  $\mathcal{H}$ -submodule isomorphic to  $M_\gamma$ . Further, if*

$$\pi_\gamma \cong \pi_{\gamma'}$$

*then  $\gamma = \gamma'$ .*

*Proof.* Lemma 5.7 implies that  $\pi_\gamma$  is non-zero. So by Corollary 6.6 it is enough to prove that  $\pi_\gamma$  is irreducible. To ease the notation we identify the underlying vector spaces of  $Y_{\gamma,0}$  and  $H_0(X, \mathcal{I}_\gamma)$ . If  $\chi = \chi^s$  then Lemma 6.5 implies that

$$\pi_\gamma = \langle Gb_0 \rangle_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} = \langle G(b_0 + b_1) \rangle_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p}.$$

If  $\chi \neq \chi^s$  then Lemma 6.5 implies that

$$\pi_\gamma = \langle Gb_0 \rangle_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} = \langle G\bar{b}_0 \rangle_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p}.$$

This can be rephrased in a different way. By Proposition 5.10 we have

$$H_0(X, \mathcal{I}_\gamma)|_K \cong \text{Inj } \rho_{\chi, J} \oplus \text{Inj } \rho_{\chi^s, \bar{J}}$$

as  $K$ -representations. Lemma 6.16 implies that

$$\rho_{\chi, J} \oplus \rho_{\chi^s, \bar{J}} \cong \text{soc}(H_0(X, \mathcal{I}_\gamma)|_K).$$

Hence, if  $\chi = \chi^s$  then

$$(\text{soc}(H_0(X, \mathcal{I}_\gamma)|_K))^{I_1} = \langle b_0, b_0 + b_1 \rangle_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p}$$

and if  $\chi \neq \chi^s$  then

$$(\text{soc}(H_0(X, \mathcal{I}_\gamma)|_K))^{I_1} = \langle b_0, \bar{b}_0 \rangle_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p}$$

and hence

$$\pi_\gamma = \langle G(\text{soc}(H_0(X, \mathcal{I}_\gamma)|_K))^{I_1} \rangle_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p}.$$

Suppose that  $\pi'$  is non-zero  $G$ -invariant subspace of  $\pi_\gamma$  then by Lemma 2.1  $(\pi')^{K_1} \neq 0$ , and hence

$$\text{soc}(\pi'|_K) \neq 0.$$

We have trivially  $\text{soc}(\pi'|_K) \subseteq \text{soc}(H_0(X, \mathcal{I}_\gamma)|_K)$ . Hence

$$(\text{soc}(H_0(X, \mathcal{I}_\gamma)|_K))^{I_1} \cap (\pi')^{I_1} \neq 0.$$

The space  $(\text{soc}(H_0(X, \mathcal{I}_\gamma)|_K))^{I_1}$  is  $\mathcal{H}$ -invariant, and in fact isomorphic to the irreducible module  $M_\gamma$ . Hence,

$$(\text{soc}(H_0(X, \mathcal{I}_\gamma)|_K))^{I_1} \subseteq (\pi')^{I_1}$$

and this implies that  $\pi' = \pi_\gamma$ . Hence  $\pi_\gamma$  is irreducible.

Suppose that  $\pi_\gamma \cong \pi_{\gamma'}$ , then this induces an isomorphism of vector spaces

$$\phi : (\text{soc}(\pi_\gamma|_K))^{I_1} \cong (\text{soc}(\pi_{\gamma'}|_K))^{I_1}.$$

The argument above implies that both spaces are  $\mathcal{H}$ -invariant and Corollary 2.6 implies that  $\phi$  is an isomorphism of  $\mathcal{H}$ -modules. Hence,

$$M_\gamma \cong (\text{soc}(\pi_\gamma|_K))^{I_1} \cong (\text{soc}(\pi_{\gamma'}|_K))^{I_1} \cong M_{\gamma'}.$$

Lemma 2.17 implies that  $\gamma = \gamma'$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 6.26.** *The representation  $H_0(X, \mathcal{I}_\gamma)$  is an essential extension of  $\pi_\gamma$  in  $\text{Rep}_G$ . In particular,*

$$\pi_\gamma \cong \text{soc}(H_0(X, \mathcal{I}_\gamma)),$$

where  $\text{soc}(H_0(X, \mathcal{I}_\gamma))$  is the subspace of  $H_0(X, \mathcal{I}_\gamma)$  generated by all the irreducible subrepresentations.

*Proof.* Let  $\pi$  be a non-zero  $G$ -invariant subspace of  $H_0(X, \mathcal{I}_\gamma)$ . The proof of Theorem 6.25 shows that  $(\text{soc}(H_0(X, \mathcal{I}_\gamma)|_K))^{I_1}$  is a subspace of  $\pi^{I_1}$ . This implies that  $\pi_\gamma$  is a subspace of  $\pi$ . The last part is immediate.  $\square$

#### 6.4.1 Twists by unramified quasi-characters

Let  $\lambda \in \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times$ , we define an unramified quasi-character  $\mu_\lambda : F^\times \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times$ , by

$$\mu_\lambda(x) = \lambda^{val_F(x)}.$$

**Lemma 6.27.** *Suppose that  $\pi_\gamma \otimes \mu_\lambda \circ \det \cong \pi_{\gamma'}$ , then  $\gamma = \gamma'$  and  $\lambda = \pm 1$ .*

*Proof.* Our fixed uniformiser  $\varpi_F$  acts on  $\pi_\gamma \otimes \mu_\lambda \circ \det$ , by a scalar  $\lambda^2$ , and it acts trivially on  $\pi_{\gamma'}$ . Hence,  $\lambda = \pm 1$ . By Lemma 2.23  $M_\gamma \otimes \mu_{-1} \circ \det \cong M_\gamma$ , and hence by the argument of 6.25  $M_{\gamma'} \cong M_\gamma$ , which implies that  $\gamma = \gamma'$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 6.28.** *Suppose that  $q = p$ , then  $\pi_\gamma \otimes (\mu_{-1} \circ \det) \cong \pi_\gamma$ .*

*Proof.* By Corollary 6.26 it is enough to show that  $Y_\gamma \otimes (\mu_{-1} \circ \det) \cong Y_\gamma$  in  $\mathcal{DTAG}$ . We claim that we always have

$$Y_{\gamma,1} \cong Y_{\gamma,1} \otimes (\mu_{-1} \circ \det)$$

as  $\mathfrak{K}(\sigma_1)$ -representations. Since  $F^\times I$  is contained in the kernel of  $\mu_{-1} \circ \det$ , it is enough to examine the action of  $\Pi$ . We recall that the action of  $\mathfrak{K}(\sigma_1)$  was defined, by fixing a certain isomorphism  $\phi : \mathcal{W}(b) \cong \mathcal{W}(b')$ , and then letting  $\Pi^{-1}$  act on  $\mathcal{W}(b, b') = \mathcal{W}(b) \oplus \mathcal{W}(b')$  by

$$\Pi^{-1}(v + w) = \phi^{-1}(w) + \phi(v).$$

Let  $\iota_1$  be an  $F^\times I$ -equivariant isomorphism

$$\iota_1 : \mathcal{W}(b) \oplus \mathcal{W}(b') \cong \mathcal{W}(b) \oplus \mathcal{W}(b'), \quad v + w \mapsto v - w,$$

then, since  $\mu_{-1}(\det(\Pi^{-1})) = -1$ , we have

$$\Pi^{-1} \otimes \mu_{-1}(\det(\Pi^{-1}))(\iota_1(v + w)) = \phi^{-1}(w) - \phi(v) = \iota_1(\Pi^{-1}(v + w)).$$

Hence  $\mathcal{W}(b, b') \cong \mathcal{W}(b, b') \otimes (\mu_{-1} \circ \det)$  as  $\mathfrak{K}(\sigma_1)$ -representations and hence  $Y_{\gamma,1} \cong Y_{\gamma,1} \otimes (\mu_{-1} \circ \det)$  as  $\mathfrak{K}(\sigma_1)$ -representations. Since  $F^\times K$  is contained in the kernel of  $\mu_{-1} \circ \det$  we also have  $Y_{\gamma,0} \cong Y_{\gamma,0} \otimes (\mu_{-1} \circ \det)$ . However, to define an isomorphism in  $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{I}\mathcal{A}\mathcal{G}$  we need to find  $\iota_0 : Y_{\gamma,0} \cong Y_{\gamma,0}$ , which is compatible with  $\iota_1$  via the restriction maps. If  $p = q$  this is easy, since if  $\chi = \chi^s$ , then

$$\mathcal{W}_r \oplus \mathcal{W}_{p-1-r} = \mathcal{W}(b_0) \oplus \mathcal{W}(b_0 + b_1)$$

and if  $\chi \neq \chi^s$  then

$$\mathcal{W}_r \oplus \mathcal{W}_{p-1-r} = (\mathcal{W}(b_0) \oplus \mathcal{W}(b_1)) \oplus (\mathcal{W}(\bar{b}_0) \oplus \mathcal{W}(\bar{b}_1))$$

and the subspaces that  $\Pi$  ‘swaps’ come from different injective envelopes. Note, that this is not the case if  $q \neq p$ . Hence, if we define

$$\iota_0 : \mathcal{W}_r \oplus \mathcal{W}_{p-1-r} \cong \mathcal{W}_r \oplus \mathcal{W}_{p-1-r}, \quad v + w \mapsto v - w$$

then  $\iota = (\iota_0, \iota_1)$  is an isomorphism  $\iota : Y_\gamma \cong Y_\gamma \otimes (\mu_{-1} \circ \det)$ . □

**Lemma 6.29.** *The representations  $H_0(X, \mathcal{I}_\gamma)$  and  $\pi_\gamma$  are admissible.*

*Proof.* Proposition 6.23, Lemma 6.18. □

Our main result can be summarised as follows.

**Theorem 6.30.** *Let  $\varpi_F$  be a fixed uniformiser, then there exists at least  $q(q-1)/2$  pairwise non-isomorphic, irreducible, supersingular, admissible representations of  $G$ , which admit a central character, such that  $\varpi_F$  acts trivially.*

*Proof.* There are precisely  $q(q-1)/2$  orbits  $\gamma = \{\chi, \chi^s\}$ . Then the statement follows from Theorem 6.25 and Corollary 6.29. Each  $\pi_\gamma$  admits a central character, since  $H_0(X, \mathcal{V}_\gamma)$  admits a central character. If  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{o}_F^\times$ , then it acts on  $H_0(X, \mathcal{V}_\gamma)$  by a scalar

$$\chi\left(\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}\right) = \chi^s\left(\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}\right)$$

and  $\varpi_F$  acts trivially by construction. □

If  $F = \mathbf{Q}_p$  then we may apply the results of Breuil [4].

**Corollary 6.31.** *Suppose that  $F = \mathbf{Q}_p$ , then  $\pi_\gamma$  is independent up to isomorphism of the choices made in the construction of  $Y_\gamma$ . Moreover, if  $\pi$  is an irreducible supersingular representation of  $G$ , admitting a central character, then there exists  $\lambda \in \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times$ , unique up to a sign, and a unique  $\gamma$ , such that*

$$\pi \cong \pi_\gamma \otimes (\mu_\lambda \circ \det).$$

*Proof.* In [4] Breuil has determined all the supersingular representations, in the case of  $F = \mathbf{Q}_p$ . As a consequence, by [16] Theorem E.7.2, the functor of  $I_1$ -invariants,  $\text{Rep}_G \rightarrow \text{Mod} - \mathcal{H}$ ,  $\pi \mapsto \pi^{I_1}$  induces a bijection between the isomorphism classes of irreducible supersingular representations with a central character and isomorphism classes of supersingular right modules of  $\mathcal{H}$ . In particular, there are precisely  $p(p-1)/2$  isomorphism classes of supersingular representations with a central character, such that  $\varpi_F$  acts trivially. By Theorem 6.30 our construction yields at least  $p(p-1)/2$  such representations. Hence  $\pi_\gamma$  does not depend up to isomorphism on the choices made for  $Y_\gamma$ .

Let  $\pi$  be any supersingular representation of  $G$  with a central character. We may always twist  $\pi$  by an unramified quasi-character, so that  $\varpi_F$  acts trivially. Hence by above

$$\pi \cong \pi_\gamma \otimes (\mu_\lambda \circ \det)$$

and by Lemma 6.27 and Proposition 6.28,  $\gamma$  is determined uniquely and  $\lambda$  up to  $\pm 1$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] L. BARTHEL AND R. LIVNÉ, ‘Modular representations of  $\text{GL}_2$  of a local field: the ordinary, unramified case’, *J. Number Theory*, 55 no. 1, (1995), 1-27.
- [2] L. BARTHEL AND R. LIVNÉ, ‘Irreducible modular representations of  $\text{GL}_2$  of a local field’, *Duke Math. J.* 75 (1994) 261-292.
- [3] R. BRAUER AND C. NESBITT, ‘On the modular characters of groups’, *Ann. of Math.*, 42 (1941), 556-590.
- [4] C. BREUIL, ‘Sur quelques représentations modulaires et  $p$ -adiques de  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$  I’, *Compositio Mathematica* 138 (2) (2003), 165-188.

- [5] C. BREUIL, ‘Sur quelques représentations modulaires et  $p$ -adiques de  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$  II’, *Journal of the Inst. of Math Jussieu*, 2 (1) (2003) 23-58.
- [6] R. W. CARTER AND G. LUSZTIG, ‘Modular representations of finite groups of Lie type’, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) 32 (1976), 347-384.
- [7] C. W. CURTIS, ‘Modular representations of finite groups with split  $BN$ -pair’, *Seminar on algebraic groups and related finite groups*, (eds A.Dold and B.Eckmann), Lecture Notes in Mathematics 131 (Springer, Berlin, 1970), 57-95.
- [8] J. E. HUMPHREYS, *Introduction to Lie Algebras and Representation Theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 9, (Springer Verlag, 1972).
- [9] A. V. JEYAKUMAR, ‘Principal indecomposable representations for the group  $\mathrm{SL}(2, q)$ ’, *J. Algebra* 30 (1974), 444-458.
- [10] F. A. RICHEN, ‘Modular representations of split  $BN$ -pairs’, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 140 (1969), 435-460.
- [11] M. A. RONAN AND S. D. SMITH, ‘Sheaves on Buildings and Modular Representations of Chevalley Groups’, *Journal of Algebra* 96 (1985), 319-346.
- [12] P. SCHNEIDER AND U. STUHLER, ‘Resolutions for smooth representations of the general linear group over a local field’, *J. reine angew. Math.* 436 (1993), 19-32.
- [13] P. SCHNEIDER AND U. STUHLER, ‘Representation theory and sheaves on the Bruhat-Tits building’, *Publ. Math. IHES* 85 (1997), 97-191.
- [14] J.-P. SERRE, *Linear representations of finite groups*, Graduate texts in Mathematics 42, Springer-Verlag, 1977.
- [15] P. SYMONDS AND T. WEIGEL, ‘Cohomology of  $p$ -adic Analytic Groups’, *New Horizons in pro- $p$  groups* (eds M. du Sautoy, D.Segal, A. Shalev), Progress in Mathematics 184 (Birkhauser, Boston, Basel, Berlin, 2000), 349-410.
- [16] M.-F. VIGNÉRAS, ‘Representations of the  $p$ -adic group  $\mathrm{GL}(2, F)$  modulo  $p$ ’, Preprint, <http://www.math.jussieu.fr/~vigneras/recent.html>.